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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Chabot College's Student Equity Plan is based on student equity needs found in our institutional research, and in the overall Student Success Scorecard data for all California Community Colleges found at the State Chancellor's Office at www.cccco.edu. These equity needs were evaluated over the course of the past year and in the context of existing programs and services for under-served student populations, by the Student Equity Coordinating Council, a body of over twenty faculty, classified and administrative employees who provided dialogue and input on what we already know contributes to student equity with identified high need populations including African American, Chicano-Latino, Pacific Islander, foster youth, students with disabilities, and students who are veterans. We know from our institutional research that African American students who are enrolled in the Daraja learning community succeed at higher rates in college English and transfer completion that African American students not enrolled in the program. We also know that students enrolled in the Puente Program and EOPS persist at higher rates than their peers not enrolled in these student support programs.

This plan aligns the SSSP Plan by braiding some Student Equity funds into the expansion and support of these programs for scaling up. A Daraja Counselor Coordinator and support staff for SBBC are pillars of this plan, along with a Student Equity Director/Coordinator position with administrative staff support to methodologically coordinate, evaluate and facilitate the activities that meet the goals of the Student Equity Plan. Administrative assigned time and support staff for a Foster Youth Program is also planned to meet the needs of this high need population.

In the areas of the instructional support, the plan funds temporary instructional assistants in the Math and STEM labs to focus on better supporting the learning needs of the students in high need populations. Additionally, faculty coordination funding to support learning communities (FYE, CIN, Puente) is provided, along with a consultancy budget for the facilitation of college wide student equity consciousness and development dialogue. Finally, direct student aid for books, transportation, food, or child care is provided, and conference expenses related to equity, as well as program/operating supplies are provided.

Each student success indicator will be assessed for progress after the completion of each registration cycle (Census Rosters) and term completion (Grade Rolls). Initiatives will be evaluated on the basis of achieving both process and quantified goals for effectiveness in closing the equity gaps.
The Student Equity Plan is being coordinated and administered by the Vice President of Student Services, Dr. Matthew Kritscher (until a Student Equity Coordinator is hired). The Office of the VPSS contact information is: (510) 723-6744 or mkritscher@chabotcollege.edu.

## PLANNING COMMITTEE AND COLLABORATION

The Chabot College Student Equity Plans has been developed with the active involvement of all groups on campus including the faculty senate, academic faculty and staff, student services representatives, students, and appropriate community members and strategic partners. The planning process for the FY 15-16 year began over the summer starting with a retreat for the Student Equity Coordinating Council lead by the National Equity Project based out of Oakland, California. A lens of examining historical oppressions of minority groups in education helped develop a conceptual framework that balanced direct student intervention and assistance, faculty and classified professional development around teaching and assisting students of color, academic support and student engagement. The plan is scheduled for CLPCCD Board of Trustees approval on December 8, 2015.

Chabot College's Student Equity Coordinating Committee is responsible for ongoing development, implementation, and evaluation of the plan. The Council reports to College Council and Academic Senate and includes an appropriate mix of administrators, faculty, staff, researchers, students representatives of the Student Senate. In addition, representatives are included from related categorical programs such as the Dean of Special Programs and DSPS Counselor/Coordinator. An EOPS/CalWORKs/CARE representative and MESA Director also serve on the council as well as the Institutional Researcher and Analyst, Deans of Instruction and SSSP Coordinator. The Veterans Services office is also represented as well as more recently, the Financial Aid Director as well as cross-representation on the Basic Skills Committee.

The student equity planning has been coordinated with institution-wide planning efforts such as Program Review with an integrated initiative proposal form, accreditation self evaluation, the educational master planning process, the Student Success and Support Program plan for core services coordination, and the Basic Skills plan.

After the summer equity retreat lead by NEP, two summer meetings were held to develop a revised plan that reflected the lessons learned from FY 14-15. The group included a cross representation of faculty, classified and administrators involved in the council and with the coordination of student equity initiatives. The group reviewed the feedback provided by the CCCCO on the FY 14-15 SEP, and incorporated this feedback along with internal assessments to draft the initial plan draft for council review at the start of the fall term. The planning process included sending out college-wide emails with the council sign-in sheets, agenda and notes along with posting all the related Student Equity Plan documents on the Student Services website (www.chabotcollege.edu/studentservices). Additionally, a flex day presentation and discussion was conducted by the Student Equity Coordinator and Vice President of Student Services that received positive feedback in evaluations about the inclusive approach. The Student Senate has had consistent representation and voice in the SECC meeting deliberations and Faculty Senate President also serves on the council brining in the formal faculty input. Through these measures and pro-active means, the process was inclusive and input, diverse.

## Student Equity Plan Committee Membership List

| Member Name Title | Organization(s), Program(s) or <br> Role(s) Represented |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Laurie Dockter | Chemistry Faculty | Faculty Senate President |
| Donna Gibson | Chemistry Faculty | MESA |
| Carmen Johnston | English Faculty | English/CIN Learning Community |
| Kristin Land | English Faculty | English/Puente Project |
| Sandra Genera | Counselor/Coordinator | Puente Project |
| Carla Walter | Dean of AHSS | Instructional Dean |
| Tim Dave | Dean of Science and Math | Instructional Dean |
| Marcia Corcoran | Dean of Language Arts | Instructional Dean |
| Jeanne Wilson | Dean of Special Programs | SS Dean/Categorical Dean |
| ValJean Dale | Interim Dean of Counseling | SS Dean/SSSP Coordinator |
| Tom deWitt | English Faculty | English/Daraja Coordinator |
| Pedro Reynoso | Library Faculty | CIN Learning Community |
| Rozen Bondoc | Veterans Benefits Specialist | Veterans Services |
| Kathy Linzmeyer | Financial Aid Director | Financial Aid |
| Arnold Paguio | Student Life Director | Student Life/Senate/Clubs |
| Carolyn Arnold | Institutional Researcher | Institutional Research |
| Jeremy Wilson | Research Analyst | Institutional Research |
| Kathleen Allen | DSPS Counselor/Coordinator | DSPS |
| Christine Cornejo | Math Faculty | Math |
| Matt Kritscher | Vice President, Student Services | Student Services |
| Vanessa Cormier | Child Development Center Mngr. | Foster Youth |
| Patricia Molina | EOPS Counselor/Coordinator | EOPS/CARE/CalWORKs |
| Jane Wolford | History Faculty | Learning Connection Coordinator |
| Art Barboza | EOPS Counselor Assistant | EOPS/Striving Black Brothers |
| Deonne Kunkel | English Faculty | FYE Coordinator/PRBC Chair |
| Stacy Thompson | Vice President, Academic Services | Academic Services |
|  |  |  |


#### Abstract

Access

Overview Chabot College is one of the most diverse community colleges in the nation including being a "minority-majority" institution in which $83 \%$ of the student population are students of color. As a Hispanic Service Institution, Chabot College is about half Chicano/Latino and African American combined, and nearly three quarters of the student population are low income. About the same number of students are the first in their families to attend college, and many come from under-performing secondary education institutions in the service area. Chabot College Institutional Research utilized the Proportionality Index method on disproportionate impact to target groups on access in terms of Participation Rate, as it was determined to be the most representative given the college's very diverse population, where there is not just one ethnic group that can be adequately used as a comparison to all other groups. An average of all groups is used to determine the proportionality of representation on campus compared to the service area communities served. This provides a more critical analysis of where the college has an equity gap in serving the very diverse local service area populations in not only race and ethnicity, but also diverse in terms of age, educational attainment, foster youth and veteran status. The three groups with the most disproportionate impact are students with disabilities, foster youth and veterans. Additionally white students are somewhat underrepresented using the Proportionality Index. Therefore, the focus of Access goals and activities is to incrementally increase representation of students with disabilities, foster youth and veteran students, as well as (to a lesser degree) white students who are underrepresented at Chabot College. The following tables outline the exact amounts and percentages of students attending the college in comparison to the service area in the required populations to be studied and served in the Student Equity Plan for Access.


## Indicator Definitions

The percentage of each population group that is
enrolled
compared to that group's representation in the adult population within the community served.
This percentage is frequently calculated as a participation
rate.

## CAMPUS-BASED RESEARCH: ACCESS

A. ACCESS. Compare the percentage of each population group that is enrolled to the percentage of each group in the adult population within the community served.

Chabot College Indicator Data

| Population by Gender, Ages $18-64$ | Ages 18 64 Chabot Cities ${ }^{1}$ | Fall 2010 <br> Chabot <br> College ${ }^{3}$ | Fall 2010 <br> Participation rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 138,743 | 7,788 | 6\% |
| Female | 143,396 | 6,701 | 5\% |
| Total | 282,139 | 14,489 | 5\% |
| Population by Gender | Ages 18 64 Chabot Cities ${ }^{1}$ | Fall 2010 <br> Chabot <br> College ${ }^{3}$ | Proportionality Index |
| Male | 49\% | 54\% | 1.09 |
| Female | 51\% | 46\% | 0.91 |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% |  |



All ages*
Fall 2010

Proportionality
Index

* Not available for ages 18-64

| Population by Race-Ethnicity | Chabot Cities ${ }^{2}$ | Chabot College ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African Amer. / Black | 10\% | 17\% | 1.65 | over rep |
| Amer. Indian / Alaska Nat. | 0\% | 1\% | 1.79 | over rep |
| Asian American | 29\% | 27\% | 0.94 |  |
| Hispanic / Latino | 29\% | 30\% | 1.04 |  |
| Pacific Is. / Nat. Hawaiian | 2\% | 3\% | 1.64 | over rep |
| White | 30\% | 22\% | 0.74 |  |
| Other | 0\% | 1\% | 4.69 | over rep |
| Total | 90\% | 100\% |  |  |


| Population by Age | Ages 18 64 <br> Chabot <br> Cities ${ }^{1}$ | Fall 2010 <br> Chabot <br> College ${ }^{3}$ | Fall 2010 <br> Participation rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or younger | 10,521 | 3,431 | 33\% | over rep |
| 20-24 | 30,290 | 4,992 | 16\% | over rep |
| 25-34 | 64,897 | 3,366 | 5\% |  |
| 35-44 | 61,456 | 1,488 | 2\% | under <br> rep |
| 45-54 | 64,167 | 969 | 2\% | under <br> rep |
| 55-64 | 50,808 | 485 | 1\% | under <br> rep |
| Total | 282,139 | 14,731 | 5\% |  |


| Population by Age | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 18- \\ 64 \\ \text { Chabot } \\ \text { Cities }^{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Fall 2010 <br> Chabot <br> College ${ }^{3}$ | Proportionality Index |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or younger | 4\% | 23\% | 6.25 | over rep |
| 20-24 | 11\% | 34\% | 3.16 | over rep |
| 25-34 | 23\% | 23\% | 0.99 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 35-44 \\ & 45-54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \% \\ & 23 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \% \\ 7 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.46 \\ & 0.29 \end{aligned}$ | under rep under |



| Population by Disability | Ages 18 64 Chabot Cities ${ }^{1}$ | Fall 2010 <br> Chabot <br> College ${ }^{3}$ | Fall 2010 <br> Participation rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Any Disability | 4,353 | 926 | 21\% | under rep |
| No Disability | 49,716 | 13,805 | 28\% |  |
| Total | 54,069 | 14,731 | 27\% |  |


| Population by Disability | Ages 18 64 Chabot Cities ${ }^{1}$ | Fall 2010 <br> Chabot <br> College ${ }^{3}$ | Proportionality Index |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Any Disability | 8\% | 6\% | 0.78 | under <br> rep |
| No Disability | 92\% | 94\% | 1.02 |  |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% |  |  |
| Population by Income | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Ages } 18- \\ 64 \\ \text { Chabot } \\ \text { Cities }^{1} \end{gathered}$ | Fall 2010 <br> Chabot College ${ }^{3}$ | Fall 2010 <br> Participation rate |  |
| Low Income | 27,968 | 6535 | 23\% | over rep |
| Not Low Income | 254,171 | 8,196 | 3\% | under <br> rep |
| Total | 282,139 | 14,731 | 5\% |  |


| Population by Income | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 18- \\ 64 \\ \text { Chabot } \\ \text { Cities }^{1} \end{gathered}$ | Fall 2010 <br> Chabot <br> College ${ }^{3}$ | Proportionality Index |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low Income | 10\% | 44\% | 4.48 | over rep |
| Not Low Income | 90\% | 56\% | 0.62 | under <br> rep |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% |  |  |




| Population by Foster Youth Status | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 18 \text { - } \\ 64 \\ \text { Chabot } \\ \text { Cities }^{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Fall 2010 <br> Chabot College ${ }^{3}$ | Fall 2010 <br> Participation rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foster Youth <br> No History of Foster Care | not available not available | $\begin{array}{r} 405 \\ 14,326 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - |
| Total |  | 14,731 | - |


| Population by Foster Youth Status | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } 18 \text { - } \\ 64 \\ \text { Chabot } \\ \text { Cities }^{1} \end{gathered}$ | Fall 2010 <br> Chabot College ${ }^{3}$ | Proportionality Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foster Youth <br> No History of Foster Care | not available not available | $3 \%$ $97 \%$ | - |
| Total |  | 100\% | - |

## NOTES:

The number of each population group that is enrolled out of the number of that
Participation rate: group's representation in the adult population of the community served.
This percentage is referred to as a participation rate.
80-Percent Index: Ratio of the rate of a disaggregated group over the rate of the reference subgroup.
A percent less than $80 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be under represented.
A percent over 120\% suggests that the subgroup may be over
represented.

Disproportionate impact can only be confirmed for groups of 20 or more.
Subgroup rate at college is compared to subgroup rate in the

## Reference Group: <br> Proportionality Index:

 community. The Proportionality Index is a comparison of a group's proportion within the general population against the proportion within the student population at Chabot This comparison is represented by the ratio of these two proportions.If the proportions in the two populations within a group are equal, the index will be equal 1 .
If the index is under .80, the group is under-represented; if the index is over 1.20 , it is over-represented.
${ }^{1}$ U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 2012, 5 year
Data Sources: estimates
${ }^{2}$ U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Decennial
Census
${ }^{3}$ Chabot-Las Positas Community College District, Institutional Research Dataset, Fall 2010

Conclusions: Disproportionately Impacted Student Groups
The data show that the following groups are under-represented: veterans, students with disabilities, foster youth, students aged 35-64 years, and white students (to a lesser degree).

## GOALS, ACTIVITIES, FUNDING AND EVALUATION: ACCESS

## GOAL A.

The goal is to improve access for the following target populations identified in the college research as experiencing a disproportionate impact:

| Target Population(s) | Current gap, <br> year | Goal* $^{*}$ | Goal Year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Students with disabilities | $2 \%, 2014$ | $7 \%$ | 2020 |
| Foster Youth | $3 \%, 2014$ | $4 \%$ | 2020 |
| Veterans | $2 \%, 2014$ | $3 \%$ | 2020 |
| White Students | $8 \%, 2014$ | $24 \%$ | 2020 |

*Expressed as either a percentage or number
**Benchmark goals are to be decided by the institution.

## ACTIVITIES: A. ACCESS

## A. 1

- Activity Type(s)

|  | Outreach | Student Equity <br> Coordination/Planning | X | Instructional Support <br> Activities |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| X | Student Services or <br> other Categorical <br> Program | Curriculum/Course <br> Development or <br> Adaptation |  | Direct Student Support |
|  | Research and Evaluation | Professional Development |  |  |

- Target Student Group(s) \& \# of Each Affected*:

| ID | Target Group | \# of Students Affected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A. 1 | Disabilities | $500-700$ |
|  |  |  |

## - Activity Implementation Plan

Produce and direct mail postcard with pictures of diverse students in four program areas to all service area residents $(157,000)$ promoting admissions and registration

Develop and deliver High School Counselor Meetings and Newsletter and other marketing materials highlighting programs/services for students with disabilities including learning disability assessment (e.g., CIN, FYE, MESA, Daraja, Puente and TRiO programs, DSPS and the SSSP process).

DSPS Counselors make presentations to high school special education teachers, parents and students about the enrollment and assessment process for students with disabilities.

Collaborate with the Department of Rehabilitation to present materials, make presentations to students and let them know of the availability of DOR funding.

| ID | Planned Start and End <br> Date(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds** |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| A.1 | July 1, 2015 to December <br> 31,2016 | $\$ 0$ | DSPS categorical funds - <br> $\$ 25,000$ |

## - Link to Goal

The community-wide postcard mailing shows a commitment to the community in serving students with disabilities, and making targeted outreach presentations to HS special education teachers, parents and students as well as collaboration with the DOL regarding funding for college help promote access for students with disabilities through a more concerted campaign effort.

## - Evaluation

Each term Census data will be used to collect information from the Admissions Application where students may state their disability status. This allows the IR Researcher to compare the outcomes for these students compared to the average student population.
This information will then be analyzed at least once each term in the Student Equity Coordinating Council (SECC) for implications on return on investment of time and resources versus additional strategies to attract and retain more students with disabilities.

## A. 2

- Activity Type(s)

|  | Outreach | X | Student Equity <br> Coordination/Planning | X | Instructional Support <br> Activities |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| X | Student Services or <br> other Categorical <br> Program |  | Curriculum/Course <br> Development or <br> Adaptation |  | Direct Student Support |
| Research and <br> Evaluation |  | Professional Development |  |  |  |

- Target Student Group(s) \& \# of Each Affected*:

| ID | Target Group | \# of Students Affected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A.2 | Foster Youth | $200-250$ |
|  |  |  |

- Activity Implementation Plan

Create Foster Youth Workgroup including representatives from county social services and foster/kinship parents; assess needs of foster youth. Host meetings on campus with foster youth provider agencies.

Produce and deliver High School Counselor Newsletter and other marketing materials highlighting programs/services for foster youth (e.g., CIN, FYE, MESA, Daraja, Puente and TRiO programs, CalWORKS and the SSSP process).

Collect and analyze data on Foster Youth to determine feasibility of cohort among 200 estimated Foster Youth enrolled

Facilitate Financial Aid targeted outreach / processing for foster youth
Strengthen EOPS connection with Financial Aid

| ID | Planned Start and End <br> Date(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds** |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| A.2 | July 1, 2015 to December <br> 31,2016 | FYD \$83,385 Salary/\$29,185 <br> Benefits |  |

## - Link to Goal

Providing a Foster Youth Director to establish an inter-agency connection to increase Chabot's access to foster youth providers and foster youth students. Additionally, leveraging the existing Independent Living Skills Program (ILSP) on campus, Chabot will be able to increase its connection with these foster youth who are already on campus attending this outside agency class. Foster youth are one of the most disproportionately impacted student groups for several success indicators including access, degree and transfer completion.

## - Evaluation

Foster youth will indicate their status on their Admissions Application so the IR Researcher will be able to compare their outcomes in the success indicators with the average student population. Student identification numbers will also be collected at each foster youth services contact so students who access services can be compared to students who are foster youth that did not access available services. The success indicator outcomes will be shared with the SECC for review, analysis and recommendations for program modifications. Foster youth outcomes for several of the success indicators including access will be measured after census data is available for each term for the prior term.
A. 3

- Activity Type(s)
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline & \text { Outreach } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Student Equity } \\ \text { Coordination/Planning }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Instructional Support } \\ \text { Activities }\end{array} \\ \hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { Student Services or other } \\ \text { Categorical Program }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Curriculum/Course } \\ \text { Development or Adaptation }\end{array} & \text { Direct Student Support } \\ \hline & \text { Research and Evaluation } & & \text { Professional Development }\end{array}\right]$
- Target Student Group(s) \& \# of Each Affected*:

| ID | Target Group | \# of Students Affected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A. 3 | Veterans | 400 |
|  |  |  |

## - Activity Implementation Plan

Open up comprehensive 3,100 square foot Veterans Resource Center in the Student Center in January 2016 including comprehensive student and academic support services specially designed for student veterans. Embedded counseling, tutoring, agency representative workshops and outreach meetings, computer and copying/printing resources and program coordination will be provided through a combination of SSSP for counseling, General Fund for Benefits Specialist, VA Work Study students, and a KISCO Foundation grant including increased staffing through the hire of a Veterans Program Coordinator out of Student Equity.

The new Veterans Program Coordinator will oversee the VRC, coordinate interagency partnerships and resource referrals, conduct outreach to grow the student veteran population and coordinate academic and student support services within the center. This position will also make presentations to veteran agencies in the community, parents and students about the educational planning and enrollment process for veterans.

| ID | Planned Start and End <br> Date(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds** |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A.3 | July 1, 2015 to December | \$55,308 Veterans Program <br> Coordinator Salary/\$19,357 <br> Benefits | Benefits Specialist already <br> on GF (\$55k,) VA <br> Workstudy (varies), SSSP <br> Counselors (\$35k), BSI and <br> GF for tutoring (varies) |

## - Link to Goal

The Veterans Program Coordinator will lead the college's efforts to grow the student veteran population, better serve veteran students by providing a one stop shop of student and academic support services, and increase the visibility of the college as a veteran serving institution.

## - Evaluation

Student veterans will indicate their status on their Admissions Application or through the Veterans Services Office and soon, Veterans Resource Center, so the IR Researcher will be able to compare their outcomes in the success indicators with the average student population. Student identification numbers will also be collected at each veterans services contact in the center and at veteran events so students who access services can be compared to students who are veteran students that did not access available services. The success indicator outcomes will be shared with the SECC for review, analysis and recommendations for program modifications. Veteran student outcomes for access success indicators will be measured after census data is available for each term for the prior term.

## A. 4

- Activity Type(s)

| X | Outreach | X | Student Equity <br> Coordination/Planning | Instructional Support <br> Activities |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| X | Student Services or <br> other Categorical <br> Program |  | Curriculum/Course <br> Development or <br> Adaptation | Direct Student Support |
|  | Research and <br> Evaluation |  | Professional Development |  |

- Target Student Group(s) \& \# of Each Affected*:

| ID | Target Group | \# of Students Affected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A. 4 | White students | $300-400$ |
|  |  |  |

## - Activity Implementation Plan

Conduct a survey/focus groups/interviews of campus and high school students in the target populations to ascertain their views of arts and other offerings on campus, and what they would like to engage with in terms of new or existing offerings.

Promote First Year Experience Career Pathways Program to high school students to encourage full time enrollment in this learning community that demonstrated higher success and persistence rates in its first year of implementation last year.

Produce and deliver High School Counselor Newsletter and other marketing materials highlighting programs/services for new students (e.g., CIN, FYE, MESA, Daraja, Puente and TRiO programs, CalWORKS and the SSSP process).

Provide outreach and transition services to adult schools, ROPs and alternative, continuation, community day and court schools.

Establish a centralized office ("Welcome Center") to provide in-reach and outreach to students and families about campus services and providing Campus Tours.

| ID | Planned Start and End <br> Date(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds** |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A.4 | July 1, 2015 to December <br> 31,2016 | $\$ 0$ | \$150k general fund <br> marketing budget |

- Link to Goal

By promoting FYE, SSSP, Learning Communities and Early/Dual Enrollment in partnership with our Career Pathways Trust grant initiatives, the college can attack and retain more white students who are slightly underrepresented.

## - Evaluation

Student indicate their ethnicity on their Admissions Application so the IR Researcher will be able to compare their outcomes in the success indicators with the average student population The success indicator outcomes for access will be shared with the SECC for review, analysis and recommendations for program modifications. White student outcomes for access indicators will be measured after census data is available for each term for the prior term.

## CAMPUS-BASED RESEARCH: COURSE COMPLETION

B. COURSE COMPLETION. The ratio of the number of credit courses that students, by population group, complete compared to the number of courses in which students in that group are enrolled on the census day of the term.

## Overview

Chabot College Course Completion data, interestingly, do not show any disproportionate impact on any of the required study groups as outlined in the tables below based on gender, ethnicity, age, disability, veteran and foster your status. This evidence shows in many ways the capabilities of all students, regardless of their challenges to succeed in their courses, with life issues perhaps being more critical to their persistence term to term and ultimately certificate, degree and transfer completion rates that do show disproportionate impact groups.

|  | Completion |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gender | Cohort Count | Completion Count | Rate | 80-Percent Index |
| Female | 126,101 | 106,165 | $84 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| Male | 110,173 | 93,737 | $85 \%$ | $99 \%$ |
| Unknown | 3,054 | 2,615 | $86 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 3 9 , 3 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 , 5 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ | - |


|  |  | Completion <br> Ethnicity |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cohort Count | Completion Count |  |  | Ra-Percent Index |
| African Amer. / Black | 34,498 | 27,059 | $78 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| Amer. Indian / Alaskan |  |  |  |  |
| Nat. | 690 | 589 | $85 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| Asian | 41,486 | 36,600 | $88 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Filipino | 19,857 | 17,004 | $86 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| Hispanic / Latino | 79,635 | 66,971 | $84 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | 4,793 | 3,976 | $83 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| White | 39,881 | 34,881 | $87 \%$ | $99 \%$ |
| Multiracial | 13,618 | 11,284 | $83 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| Unknown | 4,870 | 4,153 | $85 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 3 9 , 3 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 , 5 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ | - |


| Age | Completion |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |  |  |
| 19 or younger | Cohort Count | Completion Count | Rater | $97 \%$ |
| $20-21$ | 53,175 | 60,752 | 44,859 | $84 \%$ |
| $22-24$ | 38,549 | 31,671 | $82 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| $25-29$ | 30,399 | 25,073 | $82 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| $30-39$ | 25,932 | 21,836 | $84 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
|  |  | $96 \%$ |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & 40-49 \\ & 50 \text { or older } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,861 \\ 9,481 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,033 \\ 8,293 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \% \\ & 87 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97 \% \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 239,328 | 202,517 | 85\% | - |
| Disability Status | Completion |  |  |  |
| Any Disability | 17,550 | 14,758 | 84\% | 99\% |
| No Disabilities | 221,778 | 187,759 | 85\% | 100\% |
| Total | 239,328 | 202,517 | 85\% |  |
| Income Status | Completion |  |  |  |
| Low Income | 144,356 | 120,132 | 83\% | 96\% |
| All other students | 94,972 | 82,385 | 87\% | 100\% |
| Total | 239,328 | 202,517 | 85\% | - |
| Veteran Status | Completion |  |  |  |
| Veteran | 3,622 | 3,094 | 85\% | 100\% |
| Other students | 235,706 | 199,423 | 85\% | 99\% |
| Total | 239,328 | 202,517 | 85\% | - |
| Foster Youth Status | Completion |  |  |  |
| Foster Youth | 10,465 | 8,376 | 80\% | 94\% |
| Other students | 228,863 | 194,141 | 85\% | 100\% |
| Total | 239,328 | 202,517 | 85\% | - |

## NOTES:

Students enrolled by term from Fall 2011 through Summer 2014,
Cohort: aggregated.
Outcome: Course Completion Rate is the percentage of students receiving a grade of
A, B, C, D, F, P, NP, CR, NC or I out of all students receiving a grade (includes W).
Ratio of the rate of a disaggregated group over the rate of the reference
80-Percent Index:
subgroup.
A percent less than $80 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be under represented.
A percent over $120 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be over represented.
Disproportionate impact can only be confirmed for groups of 20 or more.
Reference Group: Subgroup with highest rate and group size of at least 20.
Shaded line: Group size is less than 20.

| ID | Target Group(s) | \# of Students Affected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B. 1 | N/A | N/A |

## GOALS, ACTIVITIES, FUNDING AND EVALUATION: COURSE COMPLETION

GOAL B.
The goal is to improve course completion for the following target populations identified in the college research as experiencing a disproportionate impact:

| Target Population(s) | Current gap, year | Goal* | Goal Year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No Gap | No gap | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

*Expressed as either a percentage or number. **Benchmark goals are to be decided by the
institution.

JUSTIFICATION BASED ON RESEARCH AND EVIDENCE: According to campus-based research, no target populations demonstrated disproportionate impact in course completion. Therefore, Chabot efforts will focus on improving outcomes in course completion in Goal C for ESL and basic skills course completion and certificate, degree and transfer completion.

ACTIVITIES: B. COURSE COMPLETION
B. 1 N/A

- Activity Type(s) N/A
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline & \text { Outreach } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Student Equity } \\ \text { Coordination/Planning }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Instructional Support } \\ \text { Activities }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Student Services or other } \\ \text { Categorical Program }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Curriculum/Course Development } \\ \text { or Adaptation }\end{array} & \text { Direct Student Support } \\ \hline & \text { Research and Evaluation } & & \text { Professional Development }\end{array}\right]$
- Target Student Group(s) \& \# of Each Affected*: N/A


## CAMPUS-BASED RESEARCH: ESL AND BASIC SKILLS COMPLETION

C. ESL AND BASIC SKILLS COMPLETION. The ratio of the number of students by population group who complete a degree-applicable course after having completed the final ESL or basic skills course compared to the number of those students who complete such a final ESL or basic skills course.

## Overview

Chabot College has several groups that are DI in the area of ESL and Basic Skills completion. Coordination with the Basic Skills Committee, Institutional Research, SSSP Advisory Council and several Faculty Inquiry Groups (FIG's) has generated new, comprehensive sets of academic and student support services to help students affected by DI complete at an equitable rate. The college is leveraging evidence developed by IR on Programs and Services that work in supporting student success and persistence at Chabot (www.chabotcollege.edu/ir). The following tables show the detail on which groups are the most DI in each of the basic skills courses.

Chabot College Successful Course Completion Rates in ESL and Basic Skills: ESL 110D

| Gender | Cohort Count | Success <br> Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 260 | 181 | 70\% | 100\% |
| Male | 123 | 81 | 66\% | 95\% |
| Unknown | 13 | 8 | 62\% | - |
| Total | 396 | 270 | 68\% | - |
| Ethnicity | Cohort Count | Success <br> Count | $\begin{gathered} \text { Success } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 80-Percent Index |
| African Amer. / Black | 7 | 4 | 57\% | - |
| Amer. Indian / Alaskan Nat. <br> Asian | 212 | 145 | 68\% | 100\% |
| Filipino | 12 | 8 | 67\% | - |
| Hispanic / Latino <br> Pacific Islander <br> White <br> Multiracial <br> Unknown | 135 | 87 | 64\% | 94\% |
| Total | 366 | 244 | 67\% | - |
| Age | Cohort Count | Success | Success | 80-Percent |


|  |  | Count | Rate | Index |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or younger | 50 | 37 | 74\% | 92\% |  |
| $20-21$ $22-24$ | 42 57 | 23 25 | $55 \%$ $44 \%$ | $68 \%$ $55 \%$ | under <br> rep <br> under <br> rep |
| 25-29 | 66 | 53 | 80\% | 100\% |  |
| 30-39 | 98 | 72 | 73\% | 91\% |  |
| 40-49 | 56 | 40 | 71\% | 89\% |  |
| 50 or older | 27 | 20 | 74\% | 92\% |  |
| Total | 396 | 270 | 68\% | - |  |
| Disability Status | Cohort Count | Success <br> Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |
| Any Disability | 3 | 1 | 33\% | - |  |
| No Disabilities | 393 | 269 | 68\% | 100\% |  |
| Total | 396 | 270 | 68\% | - |  |
| Income Status | Cohort Count | Success Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |
| Low Income | 261 | 179 | 69\% | 100\% |  |
| All other students | 135 | 91 | 67\% | 98\% |  |
| Total | 396 | 270 | 68\% | - |  |
| Veteran Status | Cohort Count | Success <br> Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |
| Veteran | 2 | 1 | 50\% | - |  |
| Other students | 394 | 269 | 68\% | 100\% |  |
| Total | 396 | 270 | 68\% | - |  |
| Foster Youth Status | Cohort Count | Success <br> Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |
| Foster Youth | 35 | 22 | 63\% | 91\% |  |
| Other students | 361 | 248 | 69\% | 100\% |  |
| Total | 396 | 270 | 68\% | - |  |

NOTES:
Cohort: Students enrolled by term from Fall 2011 through Summer 2014,
aggregated.
Outcome: Successful Course Completion Rate is the percentage of students receiving a passing grade ('P') out of all students receiving a grade.
Ratio of the rate of a disaggregated group over the rate of the reference
80-Percent Index:
subgroup.
A percent less than $80 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be under represented.
A percent over 120\% suggests that the subgroup may be over represented.
Disproportionate impact can only be confirmed for groups of 20 or more.
Reference Group: Subgroup with highest rate and group size of at least 20.
Shaded line: Group size is less than 20.
Chabot College Percent completing English 1A within 2 years of completing ESL 110D

|  | Num ESL 110D <br> Success | Num English 1A <br> Success |  | Pct Completing <br> English 1A |  | 80-Percent Index |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | 74 | 18 | $24 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |
| Female | 35 | 8 | $23 \%$ | $94 \%$ |  |  |
| Male | $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ | - |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Ethnicity | Num ESL 110D Success | Num English 1A Success | Pct Completing Eng 1A | 80-Percent Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African Amer. / Black | 1 | 0 | 0\% | - |
| Asian | 55 | 19 | 35\% | 100\% |
| Filipino | 2 | 0 | 0\% | - |
| Hispanic / Latino | 39 | 4 | 10\% | 30\% |
| White | 10 | 3 | 30\% | - |
| Total | 107 | 26 | 24\% | - |


|  | Num ESL 110D | Num English 1A <br> Success |  |  | Pct Completing <br> English 1A |  | 80-Percent Index |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 or younger | 38 | 13 | $34 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 25 or older | 73 | 13 | $18 \%$ | $52 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ | - |  |  |  |


|  | Num ESL 110D | Num English 1A | Pct Completing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disability Status | Success | Success | English 1A | 80-Percent Index |
| Any Disability |  | Not available due to counts of zero. |  |  |
| No Disabilities |  |  |  |  |

## Total

| Income Status | Num ESL 110D Success | Num English 1A Success | Pct Completing Eng 1A | 80-Percent Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low Income | 81 | 23 | 28\% | 100\% |
| All other students | 30 | 3 | 10\% | 35\% |
| Total | 111 | 26 | 23\% |  |


|  | Num ESL 110D <br> Success | Num English 1A <br> Success | Pct Completing <br> English 1A | 80-Percent Index |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Veteran |  | Not available due to counts of zero. |  |  |
| Other students |  |  |  |  |


| Foster Youth Status | Num ESL 110D Success | Num English 1A Success | Pct Completing English 1A | 80-Percent Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foster Youth | 8 | 3 | 38\% | - |
| Other students | 103 | 23 | 22\% | 100\% |
| Total | 111 | 26 | 23\% | - |

NOTES:
Cohort: Students with a passing grade ('P') in ESL 110D in the Fall 2011 and 2012 terms.
Percent Completing English 1A is the number of students receiving a passing grade
Outcome: (A, B, or C)
in English 1A within 2 years of successfully completing ESL 110D out of the cohort.
80-Percent Index: Ratio of the rate of a disaggregated group over the rate of the reference subgroup.
A percent less than $80 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be under represented.
A percent over $120 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be over represented.
Disproportionate impact can only be confirmed for groups of 20 or more.
Reference Group: Subgroup with highest rate and group size of at least 20.
Shaded line: Group size is less than 20.

Chabot College Successful Course Completion Rates in ESL and Basic Skills: ENGL 101A

| Gender | Cohort <br> Count | Success <br> Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent <br> Index |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Female | 962 | 596 |  | $100 \%$ |
| Male |  |  | $62 \%$ | $85 \%$ |


|  | 891 | 490 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unknown | 19 | 11 | $58 \%$ | - |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 8 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{-}$ |  |


| Ethnicity | Cohort Count | Success <br> Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African Amer. / Black | 268 | 128 | 48\% | 73\% | rep |
| Amer. Indian / Alaskan Nat. | 5 | 3 | 60\% | - |  |
| Asian <br> Filipino <br> Hispanic / Latino | $\begin{aligned} & 331 \\ & 134 \\ & 796 \end{aligned}$ | 217 87 468 | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \% \\ & 65 \% \\ & 59 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ 99 \% \\ 90 \% \end{array}$ |  |
| Pacific Islander | 31 | 12 | 39\% | 59\% | under rep |
| White | 191 | 122 | 64\% | 97\% |  |
| Multiracial | 92 | 45 | 49\% | 75\% | under <br> rep |
| Unknown | 24 | 15 | 63\% | 95\% |  |
| Total | 1,872 | 097 | 59\% | - |  |


| Age | Cohort <br> Count | Success <br> Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or younger | 858 | 542 | 63\% | 100\% |
| 20-21 | 317 | 167 | 53\% | 83\% |
| 22-24 | 250 | 138 | 55\% | 87\% |
| 25-29 | 170 | 106 | 62\% | 99\% |
| 30-39 | 145 | 72 | 50\% | 79\% |
| 40-49 | 73 | 42 | 58\% | 91\% |
| 50 or older | 59 | 30 | 51\% | 80\% |
| Total | 1,872 | 1,097 | 59\% | - |


| Disability Status | Cohort <br> Count | Success <br> Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent <br> Index |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Any Disability | 222 |  | 98 | $44 \%$ |
| No Disabilities | 1,650 | 999 | $61 \%$ | $73 \%$ |

$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Total } & 1,872 & 1,097 & 59 \% & -\end{array}$

| Income Status | Cohort Count | Success Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low Income | 1,280 | 727 | 57\% | 91\% |
| All other students | 592 | 370 | 63\% | 100\% |
| Total | 1,872 | 1,097 | 59\% | - |
| Veteran Status | Cohort Count | Success <br> Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent Index |
| Veteran | 30 | 19 | 63\% | 100\% |
| Other students | 1,842 | 1,078 | 59\% | 92\% |
| Total | 1,872 | 1,097 | 59\% | - |
| Foster Youth Status | Cohort Count | Success Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent Index |
| Foster Youth | 127 | 66 | 52\% | 88\% |
| Other students | 1,745 | 1,031 | 59\% | 100\% |
| Total | 1,872 | 1,097 | 59\% | - |

## NOTES:

Students enrolled by term from Fall 2011 through Summer 2014,
Cohort: aggregated.
Successful Course Completion Rate is the percentage of students
Outcome: receiving
a passing grade ('P') out of all students receiving a grade.
Ratio of the rate of a disaggregated group over the rate of the reference
80-Percent Index: subgroup.
A percent less than $80 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be under represented.
A percent over 120\% suggests that the subgroup may be over represented.
Disproportionate impact can only be confirmed for groups of 20 or more.
Reference Group: Subgroup with highest rate and group size of at least 20.
Shaded line: Group size is less than 20.

Chabot College Successful Course Completion Rates in ESL and Basic Skills: ENGL 101B

| Gender | Cohort <br> Count | Success <br> Count | Success <br> Rate |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Female |  |  | 80-Percent <br> Index |  |


|  | 494 | 377 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Male | 402 | 292 | $73 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| Unknown | 11 | 8 | $73 \%$ | - |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | - |


| Ethnicity | Cohort Count | Success <br> Count | Success Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African Amer. / Black | 92 | 58 | 63\% | 75\% | under rep |
| Amer. Indian / Alaskan Nat. | 1 | 1 | 100\% | - |  |
| Asian <br> Filipino <br> Hispanic / Latino | $\begin{array}{r} 204 \\ 73 \\ 375 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 157 \\ 58 \\ 280 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \% \\ & 79 \% \\ & 75 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \% \\ & 95 \% \\ & 89 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Pacific Islander | 12 | 8 | 67\% | - |  |
| White <br> Multiracial | 86 50 | 72 34 | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \% \\ & 68 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ 81 \% \end{array}$ |  |
| Unknown | 14 | 9 | 64\% | - |  |
| Total | 907 |  | 75\% | - |  |


| Age | Cohort Count | Success <br> Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or younger | 302 | 225 | 75\% | 89\% |
| 20-21 | 227 | 167 | 74\% | 88\% |
| 22-24 | 159 | 115 | 72\% | 87\% |
| 25-29 | 98 | 75 | 77\% | 92\% |
| 30-39 | 64 | 50 | 78\% | 94\% |
| 40-49 | 30 | 25 | 83\% | 100\% |
| 50 or older | 27 | 20 | 74\% | 89\% |
| Total | 907 | 677 | 75\% | - |


| Disability Status | Cohort <br> Count | Success <br> Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent <br> Index |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Any Disability | 99 | 69 | $70 \%$ | $93 \%$ |
| No Disabilities | 808 | 608 | $75 \%$ | $100 \%$ |


| Total | 907 | 677 | $75 \%$ | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Income Status | Cohort | Success | Success | 80-Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | Count | Count | Rate | Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low Income | 573 | 440 | 77\% | 100\% |
| All other students | 334 | 237 | 71\% | 92\% |
| Total | 907 | 677 | 75\% | - |
| Veteran Status | Cohort Count | Success Count | Success Rate | 80-Percent Index |
| Veteran | 15 | 11 | 73\% | - |
| Other students | 892 | 666 | 75\% | 100\% |
| Total | 907 | 677 | 75\% | - |
| Foster Youth Status | Cohort Count | Success Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent Index |
| Foster Youth | 56 | 40 | 71\% | 95\% |
| Other students | 851 | 637 | 75\% | 100\% |
| Total | 907 | 677 | 75\% | - |

## NOTES:

Students enrolled by term from Fall 2011 through Summer 2014,
Cohort: aggregated.
Successful Course Completion Rate is the percentage of students
Outcome: receiving
a passing grade (' P ') out of all students receiving a grade.
Ratio of the rate of a disaggregated group over the rate of the reference
80-Percent Index: subgroup.
A percent less than $80 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be under represented.
A percent over $120 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be over represented.
Disproportionate impact can only be confirmed for groups of 20 or more.
Reference Group: Subgroup with highest rate and group size of at least 20.

## Shaded line: Group size is less than 20.

Chabot College Successful Course Completion Rates in ESL and Basic Skills: ENGL 102

| Gender | Cohort <br> Count | Success <br> Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent <br> Index |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Female | 2,542 | 1,650 | $65 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| Male | 2,410 | 1,466 | $61 \%$ | $88 \%$ |


| Unknown | 64 | 44 | 69\% | 100\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 5,016 | 3,160 | 63\% | - |  |
| Ethnicity | Cohort Count | Success <br> Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |
| African Amer. / Black | 799 | 448 | 56\% | 79\% | rep |
| Amer. Indian / Alaskan Nat. | 8 | 6 | 75\% | - |  |
| Asian | 823 | 583 | 71\% | 100\% |  |
| Filipino | 454 | 298 | 66\% | 93\% |  |
| Hispanic / Latino | 2,012 | 1,217 | 60\% | 85\% |  |
| Pacific Islander | 137 | 87 | 64\% | 90\% |  |
| White | 459 | 324 | 71\% | 100\% |  |
| Multiracial | 261 | 156 | 60\% | 84\% |  |
| Unknown | 63 | 41 | 65\% | 92\% |  |
| Total | 5,016 | 3,160 | 63\% | - |  |
| Age | Cohort Count | Success Count | Success Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |
| 19 or younger | 2,848 | 1,830 | 64\% | 90\% |  |
| 20-21 | 839 | 488 | 58\% | 81\% |  |
| 22-24 | 548 | 311 | 57\% | 79\% | under <br> rep |
| 25-29 | 323 | 221 | 68\% | 96\% |  |
| 30-39 | 273 | 183 | 67\% | 94\% |  |
| 40-49 | 130 | 93 | 72\% | 100\% |  |
| 50 or older | 55 | 34 | 62\% | 86\% |  |
| Total | 5,016 | 3,160 | 63\% | - |  |
| Disability Status | Cohort Count | Success Count | Success Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |
| Any Disability | 242 | 132 | 55\% | 86\% |  |
| No Disabilities | 4,774 | 3,028 | 63\% | 100\% |  |
| Total | 5,016 | 3,160 | 63\% | - |  |
| Income Status | Cohort Count | Success Count | Success Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |


| Low Income <br> All other students | $\begin{aligned} & 3,369 \\ & 1,647 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,074 \\ & 1,086 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \% \\ & 66 \% \end{aligned}$ | 93\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 5,016 | 3,160 | 63\% | - |
| Veteran Status | Cohort Count | Success Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent Index |
| Veteran | 70 | 52 | 74\% | 100\% |
| Other students | 4,946 | 3,108 | 63\% | 85\% |
| Total | 5,016 | 3,160 | 63\% | - |
| Foster Youth Status | Cohort Count | Success Count | Success Rate | 80-Percent Index |
| Foster Youth | 321 | 163 | 51\% | 80\% |
| Other students | 4,695 | 2,997 | 64\% | 100\% |
| Total | 5,016 | 3,160 | 63\% | - |

## NOTES:

Students enrolled by term from Fall 2011 through Summer 2014,
Cohort: aggregated.
Successful Course Completion Rate is the percentage of students
Outcome: receiving
a passing grade ('P') out of all students receiving a grade.
Ratio of the rate of a disaggregated group over the rate of the reference
80-Percent Index: subgroup.
A percent less than $80 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be under represented.
A percent over $120 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be over represented.
Disproportionate impact can only be confirmed for groups of 20 or more.
Reference Group: Subgroup with highest rate and group size of at least 20.
Shaded line: Group size is less than 20.

Chabot College Percent completing English 1A within 2 years of completing English 102

|  |  | Pct |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Num English 102 | Num English 1A | Completing | 80-Percent |


| Female | 612 | 442 | $72 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Male | 529 | 368 | $70 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 1 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ | - |


|  | Num English 102 <br> Success |  |  | Num English1A <br> Success |  |  | Pct <br> Completing <br> English 1A |  | 80-Percent <br> Index |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African Amer. / | 174 | 111 | $64 \%$ | $79 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Num English 102 <br> Success | Num English1A <br> Success |  | Pct <br> Completing <br> English 1A |  | 80-Percent <br> Index |
| 24 or younger | 987 | 712 | $72 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |
| 25 or older | 171 | 108 | $63 \%$ | $88 \%$ |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ | - |  |  |


|  | Num English 102 <br> Success |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Disability Status | Num English1A <br> Success |  |  | Pct <br> Completing <br> English 1A |
| Any Disability | 55 | 41 | $75 \%$ | 80-Percent <br> Index |
| No Disabilities | 1,103 | 779 | $71 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ | $95 \%$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Status | Num English 102 <br> Success | Num English1A <br> Success |  |  | Pct <br> Completing <br> English 1A |  | 80-Percent <br> Index |
| Low Income | 748 | 526 | $70 \%$ | $98 \%$ |  |  |  |
| All other students | 410 | 294 | $72 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ | - |  |  |  |


|  | Num English 102 <br> Success |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Veteran Status | Num English1A <br> Success |  |  | Pct <br> Completing <br> English 1A |  | 80-Percent <br> Index |
| Veteran | 10 | 5 | $50 \%$ | - |  |  |
| Other students | 1,148 | 815 | $71 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |
| Total$\quad \mathbf{1 , 1 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ | - |  |  |  |


|  | Num English 102 <br> Success |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Foster Youth Status | Num English1A <br> Success |  | Pct <br> Completing <br> English 1A |  |
| Foster Youth | 80-Percent <br> Index |  |  |  |
| Other students | 1,087 | 41 | $58 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 8}$ | 779 | $\mathbf{8 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ |

## NOTES:

Students with a passing grade ('P') in English 102 in the Fall 2011 and
Cohort: 2012 terms.
Percent Completing English 1A is the number of students receiving a passing
Outcome: grade ('A', 'B',
or ' $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ') in English 1A within 2 years of successfully completing English 102 out of the cohort.
80-Percent Index: Ratio of the rate of a disaggregated group over the rate of the reference subgroup.
A percent less than $80 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be under
represented.
A percent over $120 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be over represented.
Disproportionate impact can only be confirmed for groups of 20 or more.
Reference Group: Subgroup with highest rate and group size of at least 20.
Shaded line: Group size is less than 20.
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| Gender | Cohort <br> Count | Success <br> Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent <br> Index |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 241 | 100 | $41 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| Male | 115 | 54 | $47 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Unknown | 2 | 2 | $100 \%$ | - |
| Total |  |  |  |  |


| Ethnicity | Cohort Count | Success Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African Amer. / Black | 109 | 38 | 35\% | 64\% | under <br> rep |
| Amer. Indian / Alaskan Nat. | 1 | 0 | 0\% | - |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|r|r|r|r|}\text { Asian } & 24 & 13 & 54 \% & 100 \% \\ \text { Filipino } & 21 & 11 & 52 \% & 97 \% \\ \text { Hispanic / Latino } & 141 & 68 & 48 \% & 89 \% \\ \text { Pacific Islander } & 13 & 3 & 23 \% & - \\ \text { White } & 26 & 14 & 54 \% & 99 \% \\ \text { Multiracial } & 18 & 7 & 39 \% & - \\ \text { Unknown } & 5 & 2 & 40 \% & - \\ \text { Total } & \mathbf{3 5 8} & \mathbf{4 5 6} & -\end{array}\right]$

| Age | Cohort Count | Success <br> Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or younger | 118 | 40 | 34\% | 60\% | rep |
| 20-21 | 89 | 50 | 56\% | 100\% |  |
| 22-24 | 61 | 28 | 46\% | 82\% |  |
| 25-29 | 31 | 14 | 45\% | 80\% |  |
| 30-39 | 34 | 13 | 38\% | 68\% | under <br> rep |
| 40-49 | 20 | 9 | 45\% | 80\% |  |
| 50 or older | 5 | 2 | 40\% | - |  |
| Total | 358 |  | 44\% | - |  |


| Disability Status | Cohort <br> Count | Success <br> Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent <br> Index |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Any Disability | 38 |  | 12 | $32 \%$ |


| Total | 358 | 156 | 44\% | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Status | Cohort Count | Success <br> Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |
| Low Income | 288 | 116 | 40\% | 70\% | under <br> rep |
| All other students | 70 | 40 | 57\% | 100\% |  |



| Other students | 353 | 154 | 44\% | 100\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 358 | 156 | 44\% | - |
| Foster Youth Status | Cohort Count | Success Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent Index |
| Foster Youth | 19 | 5 | 26\% | - |
| Other students | 339 | 151 | 45\% | 100\% |
| Total | 1,069 | 466 | 44\% | - |

## NOTES:

Students enrolled by term from Fall 2011 through Summer 2014,
Cohort: aggregated.
Successful Course Completion Rate is the percentage of students
Outcome: receiving
a passing grade ('A', 'B', 'C', 'CR', or 'P') out of all students receiving a grade.
Ratio of the rate of a disaggregated group over the rate of the reference
80-Percent Index: subgroup.
A percent less than $80 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be under represented.
A percent over 120\% suggests that the subgroup may be over represented.
Disproportionate impact can only be confirmed for groups of 20 or more.
Reference Group: Subgroup with highest rate and group size of at least 20.
Shaded line: Group size is less than 20.
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| Gender | Cohort <br> Count | Success <br> Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent <br> Index |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 417 | 173 | $41 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| Male | 324 | 154 | $48 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Unknown | 8 | 8 | $100 \%$ | - |
| Total |  |  |  |  |


| Ethnicity | Cohort Count | Success <br> Count | Success Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African Amer. / Black | 98 | 31 | 32\% | 52\% | rep |
| Amer. Indian / Alaskan Nat. | 4 | 1 | 25\% | - |  |
| Asian | 81 | 49 | 60\% | 100\% |  |
| Filipino | 78 | 37 | 47\% | 78\% | under rep |
| Hispanic / Latino | 312 | 129 | 41\% | 68\% | rep under |
| Pacific Islander | 20 | 7 | 35\% | 58\% |  |
| White | 99 | 53 | 54\% | 88\% |  |
| Multiracial | 46 | 23 | 50\% | 83\% |  |
| Unknown | 11 | 5 | 45\% | - |  |
| Total | 749 |  | 45\% | - |  |


| Age | Cohort Count | Success <br> Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or younger | 343 | 144 | 42\% | 76\% | under <br> rep |
| 20-21 | 156 | 69 | 44\% | 81\% |  |
| 22-24 | 102 | 46 | 45\% | 82\% |  |
| 25-29 | 73 | 36 | 49\% | 90\% |  |
| 30-39 | 51 | 28 | 55\% | 100\% |  |
| 40-49 | 18 | 10 | 56\% | - |  |
| 50 or older | 6 | 2 | 33\% | - |  |
| Total | 749 |  | 45\% | - |  |


| Disability Status | Cohort Count | Success Count | Success Rate | 80-Percent Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Any Disability | 40 | 16 | 40\% | 89\% |
| No Disabilities | 709 | 319 | 45\% | 100\% |


| Income Status | Cohort <br> Count | Success <br> Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent <br> Index |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Low Income | 479 | 194 | $41 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| All other students | 270 | 141 | $52 \%$ | under <br> rep |



## NOTES:

Students enrolled by term from Fall 2011 through Summer 2014,
Cohort: aggregated.
Successful Course Completion Rate is the percentage of students
Outcome: receiving
a passing grade ('A', 'B', 'C', 'CR', or 'P') out of all students receiving a grade.
Ratio of the rate of a disaggregated group over the rate of the reference
80-Percent Index: subgroup.
A percent less than $80 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be under represented.
A percent over $120 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be over represented.
Disproportionate impact can only be confirmed for groups of 20 or more.
Reference Group: Subgroup with highest rate and group size of at least 20.
Shaded line: Group size is less than 20.
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|  | Cohort | Success |  |  | 80-Percent <br> Gender |  | Count | Success Count | Rate | Index |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 2,169 | 1,014 | $47 \%$ | $85 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 1,899 | 909 | $48 \%$ | $87 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Unknown | 42 | 23 | 55\% | 100\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 4,110 | 1,946 | 47\% | - |  |
| Ethnicity | Cohort Count | Success Count | Success Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |
| African Amer. / Black | 458 | 153 | 33\% | 56\% | rep |
| Amer. Indian / Alaskan Nat. | 16 | 8 | 50\% | - |  |
| Asian | 627 | 373 | 59\% | 100\% |  |
| Filipino | 372 | 186 | 50\% | 84\% |  |
| Hispanic / Latino <br> Pacific Islander | 1,567 87 | 705 38 | $45 \%$ $44 \%$ | $76 \%$ $73 \%$ | under <br> rep <br> under <br> rep |
| White | 655 | 337 | 51\% | 86\% |  |
| Multiracial <br> Unknown | 225 103 | 100 46 | $44 \%$ $45 \%$ | $75 \%$ $75 \%$ | under <br> rep <br> under <br> rep |
| Total | 4,110 | 1,946 | 47\% | - |  |
| Age | Cohort Count | Success Count | Success Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |
| 19 or younger | 1,518 | 711 | 47\% | 86\% |  |
| 20-21 | 966 | 414 | 43\% | 79\% | under <br> rep |
| 22-24 | 674 | 311 | 46\% | 85\% |  |
| 25-29 | 430 | 230 | 53\% | 98\% |  |
| 30-39 | 343 | 187 | 55\% | 100\% |  |
| 40-49 | 118 | 62 | 53\% | 96\% |  |
| 50 or older | 61 | 31 | 51\% | 93\% |  |
| Total | 4,110 | 1,946 | 47\% | - |  |
| Disability Status | Cohort Count | Success Count | Success Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |
| Any Disability | 214 | 93 | 43\% | 91\% |  |
| No Disabilities | 3,896 | 1,853 | 48\% | 100\% |  |
| Total | 4,110 | 1,946 | 47\% | - |  |
| Income Status | Cohort Count | Success Count | Success Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |
| Low Income | 2,562 | 1,196 | 47\% | 96\% |  |


| All other students | 1,548 | 750 | 48\% | 100\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 4,110 | 1,946 | 47\% | - |
| Veteran Status | Cohort Count | Success Count | Success Rate | 80-Percent Index |
| Veteran | 74 | 29 | 39\% | 83\% |
| Other students | 4,036 | 1,917 | 47\% | 100\% |
| Total | 4,110 | 1,946 | 47\% | - |
| Foster Youth Status | Cohort Count | Success Count | Success Rate | 80-Percent Index |
| Foster Youth | 165 | 80 | 48\% | 100\% |
| Other students | 3,945 | 1,866 | 47\% | 98\% |
| Total | 4,110 | 1,946 | 47\% | - |

## NOTES:

Students enrolled by term from Fall 2011 through Summer 2014,
Cohort: aggregated.
Outcome: Successful Course Completion Rate is the percentage of students receiving a passing grade ('A', 'B', 'C', 'CR', or 'P') out of all students receiving a grade.
Ratio of the rate of a disaggregated group over the rate of the reference
80-Percent Index: subgroup.
A percent less than $80 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be under represented.
A percent over $120 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be over represented.
Disproportionate impact can only be confirmed for groups of 20 or more.
Reference Group: Subgroup with highest rate and group size of at least 20.
Shaded line: Group size is less than 20.
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| Gender |  | Success Count | Success Rate | 80-Percent Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 2,009 | 872 | 43\% | 83\% |
| Male | 1,477 | 622 | 42\% | 80\% |
| Unknown | 42 | 22 | 52\% | 100\% |
| Total | 3,528 | 1,516 | 43\% | - |


| Ethnicity | Cohort Count | Success Count | $\begin{gathered} \text { Success } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 80-Percent Index | under <br> rep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African Amer. / Black | 508 | 167 | 33\% | 58\% |  |
| Amer. Indian / Alaskan Nat. | 6 | 1 | 17\% | - |  |
| Asian <br> Filipino | $\begin{aligned} & 384 \\ & 265 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 216 \\ & 133 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \% \\ & 50 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ 89 \% \end{array}$ |  |
| Hispanic / Latino <br> Pacific Islander | 1,503 75 | 599 26 | $40 \%$ $35 \%$ | $71 \%$ $62 \%$ | under <br> rep <br> under <br> rep |
| White | 523 | 270 | 52\% | 92\% |  |
| Multiracial <br> Unknown | $\begin{array}{r}209 \\ 55 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & 24 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \% \\ & 44 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \% \\ & 78 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | under <br> rep <br> under <br> rep |
| Total | 3,528 | 1,516 | 43\% | - |  |
| Age | Cohort Count | Success Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |
| 19 or younger | 1,346 | 570 | 42\% | 82\% |  |
| 20-21 | 731 | 285 | 39\% | 75\% | under <br> rep |
| 22-24 | 507 | 221 | 44\% | 84\% |  |
| 25-29 | 378 | 168 | 44\% | 86\% |  |
| 30-39 | 328 | 170 | 52\% | 100\% |  |
| 40-49 | 157 | 69 | 44\% | 85\% |  |
| 50 or older | 81 | 33 | 41\% | 79\% | under rep |
| Total | 3,528 | 1,516 | 43\% | - |  |
| Disability Status | Cohort Count | Success Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |
| Any Disability | 338 | 114 | 34\% | 77\% | rep |
| No Disabilities | 3,190 | 1,402 | 44\% | 100\% |  |
| Total | 3,528 | 1,516 | 43\% | - |  |
| Income Status | Cohort | Success | Success | 80-Percent |  |


|  | Count | Count | Rate | Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low Income | 2,311 | 979 | 42\% | 96\% |
| All other students | 1,217 | 537 | 44\% | 100\% |
| Total | 3,528 | 1,516 | 43\% | - |
| Veteran Status | Cohort Count | Success <br> Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent Index |
| Veteran | 62 | 33 | 53\% | 100\% |
| Other students | 3,466 | 1,483 | 43\% | 80\% |
| Total | 3,528 | 1,516 | 43\% | - |
| Foster Youth Status | Cohort Count | Success Count | Success <br> Rate | 80-Percent Index |
| Foster Youth | 185 | 67 | 36\% | 84\% |
| Other students | 3,343 | 1,449 | 43\% | 100\% |
| Total | 3,528 | 1,516 | 43\% | - |

## NOTES:

Students enrolled by term from Fall 2011 through Summer 2014,
Cohort: aggregated.
Successful Course Completion Rate is the percentage of students
Outcome: receiving
a passing grade ('A', 'B', 'C', 'CR', or 'P') out of all students receiving a grade.
Ratio of the rate of a disaggregated group over the rate of the reference
80-Percent Index: subgroup.
A percent less than $80 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be under represented.
A percent over 120\% suggests that the subgroup may be over represented.
Disproportionate impact can only be confirmed for groups of 20 or more.
Reference Group: Subgroup with highest rate and group size of at least 20.
Shaded line: Group size is less than 20.

Chabot College Percent completing Math 55 within 2 years of completing Math 65

| Gender | Num Math 65 | Num Math 55 | Pct <br> Completing | 80-Percent Index |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  | Mth 55 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 260 | 124 | $48 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| Male | 193 | 98 | $51 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 \%}$ | - |


|  | Num Math 65 <br> Success |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity | Num Math 55 <br> Success |  |  | Pct <br> Completing <br> Mth 55 |  | 80-Percent Index | under


|  | Num Math 65 <br> Success |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Num Math 55 <br> Success |  |  | Pompleting <br> Mth 55 |  | 80-Percent Index |
| 24 or younger | 350 | 173 | $49 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |
| 25 or older | 111 | 55 | $50 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 \%}$ | - |  |  |


| Disability Status | Num Math 65 Success | Num Math 55 Success | Pct <br> Completing Mth 55 | 80-Percent Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Any Disability | 34 | 14 | 41\% | 82\% |
| No Disabilities | 427 | 214 | 50\% | 100\% |
| Total | 461 | 228 | 49\% | - |


| Income Status | Num Math 65 Success | Num Math 55 Success | Pct Completing Mth 55 | 80-Percent Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low Income | 291 | 147 | 51\% | 100\% |
| All other students | 170 | 81 | 48\% | 94\% |
| Total | 461 | 228 | 49\% | - |


|  |  |  | Pct |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Veteran Status | Num Math 65 | Num Math 55 | Completing |  |


| Veteran | 7 | 5 | 71\% | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other students | 454 | 223 | 49\% | 100\% |
| Total | 461 | 228 | 49\% | - |
| Foster Youth Status | Num Math 65 Success | Num Math 55 Success | Pct <br> Completing <br> Mth 55 | 80-Percent Index |
| Foster Youth | 20 | 12 | 60\% | 100\% |
| Other students | 441 | 216 | 49\% | 82\% |
| Total | 461 | 228 | 49\% | - |

## NOTES:

Students with a passing grade ('A', 'B', 'C', or 'P') in Math 65 in the Fall 2011 and
Cohort: 2012 terms.
Percent Completing Math 55 is the number of students receiving a passing grade
Outcome: ('A', 'B', 'C',
or 'P') in Math 55 within 2 years of successfully completing Math 65 out of the cohort.
Ratio of the rate of a disaggregated group over the rate of the reference
80-Percent Index: subgroup.
A percent less than $80 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be under represented.
A percent over 120\% suggests that the subgroup may be over represented.
Disproportionate impact can only be confirmed for groups of 20 or more.
Subgroup with highest rate and group size of at least
Reference Group: 20.
Shaded line: Group size is less than 20.

Chabot College Percent completing College Math within 2 years of completing Math 55/54/53

|  | Num Math 55/54 <br> Success |  | Num Coll Math <br> Success |  | Pct Completing <br> Coll Math |  | 80-Percent <br> Index |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 365 | 189 | $52 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Male | 337 | 173 | $51 \%$ | $99 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 \%}$ | - |  |  |  |


|  | Num Math 55/54 <br> Success |  | Num Coll Math <br> Success | Pct Completing <br> Coll Math |  | 80-Percent <br> Index |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African Amer. / |  | 48 |  |  |  |  |
| Black |  | 23 | $48 \%$ | $83 \%$ |  |  |


| Asian | 139 | 80 | $58 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Filipino | 74 | 40 | $54 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| Hispanic / Latino | 260 | 129 | $50 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| White | 113 | 58 | $51 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 \%}$ | - |


| Age | Num Math 55/54 <br> Success |  | Num Coll Math <br> Success | Pct Completing <br> Coll Math |  | 80-Percent <br> Index |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 or younger | 551 | 300 | $54 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |
| 25 or older | 160 | 66 | $41 \%$ | $76 \%$ |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ | - |  |  |


| Disability Status | Num Math 55/54 Success | Num Coll Math Success | Pct Completing Coll Math | 80-Percent <br> Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Any Disability | 29 | 17 | 59\% | 100\% |
| No Disabilities | 682 | 349 | 51\% | 87\% |
| Total | 711 | 366 | 51\% |  |


| Income Status | Num Math 55/54 <br> Success |  | Num Coll Math <br> Success |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Low Income | 421 | 218 | Pct Completing <br> Coll Math |  |
| All other students | 290 | 148 | $52 \%$ | 80-Percent <br> Index |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 6}$ | $51 \%$ | $100 \%$ |


|  | Num Math 55/54 <br> Success |  | Num Coll Math <br> Success | Pct Completing <br> Coll Math |  | 80-Percent <br> Index |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Veteran Status | 8 | 5 | $63 \%$ | - |  |  |
| Veteran | 703 | 361 | $51 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |
| Other students | $\mathbf{7 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ | - |  |  |


|  | Num Math 55/54 <br> Success |  | Num Coll Math <br> Success |  | Pct Completing <br> Coll Math |  | 80-Percent <br> Index |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foster Youth Status | 31 | 13 | $42 \%$ | $81 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Foster Youth | 680 | 353 | $52 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Other students | $\mathbf{7 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ | - |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

NOTES:
Students with a passing grade ('A', 'B', 'C', or 'P') in Math 55/54 in the Fall 2011 and
Cohort: 2012 terms.
Percent Completing College Level Math is the number of students receiving a passing
Outcome: grade
('A', 'B', or 'C') in College Level Math within 2 years of successfully completing Math 55/54 out of the cohort.

80-Percent Index: Ratio of the rate of a disaggregated group over the rate of the reference subgroup.
A percent less than $80 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be under represented.
A percent over $120 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be over represented.
Disproportionate impact can only be confirmed for groups of 20 or more.
Reference Group: Subgroup with highest rate and group size of at least 20.

## Shaded line: Group size is less than 20.

Conclusions: Disproportionately Impacted Student Groups
African American, Chicano/Latino, Pacific Islander, low income, students with disabilities and veteran students are the most disproportionately impacted in varying levels of English, ESL and math basic skills courses. African American, Chicano/Latino and Pacific Islander students are among the most impacted ethnic groups.

## GOALS, ACTIVITIES, FUNDING AND EVALUATION: ESL AND BASIC SKILLS

 COURSE COMPLETIONGOAL C.

The goal is to improve ESL and basic skills completion for the following target populations identified in the college research as experiencing a disproportionate impact:

| Target Population(s) | Current gap, year | Goal* | Goal Year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| African American <br> Students for Math 65 <br> to Math 55 (degree- <br> applicable) | $12 \%, 2014$ | $50 \%$ | 2020 |
| African American for <br> English 101B to 1A | $8 \%, 2014$ | $70 \%$ | 2020 |
| African American for <br> English 102 to 1A | $1 \%, 2014$ | $65 \%$ | 2020 |
| Filipino students who <br> complete their next <br> level math or English <br> for Math 65 to Math <br> 55 (Degree- <br> applicable) | $6 \%, 2014$ | $50 \%$ | 2020 |
| Filipino students for <br> English 101B to 1A | $13 \%, 2014$ | $70 \%$ | 2020 |
| Hispanic/Latino <br> students who | $32 \%, 2014$ | $42 \%$ | 2020 |


| complete their next- <br> level English course <br> after completing the <br> highest level ESL <br> course for ESL 110D <br> to 1A |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Students with any <br> disability completing <br> English 101A and <br> Math 53 | $42 \%, 2014$ (Eng.) <br> $32 \%, 2014$ (Math) | $50 \%$ <br> $40 \%$ | 2020 |
| Low income students <br> completing Math 53, <br> 54 | $40 \%, 2014$ | $41 \%, 2014$ | $50 \%$ |

*Expressed as either a percentage or number
**Benchmark goals are to be decided by the institution.

## ACTIVITIES: C. ESL AND BASIC SKILLS COURSE COMPLETION

C. 1

- Activity Type(s)

| $X$ | Outreach | $X$ | Student Equity <br> Coordination/Planning | $X$ | Instructional Support <br> Activities |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $X$ | Student Services or other <br> Categorical Program | $X$ | Curriculum/Course Development <br> or Adaptation | $X$ | Direct Student Support |
| $X$ | Research and Evaluation | $X$ | Professional Development |  |  |

- Target Student Group(s) \& \# of Each Affected*:

| ID | Target Group(s) | \# of Students Affected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C. 1 | African-American | $1500-1800$ |
|  |  |  |

## - Activity Implementation Plan

Increase basic skill instructional support and learning assistance in math for AfricanAmerican students

Provide peer to peer support for learning assistance for Striving Black Brothers Coalition students, workshops and leadership activities

Enhance STEM Center resources in surveying campus climate, professional development, outreach and staff to serve target population in support for basic skills completion in math

Expand Daraja Project participation and support for 2nd year students including tutoring, direct student aid and success workshops/leadership training and scaling up peer to peer mentoring

Professional Development around teaching to targeted populations including African American students

Provide books, transportation, child care and food resources for students in highest need as identified in programs or through faculty/staff/administrators.

Additional instructional and counselor assistance will be provided to students with highest need in math through the Math Lab, and in English with collaboration with Daraja, SBBC, Puente, DSPS, FYE and Veteran's Benefits programs to increase target population participation in support services.

Expand CIN, FYE, Daraja, Puente and SBBC resources to increase student engagement, professional development and collaboration with other learning communities

Expand Daraja curriculum to include PSCN and Passion Project, as well as mentoring and professional development components

Establish a Black Faculty/Staff Association to support the needs of African American students including culturally relevant events and activities such as Black History Month

Revitalize Ethnic Studies, which will include core courses attractive to Daraja and other African-American students

Collaborate with African-American and Latino FIG hosted by Land and Johnston to develop through research, experience and creativity a vital 21" Equity pedagogy that moves us into learning that is fueled by love and leads to liberation, a post-equity pedagogy, share with colleagues and host professional development opportunities

Participate in professional development at statewide Umoja Summer Learning Institute, Regional Symposium, and co-host annual conference for faculty, staff and students

Re-establish Early Alert system to track progress of students, especially target populations, making timely referrals to support services

Provide bi-monthly workshops on Chaffey Grant for Foster Youth
Review, revise, and where necessary, redesign STEM courses and programs to better address the needs of targeted populations.

| ID | Planned Start and End <br> Date(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds** |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| C.1 | July 1, 2015 to December |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31, 2016 |  |$|$| $\$ 230,000$ |
| :---: |
| \$10,000 SBBC co-curricular <br> \$45,000 SSSP for <br> counseling |

## - Link to Goal

Comprehensive academic and student support services, direct aid, professional inquiry and development, learning communities, SSSP core services, accelerated learning, coalition building and student engagement enhancements are linked directly to the goal of increasing the basic skills completion rates of African American students as evidenced by Institutional Research that shows programs and services that work in increasing student success (http://www.chabotcollege.edu/ir/StudentSuccess/ChabotProgramsthatworkTwoPage2015b.p df).

## - Evaluation

Students indicate their ethnicity on their Admissions Application so the IR Researcher will be able to compare their outcomes in the success indicators with the average student population. The success indicator outcomes for access will be shared with the SECC for review, analysis and recommendations for program modifications. African American student outcomes for basic skills completion indicators will be measured after census data is available for each term for the prior term.
C. 2

- Activity Type(s)

| X | Outreach | X | Student Equity <br> Coordination/Planning | X | Instructional Support <br> Activities |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| X | Student Services or <br> other Categorical <br> Program | X | Curriculum/Course <br> Development or <br> Adaptation | X | Direct Student Support |
| X | Research and <br> Evaluation | X | Professional Development |  |  |

- Target Student Group(s) \& \# of Each Affected*:

| ID | Target Group | \# of Students Affected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C. 2 | Filipino | $100-200$ |
|  |  |  |

## - Activity Implementation Plan

Collect data on Foster Youth basic skills assessment and completion, tutor students in small groups, and provide dedicated counseling services

Provide curriculum development funding for faculty to modify ESL curriculum to enhance ESL completion into English 1A

Support Black/Latino Faculty Inquiry Group (FIG) to increase awareness of Teacher Research, professional development around equity, and conduct teacher research projects in the English discipline to be shared at faculty retreats

Increase basic skill instructional support and learning assistance in math for Filipino students
Enhance STEM Center resources in surveying campus climate, professional development, outreach and staff to serve target population in support for course completion in math

Professional Development around teaching to targeted populations
Provide books, transportation, childcare and food resources for students in highest need as identified in programs or through faculty/staff/administrators

Additional instructional and counselor assistance will be provided to students with highest need in math through the Math Lab, and in English with collaboration with Daraja, SBBC, Puente, DSPS, Foster Youth, FYE and Veteran's Benefits programs to increase target population participation in support services

Expand CIN, FYE, Daraja, Puente and SBBC resources to increase student engagement, professional development and collaboration with other learning communities

Establish a API Faculty/Staff Association to support the needs of Filipino students
Revitalize Ethnic Studies, which will include core courses attractive to Filipino students Participate in professional development at statewide Umoja Summer Learning Institute, Regional Symposium, and host annual conference for faculty, staff and students

Re-establish Early Alert system to track progress of students, especially target populations, making timely referrals to support services

Review, revise, and where necessary, redesign STEM courses and programs to better address the needs of targeted populations.

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds** |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C.2 | July 1, 2015 to December | $\$ 45,000$ | $\$ 20,000$ SSSP counseling |
|  | 31,2016 |  |  |

## - Link to Goal

Comprehensive academic and student support services, direct aid, professional inquiry and development, learning communities, SSSP core services, accelerated learning, coalition building and student engagement enhancements are linked directly to the goal of increasing the basic skills completion rates of African American students as evidenced by Institutional Research that
shows programs and services that work in increasing student success
(http://www.chabotcollege.edu/ir/StudentSuccess/ChabotProgramsthatworkTwoPage2015b.pdf).

## - Evaluation

Students indicate their ethnicity on their Admissions Application so the IR Researcher will be able to compare their outcomes in the success indicators with the average student population. The success indicator outcomes for access will be shared with the SECC for review, analysis and recommendations for program modifications. Filipino student outcomes for basic skills completion indicators will be measured after census data is available for each term for the prior term.

## C. 3

- Activity Type(s)

| X | Outreach | X | Student Equity <br> Coordination/Planning | X | Instructional Support <br> Activities |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| X | Student Services or <br> other Categorical <br> Program |  | Curriculum/Course <br> Development or <br> Adaptation | X | Direct Student Support |
| Research and <br> Evaluation | X | Professional Development |  |  |  |

- Target Student Group(s) \& \# of Each Affected*:

| ID | Target Group | \# of Students Affected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C. 3 | Hispanic/Latino | $1500-1800$ |
|  |  |  |

## - Activity Implementation Plan

Collect data on ESL basic skills assessment and completion, tutor students in small groups, and provide dedicated counseling services

Provide curriculum development funding for faculty to modify ESL curriculum to enhance ESL completion into English 1A

Increase basic skill instructional support and learning assistance in English for ESL students
Enhance STEM Center resources in surveying campus climate, professional development, outreach and staff to serve target population in support for course completion in math

Professional Development around teaching to targeted populations
Provide books, transportation, childcare and food resources for students in highest need as identified in programs or through faculty/staff/administrators.

Additional instructional and counselor assistance will be provided to students with highest need in ESL and English and math with collaboration with Daraja, SBBC, Puente, DSPS, FYE and Veteran's Benefits programs to increase target population participation in support services

Expand CIN, FYE, Daraja, Puente and SBBC resources to increase student engagement, professional development and collaboration with other learning communities

Re-establish Early Alert system to track progress of students, especially target populations, making timely referrals to support services

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds** |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C.5 | July 1, 2015 to December | $\$ 70,000$ | SSSP $-\$ 45,000$ |

## - Link to Goal

Comprehensive academic and student support services, direct aid, professional inquiry and development, learning communities, SSSP core services, accelerated learning, coalition building and student engagement enhancements are linked directly to the goal of increasing the basic skills completion rates of African American students as evidenced by Institutional Research that shows programs and services that work in increasing student success (http://www.chabotcollege.edu/ir/StudentSuccess/ChabotProgramsthatworkTwoPage2015b.pdf).

## - Evaluation

Students indicate their ethnicity on their Admissions Application so the IR Researcher will be able to compare their outcomes in the success indicators with the average student population. The success indicator outcomes for access will be shared with the SECC for review, analysis and recommendations for program modifications. Hispanic/Latino student outcomes for basic skills completion indicators will be measured after census data is available for each term for the prior term.

## C. 4

## - Activity Type(s)

|  | Outreach | Student Equity <br> Coordination/Planning | X | Instructional Support <br> Activities |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| X | Student Services or <br> other Categorical <br> Program | Curriculum/Course <br> Development or <br> Adaptation | X | Direct Student Support |
|  | Research and Evaluation | Professional Development |  |  |

- Target Student Group(s) \& \# of Each Affected*:

| ID | Target Group | \# of Students Affected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C. 4 | Students with Disabilities | $500-600$ |
|  |  |  |

## - Activity Implementation Plan

Collect data on disable student basic skills assessment and completion, tutor students in small groups, and provide dedicated counseling services

Increase basic skill instructional support and learning assistance in English for students with disabilities through embedded tutoring

Enhance STEM Center resources in surveying campus climate, professional development, outreach and staff to serve target population in support for course completion in math

Professional Development around teaching to targeted populations
Provide books, transportation, childcare and food resources for students in highest need as identified in programs or through faculty/staff/administrators.

Additional instructional and counselor assistance will be provided to students with disabilities with highest need in ESL and English with collaboration with Daraja, SBBC, Puente, DSPS, FYE and Veteran's Benefits programs to increase target population participation in support services.

Expand CIN, FYE, Daraja, Puente and SBBC resources to increase student engagement, professional development and collaboration with other learning communities to include more students with disabilities

Re-establish Early Alert system to track progress of students, especially target populations, making timely referrals to support services

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds** |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C.4 | July 1, 2015 to December | $\$ 33,000$ | DSPS - \$45,000 |

## - Link to Goal

Comprehensive academic and student support services, direct aid, professional inquiry and development, learning communities, SSSP core services, embedded learning assistance, coalition building and student engagement enhancements are linked directly to the goal of increasing the basic skills completion rates of students with disabilities as evidenced by Institutional Research that shows programs and services that work in increasing student success (http://www.chabotcollege.edu/ir/StudentSuccess/ChabotProgramsthatworkTwoPage2015b.pdf).

## - Evaluation

Students indicate their ethnicity on their Admissions Application so the IR Researcher will be able to compare their outcomes in the success indicators with the average student population. The success indicator outcomes for access will be shared with the SECC for review, analysis and recommendations for program modifications. Disabled student outcomes for basic skills completion indicators will be measured after census data is available for each term for the prior term.

## C. 5

- Activity Type(s)

|  | Outreach | Student Equity <br> Coordination/Planning | X | Instructional Support <br> Activities |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| X | Student Services or <br> other Categorical <br> Program | Curriculum/Course <br> Development or <br> Adaptation | X | Direct Student Support |
|  | Research and Evaluation | Professional Development |  |  |

- Target Student Group(s) \& \# of Each Affected*:

| ID | Target Group | \# of Students Affected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C. 5 | Low income students | $600-800$ |
|  |  |  |

## - Activity Implementation Plan

Collect data on low income student basic skills assessment and completion, tutor students in small groups, and provide embedded counseling services in learning communities/FYE

Increase basic skill instructional support and learning assistance in English for low income students through embedded tutoring

Enhance STEM Center resources in surveying campus climate, professional development, outreach and staff to serve target population in support for course completion in math

Professional Development around teaching to targeted populations
Provide books, transportation, childcare and food resources for students in highest need as identified in programs or through faculty/staff/administrators.

Additional instructional and counselor assistance will be provided to low income students with highest need in ESL and English with collaboration with Daraja, SBBC, Puente, DSPS, FYE and Veteran's Benefits programs to increase target population participation in support services.

Expand CIN, FYE, Daraja, Puente and SBBC resources to increase student engagement, professional development and collaboration with other learning communities to include more low income students

Re-establish Early Alert system to track progress of students, especially target populations, making timely referrals to support services

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds** |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C.5 | July 1, 2015 to December | $\$ 75,000$ | SSSP $-\$ 55,000$ |

## - Link to Goal

Comprehensive academic and student support services, direct aid, professional inquiry and development, learning communities, SSSP core services, embedded learning assistance, coalition building and student engagement enhancements are linked directly to the goal of increasing the basic skills completion rates of low income as evidenced by Institutional Research that shows programs and services that work in increasing student success (http://www.chabotcollege.edu/ir/StudentSuccess/ChabotProgramsthatworkTwoPage2015b.pdf).

## - Evaluation

Students indicate their ethnicity on their Admissions Application so the IR Researcher will be able to compare their outcomes in the success indicators with the average student population. The success indicator outcomes for access will be shared with the SECC for review, analysis and recommendations for program modifications. Low income student outcomes for basic skills completion indicators will be measured after census data is available for each term for the prior term.

## CAMPUS-BASED RESEARCH: DEGREE AND CERTIFICATE COMPLETION

D. DEGREE AND CERTIFICATE COMPLETION. The ratio of the number of students by population group who receive a degree or certificate to the number of students in that group with the same informed matriculation goal as documented in the student educational plan developed with a counselor/advisor.

## Overview

Chabot College students of color, except Asian students, do show disproportionate impact in degree and certificate completion. Hispanic, African American and Pacific Islander are the three groups with the largest equity gaps along with the small group of American Indian/Native Alaskan showing zero certificates earned as well as Foster Youth with one in the baseline year (08-09) through the outcome measurement year (10-11). Low income, veterans and students with disabilities are not found to be DI for certificate completion after four years. Foster youth, students with disabilities and African American, American Indian, and Pacific Islander students are the three ethnic groups with the largest equity gaps in degree completion after four years. The following table shows the certificate and degree attainment amounts and rates for each group.

Chabot College Percent of Students Earning a Certificate out of those with Degree, Certificate, or Job Training goal, by cohort, after 4 years

| Gender | Num Cert Goal | Num with Cert | Pct w/ Cert | 80-Percent Index |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 1,139 | 24 | 2\% | 100\% |  |
| Male | 1,702 | 34 | 2\% | 95\% |  |
| Unknown | 83 | - | 0\% | 0\% | under <br> rep |
| Total | 2,924 | 58 | 2\% | - |  |
| Ethnicity | Num Cert Goal | Num with Cert | Pct w/ Cert | 80-Percent Index |  |
| African Amer. / Black | 522 | 8 | 2\% | 20\% | rep |
| Amer. Indian / Alaskan Nat. | 26 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% | under rep |
| Asian | 196 | 15 | 8\% | 100\% |  |
| Filipino | 111 | 2 | 2\% | 24\% | under <br> rep |
| Hispanic / Latino | 1,279 | 18 | 1\% | 18\% |  |
| Pacific Islander | 58 | 1 | 2\% | 23\% | under rep |


| White | 536 | 11 | $2 \%$ | $27 \%$ | under <br> rep <br> under <br> Oep |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Other | 23 |  | 0 | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| rep |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undep |  |  |  |  |  |



| Disability Status | Num with |  |  | 80-Percent Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Any Disability | 123 | 2 | 2\% | 81\% |
| No Disabilities | 2,801 | 56 | 2\% | 100\% |
| Total | 2,924 |  | 2\% | - |


| Income Status | Num with |  |  | 80-Percent <br> Index |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Low Income | Num Cert Goal | Cert | Pcert | $2 \%$ |  |
| All other students | 1,079 | 24 | $200 \%$ |  |  |

Total $2,924 \quad 58 \quad 2 \%-$

|  |  | Num with |  | 80-Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Veteran Status | Num Cert Goal | Cert | Pct w/ Cert | Index |


| Veteran | 39 | 4 | 10\% | 100\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other students | 2,885 | 54 | 2\% | 18\% | under <br> rep |
| Total | 2,924 | 58 | 2\% | - |  |
| Foster Youth Status | Num Cert Goal | Num with Cert | Pct w/ Cert | 80-Percent Index |  |
| Foster Youth | 110 | 1 | 1\% | 45\% | under <br> rep |
| Other students | 2,814 | 57 | 2\% | 100\% |  |
| Total | 2,924 | 58 | 2\% | - |  |

## NOTES:

New students enrolled in Academic Years 2008-09 through 2010-11 and
Cohort: have an
educational goal of Degree or Job Training.
Percent with Certificate is the number of new students receiving a
Outcome: Certificate within
4 years of starting at Chabot College out of the corresponding cohort.
Ratio of the rate of a disaggregated group over the rate of the reference
80-Percent Index: subgroup.
A percent less than $80 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be under represented.
A percent over $120 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be over represented.
Disproportionate impact can only be confirmed for groups of 20 or more.
Reference Group: Subgroup with highest rate and group size of at least 20.
Shaded line: Group size is less than 20.

Chabot College Percent of Students Earning a Degree out of those with Degree/Transfer goal, by cohort, after 4 years

| Gender | Num Degree Goal | Num with Degree | Pct w/ <br> Degree | 80-Percent Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 3,361 | 261 | 8\% | 100\% |
| Male | 4,019 | 191 | 5\% | 61\% |
| Unknown | 127 | 4 | 3\% | 41\% |



|  |  |  |  |  | rep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Disabilities | 7,237 | 450 | 6\% | 100\% |  |
| Total | 7,507 | 456 | 6\% | - |  |
| Income Status | Num Degree Goal | Num with Degree | Pct w/ <br> Degree | 80-Percent Index |  |
| Low Income | 3,645 | 220 | 6\% | 99\% |  |
| All other students | 3,862 | 236 | 6\% | 100\% |  |
| Total | 7,507 | 456 | 6\% | - |  |
| Veteran Status | Num Degree Goal | Num with Degree | Pct w/ Degree | 80-Percent Index |  |
| Veteran | 79 | 9 | 11\% | 100\% |  |
| Other students | 7,428 | 447 | 6\% | 53\% | under <br> rep |
| Total | 7,507 | 456 | 6\% | - |  |
| Foster Youth Status | Num Degree Goal | Num with Degree | Pct w/ <br> Degree | 80-Percent Index |  |
| Foster Youth | 373 | 7 | 2\% | 30\% | under <br> rep |
| Other students | 7,134 | 449 | 6\% | 100\% |  |
| Total | 7,507 | 456 | 6\% | - |  |

## NOTES:

New students enrolled in Academic Years 2008-09 through 2010-11 and have
Cohort: an educational goal of Degree or Transfer

Percent with Degree is the number of new students receiving a Degree within
Outcome: 4 years of starting at Chabot College out of the corresponding cohort.
Ratio of the rate of a disaggregated group over the rate of the reference
80-Percent Index: subgroup.
A percent less than $80 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be under represented.
A percent over 120\% suggests that the subgroup may be over represented.
Disproportionate impact can only be confirmed for groups of 20 or more.
Reference Group: Subgroup with highest rate and group size of at least 20.
Shaded line: Group size is less than 20.

## GOALS, ACTIVITIES, FUNDING AND EVALUATION: DEGREE AND CERTIFICATE COMPLETION

## GOAL D.

The goal is to improve degree and certificate completion for the following target populations identified in the college research as experiencing a disproportionate impact:

| Target <br> Population(s) | Current gap, year | Goal* | Goal Year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Males earning a <br> degree after 4 years | $2 \%, 2014$ | $7 \%$ | 2020 |
| African-American <br> students earning a <br> degree after 4 years | $7 \%, 2014$ | $9 \%$ | 2020 |
| American Indian or <br> Alaskan Native <br> Students earning a <br> degree after 4 years | $6 \%, 2014$ | $9 \%$ | 2020 |
| Hispanic or Latino <br> students earning a <br> degree after four <br> years | $3 \%, 2014$ | $9 \%$ | 2020 |
| Hawaiian or Pacific <br> Islander students <br> earning a degree after <br> four years | $4 \%, 2014$ | $9 \%$ | 2020 |
| White students <br> earning a degree after <br> four years | $1 \%, 2014$ | $9 \%$ | 2020 |
| Students with <br> disabilities who earn <br> a degree after four <br> years | $3 \%, 2014$ | $5 \%$ | 2020 |
| Foster Youth who <br> can earn a degree <br> after four years | $4 \%, 2014$ | $7 \%$ | 2020 |
| African-American <br> students earning a <br> certificate after four | $5 \%, 2014$ | 0,2014 | 20 |
| American Indian or <br> Alaskan Native <br> students earning a <br> certificate after four <br> years |  |  |  |


| Filipino students <br> earning a certificate <br> after four years | $5 \%, 2014$ | $7 \%$ | 2020 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hispanic or Latino <br> students earning a <br> certificate after four <br> years | $6 \%, 2014$ | $7 \%$ | 2020 |
| Hawaiian or Pacific <br> Islander students <br> earning a certificate <br> after four years | $5 \%, 2014$ | $7 \%$ | 2020 |
| White students <br> earning a certificate | $5 \%, 2014$ | $7 \%$ | 2020 |
| Foster youth earning <br> a certificate after four <br> years | $1 \%, 2014$ | $2 \%$ | 2020 |

*Expressed as either a percentage or number
**Benchmark goals are to be decided by the institution.

## ACTIVITIES: D. DEGREE AND CERTIFICATE COMPLETION

## D. 1

- Activity Type(s)

| X | Outreach | X | Student Equity <br> Coordination/Planning | X | Instructional Support <br> Activities |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| X | Student Services or <br> other Categorical <br> Program |  | Curriculum/Course <br> Development or <br> Adaptation | X | Direct Student Support |
| X | Research and <br> Evaluation | X | Professional Development |  |  |

- Target Student Group(s) \& \# of Each Affected*:

| ID | Target Group(s) | \# of Students Affected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D. 1 | Males | 300 |
|  | African-American students | 800 |
|  | American Indian or Alaskan Native students | 50 |
|  | Hispanic or Latino | 1200 |
|  | Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 200 |
|  | Students with disabilities | 300 |
|  | Foster youth | 150 |

- Activity Implementation Plan

Collect data on Foster Youth degree completion, tutor students in small groups, and provide Chaffey grant and counseling services

Increase support of learning communities, pathways, counselor and instructional assistants, professional development and inquiry, direct student aid and program expansion.

Recruit students into an expanded expression of the Daraja Project and collaborate with Puente/Mecha/API/MESA/Passion, Law \& Democracy, Athletics and Pathways to Law School to encourage intercultural identity building, alliances and pathways

Provide peer to peer support for learning assistance for Striving Black Brothers Coalition students, workshops and leadership activities

Enhance STEM Center resources in surveying campus climate, professional development, outreach and staff to serve target population in support for degree completion in STEM majors

Expand Daraja Project participation and support for 2nd year students including tutoring, direct student aid and success workshops/leadership training and scaling up peer to peer mentoring

Hire Student Equity Coordinator to monitor progress of first and second year target populations and facilitate 2nd and 3rd year counseling, financial aid and enrollment processes

Organize and increase learning community and pathway opportunities for 2nd year students in target populations

Implement a degree audit system so that the accurate number of degrees earned is being captured.

College Central Network to create portfolios of work, build and store resumes, podcasts

| ID | Planned Start and End <br> Date(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds** |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D.1 | January - Dec. 2016 | $\$ 125,000$ | SSSP - \$45,000 <br> DSPS - \$25,000 |

## - Link to Goal

Comprehensive academic and student support services, direct aid, professional inquiry and development, learning communities, SSSP core services, embedded learning assistance, coalition building and student engagement enhancements are linked directly to the goal of increasing the certificate/degree completion rates of low income as evidenced by Institutional Research that
shows programs and services that work in increasing student success
(http://www.chabotcollege.edu/ir/StudentSuccess/ChabotProgramsthatworkTwoPage2015b.pdf).

## - Evaluation

Students indicate their ethnicity on their Admissions Application so the IR Researcher will be able to compare their outcomes in the success indicators with the average student population. The success indicator outcomes for completion will be shared with the SECC for review, analysis and recommendations for program modifications. DI student outcomes for completion indicators will be measured after census data is available for each term for the prior term.
D. 2

- Activity Type(s)

| X | Outreach | X | Student Equity <br> Coordination/Planning | X | Instructional Support <br> Activities |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| X | Student Services or <br> other Categorical <br> Program | X | Curriculum/Course <br> Development or <br> Adaptation | X | Direct Student Support |
| X | Research and <br> Evaluation | X | Professional Development |  |  |

- Target Student Group(s) \& \# of Each Affected*:

| ID | Target Group | \# of Students Affected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D. 2 | African-American | 500 |
|  | American Indian or Alaskan Native | 300 |
|  | Filipino | 800 |
|  | Hispanic or Latino students | 200 |
|  | Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 300 |
|  | White students | 300 |
|  | Foster youth | 500 |

## - Activity Implementation Plan

Collect data on Foster Youth degree completion, tutor students in small groups, and provide Chaffey grant and counseling services

Support of learning communities, pathways, counselor and instructional assistants, professional development and inquiry, direct student aid and program expansion.

Recruit students into an expanded expression of the Daraja Project and collaborate with Puente/Mecha/API/MESA/Passion, Law \& Democracy, Athletics and Pathways to Law School to encourage intercultural identity building, alliances and pathways

Provide peer to peer support for learning assistance for Striving Black Brothers Coalition students, workshops and leadership activities

Enhance STEM Center resources in surveying campus climate, professional development, outreach and staff to serve target population in support for degree completion in STEM majors

Expand Daraja Project participation and support for 2nd year students including tutoring, direct student aid and success workshops/leadership training and scaling up peer to peer mentoring

Hire Student Equity Coordinator to monitor progress of first and second year target populations and facilitate 2nd and 3rd year counseling, financial aid and enrollment processes

Organize and increase learning community and pathway opportunities for 2nd year students in target populations

Implement enhanced follow-up services targeting certificate-seekers through SSSP and Career and Transfer Center services

Applied Math and English for technical fields -collaborate with adult school partners
Launch College Central Network to create portfolios of work, build and store resumes, podcasts

Collaborate with CTE Faculty on tours of CTE labs and career awareness/exploration activities in partnership with Career Pathways Trust initatives

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds** |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D.2 | July 1,2015 to December | $\$ 125,000$ | CPT $-\$ 10,000$ <br> SSSP $-\$ 35,000$ |

- Link to Goal

Comprehensive academic and student support services, direct aid, professional inquiry and development, learning communities, SSSP core services, embedded learning assistance, coalition building and student engagement enhancements are linked directly to the goal of increasing the certificate/degree completion rates of DI students as evidenced by Institutional Research that shows programs and services that work in increasing student success (http://www.chabotcollege.edu/ir/StudentSuccess/ChabotProgramsthatworkTwoPage2015b.pdf).

## - Evaluation

Students indicate their ethnicity on their Admissions Application so the IR Researcher will be able to compare their outcomes in the success indicators with the average student population. The success indicator outcomes for access will be shared with the SECC for review, analysis and recommendations for program modifications. DI student outcomes for certificate/degree completion indicators will be measured after census data is available for each term for the prior term.

## CAMPUS-BASED RESEARCH: TRANSFER

E. TRANSFER. The ratio of the number of students by population group who complete a minimum of 12 units and have attempted a transfer level course in mathematics or English, to the number of students in that group who actually transfer after one or more (up to six) years.

## Overview

Chabot College transfer completion rates mirror degree completion rates in terms of DI groups. Since the Transfer goal can take the longest if students start out in basic skills, the plan calls for increasing $2^{\text {nd }}$ year retention programs, connecting with the Career \& Transfer Center services and enhancing follow-up services to aid transfer readiness and completion.

Chabot College Percent of Transfer-Directed Students Transferring to a 4-year college after 6 years (F07-08 cohort)

| Gender | Number Transfer <br> Directed |  | Number <br> Transferred |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Female | Transfer <br> Rate |  | 80-Percent <br> Index |  |
| Male | 550 | 225 | $41 \%$ | $92 \%$ |
| Unknown | 472 | 188 | $40 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| Total | 27 | 12 | $44 \%$ | $100 \%$ |


| Ethnicity | Number Transfer Directed | Number Transferred | Transfer Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African Amer. / Black | 106 | 32 | 30\% | 51\% | rep |
| Amer. Indian / Alaskan Nat. | 8 | 3 | 38\% | - |  |
| Asian | 236 | 141 | 60\% | 100\% |  |
| Filipino | 120 | 46 | 38\% | 64\% | under rep under |
| Hispanic / Latino | 255 | 76 | 30\% | 50\% | rep under |
| Pacific Islander | 35 | 7 | 20\% | 33\% | rep |
| White | 177 | 68 | 38\% | 64\% | rep under |
| Unknown | 112 | 52 | 46\% | 78\% |  |
| Total | 1,049 | 425 | 41\% | - |  |


| Age | Number Transfer Directed | Number Transferred | Transfer Rate | 80-Percent Index |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or younger | 844 | 354 | 42\% | 100\% |  |
| 20-24 | 119 | 42 | 35\% | 84\% |  |
| 25-29 | 33 | 12 | 36\% | 87\% |  |
| 30-34 | 7 | 4 | 57\% | - |  |
| 35-39 | 18 | 5 | 28\% | - |  |
| 40-49 | 20 | 4 | 20\% | 48\% | under <br> rep |
| 50 or older | 8 | 4 | 50\% | - |  |
| Total | 1,049 | 425 | 41\% | - |  |



| Income Status | Number Transfer Directed | Number <br> Transferred | Transfer Rate | 80-Percent <br> Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low Income | 620 | 234 | 38\% | 85\% |
| All other students | 429 | 191 | 45\% | 100\% |
| Total | 1,049 | 425 | 41\% | - |

## Data for Veterans and Foster Youth not available.

## NOTES:

## Cohort:

initial enrollment in Academic Year 2007-08.
Outcome:
enrollment out of the total number of students in the cohort.
Ratio of the rate of a disaggregated group over the rate of the reference
80-Percent Index: subgroup.
A percent less than $80 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be under represented.
A percent over $120 \%$ suggests that the subgroup may be over
represented.
Disproportionate impact can only be confirmed for groups of 20 or more.
Subgroup with highest rate and group size of at
Reference Group: least 20.
Shaded line: Group size is less than 20.
Source: California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office (CCCCO)

## GOALS, ACTIVITIES, FUNDING AND EVALUATION: TRANSFER

## GOAL E.

The goal is to improve transfer for the following target populations identified in the college research as experiencing a disproportionate impact:

| Target <br> Population(s) | Current gap, year | Goal* | Goal Year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| African-American <br> who become <br> transfer-directed in <br> at least 6 years | $18 \%, 2014$ | $48 \%$ | 2020 |
| Filipino students <br> who become <br> transfer-directed in <br> at least six years | $10 \%, 2014$ | $48 \%$ | 2020 |
| Hispanic or Latino <br> students who <br> become transfer- <br> directed in at least <br> six years | $18 \%, 2014$ | $48 \%$ | 2020 |
| Hawaiian or Pacific- <br> Islander students <br> who become <br> transfer-directed in <br> at least six years | $28 \%, 2014$ | $48 \%$ | 2020 |
| White students who <br> become transfer- <br> directed in at least <br> six years | $10 \%, 2014$ | $48 \%$ | 2020 |
| Students age 40 to <br> 49 who become <br> transfer-directed in <br> at least six years | $14 \%, 2014$ | $6 \%, 2014$ | $33 \%$ |
| Students with |  |  | 2020 |

District: CLPCCD
College: Chabot College

| disabilities who |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| become transfer- |  |  |  |
| directed in at least |  |  |  |
| six years |  |  |  |

*Expressed as either a percentage or number
**Benchmark goals are to be decided by the institution.

## ACTIVITIES: E. TRANSFER

E. 1

- Activity Type(s)

| X | Outreach | X | Student Equity <br> Coordination/Planning | X | Instructional Support <br> Activities |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| X | Student Services or <br> other Categorical <br> Program | X | Curriculum/Course <br> Development or Adaptation | Direct Student <br> Support |  |
| X | Research and <br> Evaluation | X | Professional Development |  |  |

- Target Student Group(s) \& \# of Each Affected*:

| ID | Target Group(s) | \# of Students Affected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| E.1 | African American | 500 |
|  | Filipino | 300 |
|  | Hispanic or Latino | 800 |
|  | Hawaiian or Pacific-Islander | 200 |
|  | White | 300 |
|  | Students with disabilities | 300 |

## - Activity Implementation Plan

Provide peer to peer support for learning assistance for Striving Black Brothers Coalition students, workshops and leadership activities

Hire Student Equity Coordinator to monitor progress of first and second year target populations and facilitate 2nd and 3rd year counseling, financial aid and enrollment processes

Expand Daraja Project participation and support for 2nd year students including tutoring, direct student aid and success workshops/leadership training and scaling up peer to peer mentoring

Expand Puente Project support for Phase III Puente students including increased counseling, data analysis and student follow-up activities

Provide peer to peer support for learning assistance for Striving Black Brothers Coalition students, workshops and leadership activities

Enhance STEM Center resources in surveying campus climate, professional development, outreach and staff to serve target population in support for degree completion in STEM majors

Organize and increase learning community and pathway opportunities for 2nd year students in target populations

Increase the number of AA-T and AS-T degrees
Familiarize students and faculty with the Career Transfer Center services - assistance from college reps, help with personal statements, etc. targeted to student populations, TAG workshops - collaboration between the center and programs

Expand tours to 4-year institutions including HCBU tours for African American students

Expand scholarship application assistance through technology enhancement and targeted follow-up interventions

College Central Network to create portfolios of work, build and store resumes, podcasts

| ID | Planned Start and End <br> Date(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds** |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| E.1 | July 1, 2015 to December <br> 31,2016 | $\$ 90,000$ | SSSP $-\$ 50,000$ <br> GF $-\$ 50,000$ |

## - Link to Goal

Comprehensive academic and student support services, direct aid, professional inquiry and development, learning communities, SSSP core services, embedded learning assistance, coalition building and student engagement enhancements are linked directly to the goal of increasing the transfer completion rates of DI students as evidenced by Institutional Research that shows programs and services that work in increasing student success (http://www.chabotcollege.edu/ir/StudentSuccess/ChabotProgramsthatworkTwoPage2015b.pdf).

## - Evaluation

Students indicate their ethnicity on their Admissions Application so the IR Researcher will be able to compare their outcomes in the success indicators with the average student population. The success indicator outcomes for transfer will be shared with the SECC for review, analysis and recommendations for program modifications. DI student outcomes for transfer completion indicators will be measured after census data is available for each term for the prior term.

District: CLPCCD
College: Chabot College

## Summary Budget

Please see accompanying Summary Budget Spreadsheet

## SUMMARY EVALUATION SCHEDULE AND PROCESS

## A. STUDENT SUCCESS INDICATOR FOR ACCESS

"Compare the percentage of each population group that is enrolled to the percentage of each group in the adult population within the community served" Institutional Research will query the student success indicator for access by student population group after Census Rosters are submitted for Spring and Summer 2016 terms. Each student equity initiative will collect participant data via student identification numbers to be used in comparison to similar group students who did not participate in area program/service.

## B. STUDENT SUCCESS INDICATOR FOR COURSE COMPLETION

"Ratio of the number of credit courses that students by population group actually complete by the end of the term compared to the number of courses in which students in that group are enrolled on the census day of the term"
Institutional Research will query the student success indicator for course completion after grades are rolled from Spring 2016 and Summer 2016 terms by student population group. Each student equity initiative will collect participant data via student identification numbers to be used in comparison to similar group students who did not participate in area program/service.

## C. STUDENT SUCCESS INDICATOR FOR ESL AND BASIC SKILLS COMPLETION

"Ratio of the number of students by population group who complete a degree-applicable course after having completed the final ESL or basic skills course to the number of those students who complete such a final course" Institutional Research will query the student success indicator for basic skills completion after grades are rolled from Spring 2016, Summer and Fall 2016 terms by student population group. Each student equity initiative will collect participant data via student identification numbers to be used in comparison to similar group students who did not participate in area program/service.

## D. STUDENT SUCCESS INDICATOR FOR DEGREE AND CERTIFICATE COMPLETION

"Ratio of the number of students by population group who receive a degree or certificate to the number of students in that group with the same informed matriculation goal"

Institutional Research will query the student success indicator for degree and certificate completion after grades are rolled from Spring 2016, Summer and Fall 2016 terms by student population group. Each student equity initiative will collect participant data via student identification numbers to be used in comparison to similar group students who did not participate in area program/service.

## E. STUDENT SUCCESS INDICATOR FOR TRANSFER

"Ratio of the number of students by population group who complete a minimum of 12 units and have attempted a transfer level course in mathematics or English to the number of students in that group who actually transfer after one or more (up to six) years"
Institutional Research will query the student success indicator for transfer-directed and readiness after grades are rolled from Spring 2016, Summer and Fall 2016 terms by student population group. Each student equity initiative will collect participant data via student identification
numbers to be used in comparison to similar group students who did not participate in area program/service.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ If the college is part of a multi-college district that has chosen to reserve and expend a portion of its allocation for district-wide activities that are described in the college plan narrative and budget, the District Chief Business Officer must also sign the plan. If not, only the College Chief Business Officer need sign.

