CHABOT-LAS POSITAS COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT Dublin, California

> FINANCIAL STATEMENTS June 30, 2013

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS WITH SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

For the Year Ended June 30, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Independent Auditor's Report | 1 |
| Management's Discussion and Analysis | 4 |
| Basic Financial Statements: | |
| Statement of Net Position | 14 |
| Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Change in Net Position | 15 |
| Statement of Cash Flows | 16 |
| Statement of Fiduciary Net Position | 18 |
| Statement of Change in Fiduciary Net Position | 19 |
| Notes to Basic Financial Statements | 20 |
| Required Supplementary Information: | |
| Schedule of Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) Funding Progress | 42 |
| Note to Required Supplementary Information | 43 |
| Supplementary Information: | |
| Organization | 44 |
| Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards | 45 |
| Schedule of State Financial Awards | 47 |
| Schedule of Workload Measures for State General Apportionment | 48 |
| Reconciliation of Annual Financial and Budget Report (CCFS-311) with Audited Financial Statements | 49 |
| Reconciliation of EC 84362 (50 Percent Law) Calculation | 50 |
| Proposition 30 Education Protection Account (EPA) Expenditure Report | 52 |
| Notes to Supplementary Information | 53 |

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS WITH SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

For the Year Ended June 30, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Independent Auditor's Report on State Compliance Requirements | 54 |
| Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> | 56 |
| Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance For Each Major Federal Program and Report on Internal Control Over Compliance | 58 |
| Findings and Recommendations: | |
| Schedule of Audit Findings and Questioned Costs | 60 |
| Status of Prior Year Findings and Recommendations | 67 |



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Trustees Chabot-Las Positas Community College District Dublin, CA

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the business-type activities and the fiduciary activities of Chabot-Las Positas Community College District, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise Chabot-Las Positas Community College District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on the financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the business-type activities and the fiduciary activities, of Chabot-Las Positas Community College District, as of June 30, 2013, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, in March 2012, the GASB issued Statement No. 65, "Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities." The provisions of this Statement are effective for the District's fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, with earlier application being encouraged. The District has implemented this Statement retroactively for the year ended June 30, 2013 resulting in restated net position at July 1, 2012. This Statement establishes accounting and financial reporting standards that reclassify, as deferred outflows of resources or deferred inflows of resources, certain items that were previously reported as assets and liabilities and recognizes, as outflows of resources or inflows of resources, certain items that were previously reported as assets and liabilities. This Statement also provides other financial reporting guidance related to the impact of the financial statement elements deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources, such as changes in limiting the use of the term deferred in financial statement presentations. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Management's Discussion and Analysis on pages 4 through 13 and the Required Supplementary Information, such as the Schedule of Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) Funding Progress on page 42 be presented to supplement the financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the financial statements, is required by Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Chabot-Las Positas Community College District's financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations* and other supplementary information listed in the table of contents are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the financial statements.

The schedule of expenditures of federal awards and other supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The schedule of expenditures of federal awards and other supplementary information as listed in the table of contents have been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards and other supplementary information as listed in the table of contents are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 3, 2013 on our consideration of Chabot-Las Positas Community College District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Chabot-Las Positas Community College District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Crowe Howed up

Crowe Horwath LLP

Sacramento, California December 3, 2013

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2013

USING THIS ANNUAL REPORT

The purpose of this annual report is to provide readers with information about the activities, programs, and financial condition of the Chabot-Las Positas Community College District (the District) as of June 30, 2013. The report consists of three basic financial statements: the Statement of Net Position; the Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Change in Net Position; and the Statement of Cash Flows. The report provides information about the District as a whole. This section of the annual financial report presents our discussion and analysis of the District's financial performance during the fiscal year that ended on June 30, 2013. Please read it in conjunction with the District's financial statements, which immediately follow this section. Responsibility for the completeness and accuracy of this information rests with the District management.

OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The focus of the Statement of Net Position is designed to be similar to the bottom line results of the District. This statement combines and consolidates current financial resources with capital assets and long-term obligations. The Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Change in Net Position focuses on the costs of the District's operational activities, which are supported primarily by local property taxes and State apportionment revenues. The Statement of Cash Flows provides an analysis of the sources and uses of cash within the operations of the District.

The California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office has recommended that all California community colleges follow the Business Type Activity (BTA) model for financial statement reporting purposes. This model prescribes that the districts need only issue consolidated statements. This reporting model does not require fund financial statements to be included with the districts' annual financial report.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

The following discussion and analysis provide an overview of the District's financial activities.

Financial and Enrollment Highlights

➤ As of June 30, 2013, the District's total net position is \$15,519,877. Total net position of the District decreased \$36.8 million, or about 70.3%, from the previous year.

> The District's General Fund Unrestricted Balance at the end of the fiscal year increased \$1,672,679. The District continues to maintain the required 5% reserve for economic uncertainties.

> The voters within the boundaries of the Chabot-Las Positas Community College District approved Measure B on March 4, 2004. This voter approval gave the District the authority to issue up to \$498 million in General Obligation Bonds. The Board authorized the first issuance of bonds totaling \$100 million and the proceeds were in the possession of the District on August 19, 2004. On November 1, 2006, the District issued the remainder of the General Obligation Bonds authorized by Measure B in the amount of \$398 million.

> The District's Budget was designed to fund faculty, staff, direct program expenditures and support services to serve 16,203 full-time equivalent students for General Apportionment purposes for the 2012-13 year.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2013

> The District's salary expenses decreased by 4.5% primarily due to not filling vacant positions. Expenses for supplies, materials, capital outlay, student aid and other expenses and services decreased 3.5%, primarily related to a drop in student financial aid.

- Cost-of-living adjustment: The State budget provided a 0% cost-of-living (COLA) adjustment for apportionments.
- Enrollment Fee: In 2012-13, the enrollment fees increased from \$36 per unit to \$46 per unit to supplement the decrease in state apportionments.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2013

| CLPCCD | Full Time | Equivale | nt Studer | nt (FTES) |) Enrollm | ent |
|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| YEAR | СНАВОТ | % Growth | LPC | % Growth | TOTAL | % Growth |
| 1998-1999 | 9,636 | 5.1 | 4,581 | 11.8 | 14,217 | 7.1 |
| 1999-2000 | 9,868 | 2.4 | 4,678 | 2.1 | 14,546 | 2.3 |
| 2000-2001 | 10,005 | 1.4 | 4,982 | 6.5 | 14,987 | 3.0 |
| 2001-2002 | 10,569 | 5.6 | 5,508 | 10.6 | 16,078 | 7.3 |
| 2002-2003 | 10,928 | 3.4 | 6,120 | 11.1 | 17,048 | 6.0 |
| 2003-2004 | 10,326 | (5.8) | 5,707 | (6.7) | 16,033 | (6.0 |
| 2004-2005 | 10,477 | 1.4 | 5,886 | 3.1 | 16,363 | 2.1 |
| 2005-2006 | 10,367 | (1.1) | 6,171 | 4.8 | 16,538 | 1.1 |
| 2006-2007 | 10,313 | (0.5) | 7,089 | 14.9 | 17,402 | 5.2 |
| 2007-2008 | 10,420 | 1.0 | 7,186 | 1.4 | 17,606 | 1.2 |
| 2008-2009 | 10,912 | 4.7 | 6,591 | (8.3) | 17,503 | (0.6 |
| 2009-2010 | 11,315 | 3.7 | 7,501 | 13.8 | 18,816 | 7.5 |
| 2010-2011 | 10,756 | (4.9) | 6,744 | (10.1) | 17,500 | (7.0 |
| 2011-2012 | 9,754 | (9.3) | 6,442 | (4.5) | 16,196 | (7.5 |
| 2012-2013 | 9,552 | (2.1) | 6,651 | 3.2 | 16,203 | 0.0 |

Full Time Equivalent Student (FTES) Enrollment



MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2013

Statement of Net Position

The Statement of Net Position includes all assets and liabilities using the accrual basis of accounting, which is similar to the accounting method used by most private-sector institutions. Net position, the difference between assets, deferred outflow of resources, and liabilities, is one way to measure the financial health of the District. Total net position of the District decreased \$36.8 million, or about 70.3%, from the previous year. The District continues to be impacted by the suppressed economic climate in California and the reduced levels of state support.

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| CURRENT ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 19,756,526 | \$ - |
| Investments | - | 434,558 |
| Accounts receivable - net | 25,084,980 | 27,673,997 |
| Due from other funds | 18,256 | 68,994 |
| Prepaid expenses | 2,521,213 | 3,018,890 |
| Total Current Assets | 47,380,975 | 31,196,439 |
| NONCURRENT ASSETS | | |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents | 155,805,421 | 197,851,817 |
| Restricted investments | - | 434,721 |
| Deferred charges - net | - | 4,297,604 |
| Nondepreciable capital assets | 78,564,818 | 83,974,690 |
| Depreciable capital assets - net of depreciation | 387,495,488 | 358,488,996 |
| Total Noncurrent Assets | 621,865,727 | 645,047,828 |
| Total Assets | 669,246,702 | 676,244,267 |
| Deferred Outflow of Resources | 52,022,168 | <u> </u> |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Short-term borrowing | 22,500,000 | 3,982,352 |
| Accounts payable | 12,932,200 | 16,371,564 |
| Interest payable | 7,409,952 | 3,737,061 |
| Unearned revenue | 7,052,433 | 6,799,162 |
| Compensated absences payable | 1,852,756 | 1,913,697 |
| Long-term liabilities - current portion | 14,855,676 | 9,080,457 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 66,603,017 | 41,884,293 |
| NONCURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Long-term liabilities - noncurrent portion | 639,145,976 | 582,060,321 |
| Total Liabilities | 705,748,993 | 623,944,614 |
| NET POSITION | | |
| Net investment in capital assets | (17,880,226) | 145,592,464 |
| Restricted - expendable | 17,318,062 | 27,327,929 |
| Unrestricted | 16,082,041 | (120,620,742) |
| Total Net Position | \$ 15,519,877 | \$ 52,299,651 |

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2013

Statement of Net Position (Continued)

The primary components of cash and short-term investments are District funds on deposit with the County Treasury and local banks.

Accounts receivable primarily represents funding owed to the District by Federal, State and local governments, as well as other sources such as tuition and fees. The amount owed to the General Fund for deferred apportionment payments is \$13.4 million. Other receivables consist of \$7.0 million due for federal, state, and local grants and contracts; \$3.4 million due for student receivables; and \$1.3 million for other local revenues.

Prepaid items primarily relate to multi-year hardware/software agreements and licenses.

Restricted cash and investments of \$155.8 million, which includes amounts restricted for repayment of debt, for use in acquisition or construction of capital assets, for restricted programs, for any other restricted purpose, or in any funds restricted in purpose per the BAM. The balance of the cash and investment includes cash deposit of other major funds held in the County Treasury and other banking and financial institutions. Net capital assets represent the District's original investment in land, site improvements, buildings and equipment, less accumulated depreciation.

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities represent year-end accruals for services and goods received by the District during fiscal year 2012-13, for which payment would not be made until fiscal year 2013-14. At June 30, 2013 the Measure B Bond Construction fund owed contractors and vendors \$6.2 million, and the General Fund owed contractors, vendors and employees \$5.6 million.

Unearned revenues represent prepayments received by the District, for which the amounts have yet to be earned. For fiscal year 2012-13, unearned revenues were \$7.1 million. Of this amount, \$5.3 million represents student tuition and registration fees, received during 2012-13, for the 2013-14 summer and fall terms. The other \$1.8 million represents funding for grants and contracts, the terms and conditions of which extend beyond the 2012-13 fiscal year.

The District's long-term liabilities primarily consist of general obligation bond debt, other post employment benefit obligations, and the Supplemental Employee Retirement Plans.

Net position are divided into three components – net investment in capital assets, restricted, and unrestricted.

Net investment in capital assets is the difference between the amount shown for capital assets and the outstanding debt incurred to finance those capital assets. At June 30, 2013 capital assets were \$466.1 million. These assets are offset by debt incurred to finance those capital assets. The related debt is the general obligation bonds.

Restricted net position represent resources that are constrained to a particular purpose. The major components of restricted net position are those restricted for debt service on the general obligation bonds, capital outlay, and grants and contracts for specific projects.

Unrestricted net position is essentially all resources not included in the first two listed above.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2013

Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Change in Net Position

The Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Change in Net Position represent the financial results of the District's "operations," as well as its "non-operating activities." The distinction between these two activities involves the concepts of "exchange" and "non-exchange." An operating activity is one in which a "direct payment"/exchange is made (by one party to another) for the receipt of specified goods or services, i.e., the payer is the one receiving benefit. As an example, tuition fees, paid by a student, is an "exchange" for instructional services. Likewise, grant and contract funding received (on the condition that the District provides specific contracted services), is also an "exchange." Both are therefore recorded as "operating revenue." The receipt of Pell grants, state apportionments and property taxes, however, do not include this "exchange" relationship between "payment" and the "receipt of benefit." Such revenues are deemed "non-exchange" transactions, and are therefore treated as "non-operating" activities.

Because the primary sources of funding that support the District's instructional activities come from state apportionment, and local property taxes, the financial results of the District's "operations" will result in a net operating loss.

The primary components of "tuition and fees" are the \$46 per unit enrollment fee that is charged to all students registering for classes, and the additional \$226 per unit fee that is charged to all non-resident students. The discrepancy between these fees is due to the fact that resident student instruction is largely subsidized by local property taxes and state apportionment. Non-resident students must pay for the full cost of instruction. Respectively, these two revenue-streams account for \$8.2 million and \$2.0 million. Another \$1.0 million is collected in the form of parking permits. The remainder is collected from an assortment of other student fees.

The largest component of the District's operating revenues is non-capital grants and contracts. Of these, the largest sub-components are from funding received from the Federal grants (\$7.3 million) to include funding for vocational training and higher education programs and State grants (\$6.0 million) for categorical programs, such as DSPS, EOPS, and Matriculation, to name a few. Included in local grants and contracts (\$5.8 million) are all of the contracted education services, as well as all other miscellaneous service revenue received by the District.

The principal components of the District's non-operating revenue are: non-capital state apportionment, local property taxes, other state funding, and interest income. All of this revenue supports the District's instructional activities.

The "state apportionments, capital" revenues principally represent state funding for state capital projects and deferred maintenance programs.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2013

Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Change in Net Position (Continued)

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|--|---------------|
| OPERATING REVENUES Tuition and fees | \$ 21,144,189 | \$ 16,627,725 |
| | | |
| Less: Scholarship discounts and allowances Net Tuition and Fees | 7,840,518 | 5,445,125 |
| Net Tuition and Fees | 13,303,671 | 11,182,600 |
| Grants and contracts - noncapital: | | |
| Federal | 7,307,454 | 12,298,662 |
| State | 6,027,366 | 6,080,889 |
| Local | 5,833,359 | 2,310,117 |
| Other operating revenue | - | 1,331,801 |
| Total Operating Revenues | 32,471,850 | 33,204,069 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | |
| Salaries | 64,604,545 | 67,645,963 |
| Employee benefits | 33,274,773 | 33,381,062 |
| Supplies, materials, financial aid and other operating expenses | 42,848,929 | 46,072,412 |
| Depreciation | 16,098,341 | 15,770,319 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 156,826,587 | 162,869,756 |
| Operating Loss | (124,354,737) | (129,665,687 |
| Operating Loss | (124,334,737) | (129,005,087) |
| NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES) | | |
| State apportionments - noncapital | 44,191,570 | 43,439,452 |
| Local property taxes - noncapital | 27,591,387 | 28,293,469 |
| State taxes and other revenues | 2,906,994 | 3,081,545 |
| Federal Grants - Pell | 17,990,376 | 19,657,747 |
| Investment income | 435,440 | 5,257,195 |
| Interest expense | (30,238,323) | (26,474,409 |
| Gain (Loss) on disposal of capital assets | 10,912 | 7,252 |
| Other nonoperating revenues | 5,153,436 | 4,592,208 |
| Total Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses) | 68,041,792 | 77,854,459 |
| Loss Before Other Revenues, Expenses, Gains, or Losses | (56,312,946) | (51,811,228 |
| Gifts and grants - capital | 4,319,120 | 3,987,362 |
| Local property taxes - capital | 19,511,656 | 18,882,473 |
| Change in Net Position | (32,482,170) | (28,941,393 |
| Net Position - Beginning of Year | 52,299,651 | 81,241,044 |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | (4,297,604) | - |
| Net Position - Beginning of Year - as restated | 48,002,047 | 81,241,044 |
| N / D ·/· E 1 63/ | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | ф |
| Net Position - End of Year | \$ 15,519,877 | \$ 52,299,651 |

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2013

Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Change in Net Position (Continued)

The largest component of the District's operating expense is the cost of salaries and benefits. Approximately 62% percent of the total expense is spent in this area. Supplies and other expenses account for an additional 28% of total expenses and depreciation accounts for the remaining 10%. The supplies and other expense categories include insurance premiums, facilities rental, equipment repair, as well as supplies and a host of other expenses necessary for the operation of the District.

| Operating Expenses By Function | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| Instructional activities | \$ 49,550,343 | \$ 50,809,148 |
| Academic support | 8,367,896 | 9,502,653 |
| Student services | 13,128,985 | 14,538,794 |
| Operation and maintenance of plant | 8,122,534 | 9,027,694 |
| Institutional support | 15,306,710 | 16,123,361 |
| Community Services and economic development | 1,025,748 | 1,031,159 |
| Ancillary services, auxiliary services | 7,584,219 | 9,476,946 |
| Student aid | 22,413,804 | 25,228,494 |
| Physical property/GASB entries | 31,326,349 | 27,131,507 |
| Total Operating Expenses By Function | \$ 156,826,588 | \$ 162,869,756 |

OPERATING EXPENSES BY FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION

The Functional Expense chart incorporates all District funds appropriations for fiscal year 2012-13. The largest expense in 2012-13 was in the area of instruction at 31%.

The Student Services expense of 8% includes counseling and guidance services and expenses associated with a number of state and categorical programs to include Matriculation, Disabled Student Services Program (DSPS), Extended Opportunity Programs & Services (EOPS), Financial Aid Administration, and Veteran Services. Student aid was 14%.

The bulk of the ancillary services and auxiliary operations percentage of 5% encompasses the childcare center, contract education department, food service, parking operation, and student and co-curricular activities.

The Community Services and Economic Development 1%, includes community services recreation classes and facility use. Plant Maintenance and Operation was 5%. Physical property, depreciation and GASB entries of 20% consist of a number of building improvements and alterations that took place throughout the District.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2013

Statement of Cash Flows

The Statement of Cash Flows presents detailed information about the cash activity of the District during the year. The statement is divided into five parts. The first part deals with the operating cash flows, and shows the net cash used by the operating activities of the District. The second section reflects cash flows from non-capital financing activities. The third section deals with the net cash used by financing activities related to the acquisition of capital and related items. The fourth section reflects the cash received from investing activities, and shows the purchases, proceeds, and interest received from investing activities. The fifth section, (not summarized here) reconciles the net cash activity to the net operating loss on the Statement of Revenue, Expenses and Change in Net Position.

| Cash provided by (used in) | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|------------------|-----------------|
| Operating activities | \$ (104,083,252) | \$ (90,305,803) |
| Non-capital financing activities | 122,656,866 | 77,774,698 |
| Capital and related financing activities | (38,844,950) | (51,523,105) |
| Investing activities | 1,449,180 | 234,075,001 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash | (18,822,156) | 170,020,791 |
| Cash Beginning of Year | 194,384,103 | 24,363,312 |
| Cash End of Year | \$ 175,561,947 | \$ 194,384,103 |

Capital Asset and Debt Administration

Capital Assets

At June 30, 2013, the District had a net \$466.1 million in a broad range of capital assets, including land, buildings, and furniture and equipment. This amount represents a net increase (including additions, deductions, and depreciation) of \$23.6 million, or 5.3%, from last year. We present more detailed information regarding our capital assets in Note 4 of the financial statements.

Long-Term Liabilities

At June 30, 2013, the District had \$654.0 million in debt outstanding versus \$591.1 million last year, an increase due to the crossover refunding of a portion of Series B and Series C general obligation bonds and current year accreted interest for the general obligation bonds. Other obligations include other post employment benefit obligations (OPEB) and Supplemental Employee Retirement Plans (SERP) liability. We present more detailed information regarding our long-term liabilities in Note 7 of the financial statements.

Economic Factors that will Affect the Future

The District's economic strength is directly affected by the economic well-being of California. As the State's economy has been weak and intermittent, the impact of continuing restricted state resources to the District has limited its ability to provide access to educational services demanded by potential students. We anticipate continued restrictions in state funding as the state deals with its budget deficit and the weak economic recovery. These conditions will limit the ability of the District to meet the growing demands of its student population.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS JUNE 30, 2013

CONTACTING THE DISTRICT'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, students, investors, and creditors with a general overview of the District's finances and to show the District's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need any additional financial information, contact the Department of Business Services at Chabot-Las Positas Community College District, 7600 Dublin Boulevard, 3rd Floor, Dublin, California 94568, or e-mail byesnosky@clpccd.org.

STATEMENT OF NET POSITION

June 30, 2013

ASSETS

| Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents (Note 2) Receivables, net (Note 3) Due from other funds Prepaid expenses | \$ | 19,756,526 25,084,980 18,256 2,521,213 |
|--|----|---|
| Total current assets | | 47,380,975 |
| Noncurrent assets: Restricted cash and cash equivalents (Note 2) Depreciable capital assets, net (Note 4) Non-depreciable capital assets (Note 4) | | 155,805,421 387,495,488 78,564,818 |
| Total noncurrent assets | | 621,865,727 |
| Total assets | | 669,246,702 |
| DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES | | |
| Loss on refunding debt (Note 7) | | 52,022,168 |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Current liabilities: Accounts payable and other liabilities State Deferred Anticipation Notes (Note 6) Interest payable Unearned revenue (Note 5) Compensated absences payable (Note 7) Long-term liabilities - current portion (Note 7) | | 12,932,200 22,500,000 7,409,952 7,052,433 1,852,756 14,855,676 |
| Total current liabilities | | 66,603,017 |
| Noncurrent liabilities: Long-term liabilities - noncurrent portion (Note 7) | | 639,145,976 |
| Total liabilities | | 705,748,993 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 11) | | |
| NET POSITION | | |
| Net investment in capital assets Restricted for: Expendable: Education programs Capital projects Debt service Unrestricted | | (17,880,226) 977,555 1,245,938 15,094,569 <u>16,082,041</u> |
| Total net position | \$ | 15,519,877 |
| | φ | 10,018,017 |

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGE IN NET POSITION

For the Year Ended June 30, 2013

| Operating revenues: Tuition and fees | \$ 21,144,189 |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Less: scholarship discounts and allowances | \$ 21,144,189 (7,840,518) |
| Net tuition and fees | 13,303,671 |
| Grants and contracts, non-capital: | 2 007 454 |
| Federal State | 7,307,454 6,027,366 |
| Local | 5,833,359 |
| Total operating revenues | 32,471,850 |
| Operating expenses (Note 13): | |
| Salaries Employee benefits (Notes 9 and 10) | 64,604,545 33,274,773 |
| Supplies, materials and other operating expenses | 20,918,685 |
| Student financial aid and scholarship | 21,930,244 |
| Depreciation (Note 4) | 16,098,341 |
| Total operating expenses | 156,826,588 |
| Loss from operations | (124,354,738) |
| Non-operating revenues (expenses): | |
| State apportionment, non-capital | 44,191,570 |
| Local property taxes (Note 8) State taxes and other revenues | 27,591,387 2,906,994 |
| Federal grants - Pell | 17,990,376 |
| Investment income, net | 435,440 |
| Interest expense on capital asset-related debt, net | (30,238,323) |
| Gain on disposal of capital assets | 10,912 |
| Other non-operating revenues, net | 5,153,436 |
| Total non-operating revenues (expenses) | 68,041,792 |
| Loss before capital revenues | (56,312,946) |
| Capital revenues: | |
| Gifts and grants Local property taxes and revenues | 4,319,120 <u>19,511,656</u> |
| | |
| Total capital revenues | 23,830,776 |
| Decrease in net position | (32,482,170) |
| Net position, July 1, 2012, as previously stated | 52,299,651 |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | (4,297,604) |
| Net position, July 1, 2012, as restated | 48,002,047 |
| Net position, June 30, 2013 | <u>\$ 15,519,877</u> |

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

For the Year Ended June 30, 2013

| Cash flows from operating activities: Tuition and fees Federal, state and local grants and contracts Payments of scholarships and grants Payments to suppliers and vendors Payments to and on behalf employees Payments for benefits Other operating local revenues | <pre>\$ 12,883,536 20,330,527 (22,021,598) (23,862,669) (64,753,886) (26,377,311) (281,851)</pre> |
|--|---|
| Net cash used in operating activities | (104,083,252) |
| Cash flows from noncapital financing activities: State appropriations Local property taxes State taxes and other revenues Pell grants Proceeds from issuance of State Deferred Anticipation Notes Other non-operating revenues | 45,759,813 26,320,242 2,955,194 17,990,376 22,500,000 7,131,241 |
| Net cash provided by noncapital financing activities | 122,656,866 |
| Cash flows from capital and related financing activities: State apportionments for capital purposes Local property taxes Purchase of capital assets Disposal of capital assets Proceeds from sale of capital assets Principal paid on capital debt Interest paid on capital debt, net | 4,319,120 20,782,801 (39,996,817) 298,929 13,842 (7,600,000) (16,662,825) |
| Net cash used in capital and related financing activities | (38,844,950) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: Investment income Sale of investments | 1,014,459 434,721 |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 1,449,180 |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (18,822,156) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, July 1, 2012 | 194,384,103 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, June 30, 2013 | <u>\$ 175,561,947</u> |

(Continued)

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2013

| Reconciliation of loss from operations to net cash used in operating activities: Loss from operations Adjustments to reconcile loss from operations to net cash used in operating activities: | \$ (124,354,738) |
|---|--|
| Depreciation expense Changes in assets and liabilities: | 16,098,341 |
| Receivables, net | 488,941 |
| Prepaid expenses | 497,677 |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities | (3,885,009) |
| Unearned revenue | 253,271 |
| Due from other funds | (18,256) |
| Other postemployment benefits and | |
| compensated absences | 6,836,521 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | <u>\$ (104,083,252</u>) |
| Supplementary disclosure of non-cash transactions: | |
| Amortization of premiums on debt Proceeds from issuance of refunding debt Refunding of debt - amount deposited with escrow agent | \$ (5,274,757) \$ 327,101,916 \$ (325,463,278) |

STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION

June 30, 2013

| | <u>Trust Fund</u> Student Rep <u>Fund</u> | Agency Fund Associated Students of Chabot-Las Positas <u>College</u> |
|--|--|---|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and investments (Note 2) Receivables | \$ 5,252 | \$ 614,299 <u> </u> |
| Total assets | 5,252 | 614,751 |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Accounts payable Due to other funds Amount held for others | - - - | 58,842 18,256 <u>537,653</u> |
| Total liabilities | <u> </u> | 614,751 |
| NET POSITION | | |
| Restricted | <u>\$ 5,252</u> | <u>\$ -</u> |

STATEMENT OF CHANGE IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION

For the Year Ended June 30, 2013

| | Student Rep <u>Fund</u> |
|---|-------------------------------|
| Revenues: Other local sources | 21,415 |
| Expenditures: Supplies and materials Other operating expenses | 129 24,010 |
| Total expenses | 24,139 |
| Change in net position | (2,724) |
| Net position, July 1, 2012 | 7,976 |
| Net position, June 30, 2013 | <u>\$ 5,252</u> |

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Reporting Entity

Chabot-Las Positas Community College District (the "District") is a political subdivision of the State of California and provides educational services to the local residents of the surrounding area. While the District is a political subdivision of the State, it is not a component unit of the State in accordance with the provisions of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Codification Section (Cod. Sec.) 2100.101. The District is classified as a state instrumentality under Internal Revenue Code Section 115.

The District identified the Chabot-Las Positas District College Foundation, the Chabot College Foundation and the Las Positas College Foundation as potential component units. The District has elected not to present the Foundations as component units because the Foundations' assets, liabilities, and disbursements to the District are not considered to be significant to the District.

Basis of Accounting

For financial reporting purposes, the District is considered a special-purpose government engaged only in business-type activities as defined by GASB. Under this model, the District's financial statements provide a comprehensive entity-wide perspective of the District's financial position and activities. Accordingly, the District's financial statements have been presented using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Under the accrual basis, revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recorded when the obligation has been incurred. All significant intra-agency transactions have been eliminated.

The District records revenues when earned and expenses when a liability is incurred regardless of the timing of the related cash flow. The budgetary and financial accounts of the District are recorded and maintained in accordance with the *Chancellor's Office's Budget and Accounting Manual* (BAM).

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For the purposes of the financial statements, cash equivalents are defined as financial instruments with an original maturity of three months or less. Funds invested in the County Treasurer's investment pool are considered cash equivalents.

GASB Statement No. 31 provides that amounts held in external investment pools be reported at fair value. However, cash in the County Treasury is recorded at the value of the pool shares held, which approximates the fair value of the underlying cash and investments of the pool.

Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents

Restricted cash and cash equivalents includes amounts restricted for the repayment of debt, for use in the acquisition or construction of capital assets, for restricted programs, for any other restricted purpose, or in any funds restricted in purpose per the BAM.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Fair Value of Investments

The District records its investment in the Alameda County Treasury at fair value. Changes in fair value are reported as revenue in the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Change in Net Position. The fair value of investments, including the Alameda County Treasury external investment pools, at June 30, 2013 approximate their carrying value.

Receivables

Receivables consist of tuition and fee charges to students, amounts due from the federal government, state and local governments, or private sources, in connection with reimbursement of allowable expenditures made pursuant to the District's grants and contracts. The District provides for an allowance for uncollectible accounts as an estimation of amounts that may not be received. The allowance is based upon management's estimates and analysis. The allowance was estimated at \$838,819 for the year ended June 30, 2013.

Capital Assets

Capital assets are recorded at cost at the date of acquisition or, if donated, at fair market value at the date of donation. For equipment, the District's capitalization policy included all furniture, equipment or vehicles with a unit cost of \$5,000. Renovations to buildings, infrastructure, and land improvements that significantly increase the value or extend the useful life of the structure are capitalized. Routine repairs and maintenance are charged to operating expense in the year in which the expense was incurred.

Load Banking

The District also participates in and accrues "load banking" with eligible academic employees whereby the employee may teach extra courses in one period in exchange for time off in another period. The District recognizes this as a component of accounts payable.

Unearned Revenue

Revenues from Federal, State and local special projects and programs are recognized when qualified expenditures have been incurred. Tuition, fees and other support received but not earned are recorded as unearned revenue until earned.

Compensated absences

Compensated absence cost are accrued when earned by employees. Accumulated unpaid employee vacation benefits are recognized as a liability at year end.

Accumulated Sick Leave

Accumulated sick leave benefits are not recognized as liabilities of the District. The District's policy is to record sick leave as an operating expense in the period taken since such benefits do not vest nor is payment probable; however, unused sick leave is added to the creditable service period for calculation of retirement benefits when employee retires.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Long Term Liabilities

Bond premiums and discounts are amortized over the life of the bonds using the effective interest method. Long term liabilities are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount.

Net Position

The District's net position is classified as follows:

Net investment in capital assets: This represents the District's total investment in capital assets, net of associated outstanding debt obligations related to those capital assets. To the extent debt has been incurred but not yet expended for capital assets, such amounts are not included as a component of net investment in capital assets.

Restricted net position: Restricted expendable net position includes resources in which the District is legally or contractually obligated to spend in accordance with restrictions imposed by external third parties. Nonspendable restricted net position consist of endowment and similar type funds in which donors or other outside sources have stipulated, as a condition of the gift instrument, that the principal is to be maintained inviolate and in perpetuity, and invested for the purpose of producing present and future income, which may either be expended or added to the principal.

Unrestricted net position: Unrestricted net position represents resources derived from student tuition and fees, State apportionments, and sales and services of educational departments. These resources are used for transactions relating to the educational and general operations of the District, and may be used at the discretion of the governing board to meet current expenses for any purpose.

When an expense is incurred that can be paid using either restricted or unrestricted resources, the District typically applies the expense toward restricted resources, then to unrestricted resources.

On-Behalf Payments

GASB Cod. Sec. N50 requires that direct on-behalf payments for benefits and salaries made by one entity to a third party recipient for the employees of another, legally separate entity be recognized as revenue and expenditures by the employer government. The State of California makes direct on-behalf payments for retirement benefits to the State Teachers Retirement Systems on behalf of all Community Colleges in California. However, a fiscal advisory issued by the California Department of Education instructed districts not to record revenue and expenditures for these on-behalf payments. These payments consist of state general fund contributions to CalSTRS in the amount of \$1,622,066 (5.041% of salaries subject to CalSTRS).

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

1. **SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES** (Continued)

State Apportionments

Certain current year apportionments from the state are based on various financial and statistical information of the previous year. Any prior year corrections due to a recalculation will be recorded in the year completed by the state. When known and measurable, these recalculations and corrections are accrued in the year in which FTES are generated.

Classification of Revenue and Expenses

The District has classified its revenues and expenses as either operating or nonoperating revenues and expenses. Certain significant revenue streams relied upon for operations are recorded as nonoperating revenues, as defined by GASB Cod. Sec. Co5.101 including State appropriations, local property taxes, and investment income. Nearly all the District's expenses are from exchange transactions. Revenues and expenses are classified according to the following criteria:

Operating revenues and expenses: Operating revenues and expenses include activities that have the characteristics of exchange transactions, such as (1) student tuition and fees, net of scholarship discounts and allowances, and (2) most Federal, State and local grants and contracts and Federal appropriations. All expenses are considered operating expenses except for interest expense on capital related debt.

Nonoperating revenues and expenses: Nonoperating revenues include activities that have the characteristics of nonexchange transactions, such as Pell grants, gifts and contributions, and other revenue sources described in GASB Cod. Sec. Co5.101, such as State appropriations and investment income. Interest expense on capital related debt is the only nonoperating expense.

Scholarship Discounts and Allowances

Student tuition and fee revenue are reported net of the Board of Governors fee waivers and allowances in the statement of revenues, expenses and change in net position. Scholarship discounts and allowances represent the difference between stated charges for goods and services provided by the District and the amount that is paid by the students and/or their parties making payments on the students' behalf. Certain governmental grants, and other federal, state and nongovernmental programs are recorded as operating revenues, while Federal Pell Grants are classified as nonoperating revenues in the District's financial statements. To the extent that revenues from such programs are used to satisfy tuition and fees and other student charges, the District has recorded a scholarship discount and allowance.

Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Accordingly, actual results may differ from those estimates.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Continued)

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

New Accounting Pronouncements

In November 2010, the GASB issued Statement No. 61, The Financial Reporting Entity: Omnibus. The Statement improves financial reporting for a governmental financial reporting entity. The requirements of Statement No. 14, The Financial Reporting Entity, and the related financial reporting requirements of Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements—and Management's Discussion and Analysis—for State and Local Governments, were amended to better meet user needs and to address reporting entity issues. This statement was adopted for the District's fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 with no material impact on the District.

In December 2010, the GASB issued Statement No. 62, Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance Contained in Pre-November 30, 1989 FASB and AICPA Pronouncements. The Statement incorporates into the GASB's authoritative literature certain accounting and financial reporting guidance that is included in the following pronouncements issued on or before November 30, 1989, which does not conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements: (1) Financial Accounting Standards Board Statements and Interpretations; (2) Accounting Principles Board Opinions; and (3) Accounting Research Bulletins of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' Committee on Accounting Procedure. This statement was adopted for the District's fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 with no material impact on the District.

In June 2011, the GASB issued Statement No. 63, Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position. This Statement provides a new statement of net position format to report all assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and net position (which is the net residual amount of the other elements). This Statement requires that deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources be reported separately from assets and liabilities. This Statement also amends certain provisions of GASB Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statement – and Management's Discussion and Analysis – for State and Local Governments, and related pronouncements to reflect the residual measure in the statement of financial position as net position, rather than net assets. This statement was adopted for the District's fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 with no material impact on the District.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Continued)

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

New Accounting Pronouncements (Continued)

In March, 2012, the GASB issued Statement No. 65, Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities. This Statement establishes accounting and financial reporting standards that reclassify, as deferred outflows of resources or deferred inflows of resources, certain items that were previously reported as assets and liabilities and recognizes, as outflows of resources or inflows of resources, certain items that were previously reported as assets and liabilities. This Statement also provides other financial reporting guidance related to the impact of the financial statement elements deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources, such as changes in the determination of the major fund calculations and limiting the use of the term deferred in financial statement presentations. The provisions of this Statement are effective for the District's fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, with earlier application being encouraged. This statement was adopted for the District's fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. Based on the implementation of Statement No. 65 the District's July 1, 2012 beginning net position was restated by \$4,297,604 because debt issuance costs are no longer capitalized. At June 30, 2013, the District has recorded a deferred outflow of \$52,022,168 for the loss on refunding General Obligation Bonds.

In March 2012, the GASB issued Statement No. 66, Technical Corrections - 2013, an amendment of GASB Statements No. 10 and No. 61. The objective of this Statement is to improve accounting and financial reporting for a governmental financial reporting entity by resolving conflicting guidance that resulted from the issuance of two pronouncements, Statements No. 64, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, and No. 62, Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance Contained in Pre- November 30, 1989 FASB and AICPA Pronouncements. This Statement amends Statement No. 10, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Risk Financing and Related Insurance Issues, by removing the provision that limits fundbased reporting of an entity's risk financing activities to the general fund and the internal service fund type. As a result, Districts should base their decisions about fund type classification on the nature of the activity to be reported, as required in Statement No. 54 and Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements-and Management's Discussion and Analysis-for State and Local Governments. This Statement also amends Statement No. 62 by modifying the specific guidance on accounting for (1) operating lease payments that vary from a straight line basis, (2) the difference between the initial investment (purchase price) and the principal amount of a purchased loan or group of loans, and (3) servicing fees related to mortgage loans that are sold when the stated service fee rate differs significantly from a current (normal) servicing fee rate. These changes clarify how to apply Statement No. 13, Accounting for Operating Leases with Scheduled Rent Increases, and result in guidance that is consistent with the requirements in Statement No. 48, Sales and Pledges of Receivables and Future Revenues and Intra-Entity Transfers of Assets and Future Revenues, respectively. The provisions of this Statement are effective for the District's fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, with earlier application encouraged. Management has not determined what impact, if any, this GASB statement will have on the District's financial statements.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

1. **SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES** (Continued)

<u>New Accounting Pronouncements (Continued)</u>

In June 2012, the GASB issued Statement No. 67, Financial Reporting for Pension This Statement replaces the requirements of Statement No. 25, Financial Plans. Reporting for Defined Benefit Pension Plans and Note Disclosures for Defined Contribution Plans and Statement No. 50 as they relate to pension plans that are administered through trusts or similar arrangements meeting certain criteria. The Statement builds upon the existing framework for financial reports of defined benefit pension plans, which includes a statement of fiduciary net position (the amount held in a trust for paying retirement benefits) and a statement of changes in fiduciary net position. Statement No. 67 enhances note disclosures and RSI for both defined benefit and defined contribution pension plans. Statement No. 67 also requires the presentation of new information about annual money-weighted rates of return in the notes to the financial statements and in 10-year required supplementary information (RSI) schedules. This Statement is effective for the District's financial period ending June 30, 2014. Management has not determined what impact, if any, this GASB statement might have on its financial statements.

In June 2012, the GASB issued Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions. This Statement replaces the requirements of Statement No. 27, Accounting for Pensions by State and Local Governmental Employers and Statement No. 50, Pension Disclosures, as they relate to governments that provide pensions through pension plans administered as trusts or similar arrangements that meet certain criteria. Statement No. 68 requires governments providing defined benefit pensions to recognize their long-term obligation for pension benefits as a liability for the first time, and to more comprehensively and comparably measure the annual costs of pension benefits. The Statement also enhances accountability and transparency through revised and new note disclosures and RSI. This Statement is effective for the District's financial period ending June 30, 2015. Management has not determined what impact, if any, this GASB statement might have on its financial statements.

2. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash and cash equivalents at June 30, 2013, consisted of the following:

| | District | <u>Fiduciary</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Pooled Funds: Cash in County Treasury | \$ 164,046,293 | \$- |
| Deposits: Cash on hand and in banks | 11,515,654 | 619,551 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 175,561,947 | |
| Less: restricted cash and cash equivalents: Cash in County Treasury | <u>(155,805,421</u>) | |
| Net cash and cash equivalents | <u>\$ 19,756,526</u> | <u>\$ 619,551</u> |

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

Cash in County Treasury

As provided for by California Education Code Section 41001, the District maintains substantially all of its cash in the Alameda County Treasury for the purpose of increasing interest earned through County investment activities.

Because the District's deposits are maintained in the Alameda County Investment Pool, a recognized pooled investment fund under the care of a third party, and the District's share of the pool does not consist of specific, identifiable investment securities owned by the District, no disclosure of the individual deposits and investments or related custodial risk classifications is required.

The District's deposits in the fund are considered to be highly liquid. Interest earned is deposited quarterly into participating funds. Any investment losses are proportionately shared by all funds in the pool. The Alameda County Treasurer has indicated that there are no derivatives in the pool as of June 30, 2013.

Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of failure of the counterparty to a transaction, a government will not be able to recover the value of its cash and investments or collateral securities that are in possession of another party.

The District's investment policy is consistent with California Government Code as it relates to investment vehicles. The District's investment policy authorizes it to invest in the following:

| Authorized Investment Type | Maximum Maximum <u>Maturity</u> | Maximum Percentage of Portfolio | Investment in <u>One Issuer</u> |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants | 5 years 5 years | None None | None None |
| U.S. Treasury Obligations | 5 years | 100% | None |
| U.S. Agency Securities | 5 years | None | None |
| Bankers Acceptance | 180 days | 40% | 30% |
| Commercial Paper | 270 days | 25% | 10% |
| Negotiable Certificates of Deposit | 5 years | 30% | None |
| Repurchase Agreements | 92 days | 20% of base | None |
| Medium-Term Corporate Notes | 5 years | 30% | None |
| Mutual Funds | N/A | 20% | 10% |
| Money Market Mutual Funds | N/A | 20% | 10% |
| Mortgage Pass-Through Securities | 5 years | 20% | None |
| County Pooled Investment Funds | N/A | None | None |
| Local Agency Investment Funds (LAIF) | N/A | None | None |
| Joint Powers Authority Pools | N/A | None | None |

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Continued)

2. CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

Custodial Credit Risk (Continued)

The California Government Code requires California banks and savings and loan associations to secure the District's deposits by pledging government securities as collateral. The market value of pledged securities must equal 110 percent of an agency's deposits. California law also allows financial institutions to secure an agency's deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150 percent of an agency's total deposits and collateral is considered to be held in the name of the District. All cash held by the financial institutions is entirely insured or collateralized.

The District limits custodial credit risk by ensuring uninsured balances are collateralized by the respective financial institution. Cash balances held in banks are insured up to \$250,000 by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) and are collateralized by the respective financial institution. At June 30, 2013, the carrying amount of the District's cash on hand and in banks for the primary governmental entity was \$12,135,205 and the bank balance was \$13,173,433, of which \$1,102,073 was FDIC insured.

Investments Authorized by Debt Agreements

Investment of debt proceeds held by bond trustees are governed by provisions of the debt agreements, rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code or the District's investment policy. The table below identifies the investment types that are authorized for investments held by bond trustees. The table also identifies certain provisions of these debt agreements that address interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk.

| | | Maximum | Maximum |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| | Maximum | Percentage | Investment in |
| Authorized Investment Type | <u>Maturity</u> | <u>of Portfolio</u> | <u>One Issuer</u> |
| | | | |
| Alameda County Investment Pool | Five years | None | None |

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. At June 30, 2013, the District had no significant interest rate risk related to cash and investments held.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

Interest Rate Risk (Continued)

Information about the sensitivity of the fair values of the District's investments to market interest rate fluctuations is provided by the following table that shows the maturity date of each investment:

| | Weighted Average |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| Investment Type | Maturity (in Years) |
| | |
| Alameda County Investment Pool | 1.43 |

Credit Risk

Generally, credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. Presented below is the minimum rating required by (where applicable) the California Government Code, the Alameda County Treasury Investment Policy based on California Government Code Section 53635, the District's investment policy, or debt agreements, and the actual rating as of year end for each investment type.

| Investment Type | Minimum Legal <u>Rating</u> | Rating at <u>Year End</u> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Alameda County Investment Pool | None | N/A |

3. RECEIVABLES

Receivables

District receivables at June 30, 2013 are summarized as follows:

| Federal State Local and other | \$ 1,266,792 14,874,732 <u>9,782,275</u> |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| | 25,923,799 |
| Less allowance for doubtful accounts | <u>(838,819</u>) |
| | <u>\$ 25,084,980</u> |

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Continued)

4. CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity consists of the following:

| | Balan July <u>2012</u> | , | Additions and <u>Transfers</u> | Deductior and <u>Transfer</u> | - | Balance June 30, <u>2013</u> |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Non-depreciable: Land Construction in progress | \$ 9,04 74,93 | 1,723 \$ 2 967 | - 36,600,721 | \$- (42,010, | \$ 593) | 9,041,723 69,523,095 |
| Depreciable: Land improvements Buildings and improvements | 70,55 348,35 | 2,854 | 160,924 927,411 | 8,347, 33,364, | , 164 | 79,060,942 382,644,270 |
| Furniture and equipment | <u> </u> | | 2,307,761 39,996,817 | <u>(16,</u> | <u>545</u>) _ | <u>15,985,188</u> 556,255,218 |
| Less accumulated depreciation: Land improvements | 17,14 | | 7,055,286 | | / | 24,200,145 |
| Buildings and improvements Furniture and equipment | 49,42 | , | 7,271,713 1,771,342 | (13, | <u>615</u>) _ | 56,694,312 9,300,455 |
| Total | 74,11 | 0,186 | 16,098,341 | (13, | <u>615</u>) | 90,194,912 |
| Capital assets, net | <u>\$ 442,46</u> | 3, <u>689</u> \$ | 23,898,476 | \$ (301, | <u>859</u>) <u>\$</u> | 466,060,306 |

The District capitalizes interest paid on obligations related to the acquisition, construction or rehabilitation of District capital assets. Interest capitalized totaled \$927,411 for the year ended June 30, 2013.

5. UNEARNED REVENUE

Unearned revenue for the District consisted of the following:

| Unearned Federal and State revenue | \$ 918,894 |
|--|---------------------|
| Unearned tuition and student fees | 5,330,060 |
| Unearned local grant revenue and other | 803,479 |
| Total unearned revenue | <u>\$ 7,052,433</u> |

6. STATE DEFERRED ANTICIPATION NOTES

State Deferred Anticipation Notes are short-term debt instruments, which are general obligations of the District and are payable solely from revenues and cash receipts generated by the District. They are issued to eliminate cash flow deficiencies that result from fluctuations in revenue receipts and expenditure disbursements. The State Deferred Anticipation Notes was paid off on October 1, 2013. A summary of the District's activity for the year ended June 30, 2013 is as follows:

| | Outstanding July 1, 2012 | Additions | Deletions | Outstanding June 30, 2013 |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|
| 2012 - 2013 - 0.16% State Deferred Anticipation Notes | <u> </u> | <u>\$ 22,500,000</u> | <u> </u> | <u>====</u> <u>\$ 22,500,000</u> |

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

7. LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

Lease Revenue Bond

The District issued the 2001 Lease Revenue Bond, Series A in the amount of \$5,735,000 through the California Community College Financing Authority. The District paid off the outstanding principal balance of \$4,320,000 in June 2013.

General Obligation Bonds

• •

- ..

In March 2006, the District issued the 2006 General Obligation Refunding Bonds in the amount of \$89,275,850 to advance refund all or a portion of the outstanding principal amount of the District's General Obligation Bonds, Election of 2004, Series 2004A. The Bonds were issued as current interest bonds totaling \$76,320,000 and capital appreciation bonds totaling \$12,995,849. The bonds mature August 2021, with interest yields ranging from 3.50 to 5.00 percent.

The annual payments required to amortize the 2006 General Obligation Refunding Bonds, outstanding as of June 30, 2013, are as follows:

| Year Ending June 30, | Principal | Interest | <u>Total</u> |
|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 2014 | \$ 3,231,012 | \$ 6,412,864 | \$ 9,643,876 |
| 2015 | 2,875,608 | 6,768,267 | 9,643,875 |
| 2016 | 2,559,240 | 7,084,636 | 9,643,876 |
| 2017 | 2,279,251 | 7,369,624 | 9,648,875 |
| 2018 | 7,565,000 | 1,892,688 | 9,457,688 |
| 2019-2022 | 34,220,000 | 3,510,500 | 37,730,500 |
| | <u>\$ 52,730,111</u> | <u>\$ 33,038,579</u> | <u>\$ 85,768,690</u> |

In October 2006, the District issued the 2006 General Obligation Bonds, Series B in the amount of \$229,159,710, including current interest bonds and capital appreciation bonds. The bonds mature in 2029, with interest yields ranging from 3.60 to 5.00 percent.

The annual payments required to amortize the 2006 General Obligation Bonds, Series B outstanding as of June 30, 2013, are as follows:

| Year Ending June 30, | Principal | Interest | <u>Total</u> |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 2014 2015 | \$ 2,787,516 3,235,781 | \$ 7,485,534 7,817,269 | \$ 10,273,050 11,053,050 |
| 2016 2017 | 2,817,245 - | 7,845,805 3,332,800 | 10,663,050 3,332,800 |
| 2018 | - | 17,550 | 17,550 |
| 2019-2023 2024-2028 | - | 87,750 87,750 | 87,750 87,750 |
| 2029 | 390,000 | 8,775 | 398,775 |
| | \$ 9,230,542 | \$ 26,683,233 | \$ 35,913,775 |

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

7. LONG-TERM LIABILITIES (Continued)

General Obligation Bonds (Continued)

The District issued the 2006 General Obligation Bonds, Series C in the amount of \$168,838,667. The bonds mature in 2047, with interest yields ranging from 4.09 to 5.10 percent.

The annual payments required to amortize the 2006 General Obligation Bonds, Series C outstanding as of June 30, 2013, are as follows:

| Year Ending June 30, | Principal | Interest | Total |
|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 2016 | \$ 422,019 | \$ 747,981 | \$ 1,170,000 |
| 2017 | 1,934,146 | 4,090,854 | 6,025,000 |
| 2018 | - | - | - |
| 2019-2023 | - | - | - |
| 2024-2028 | - | - | - |
| 2029-2033 | - | - | - |
| 2034-2038 | 54,990,510 | 177,059,490 | 232,050,000 |
| 2039-2043 | 51,560,031 | 229,679,969 | 281,240,000 |
| 2044-2047 | 38,950,601 | 228,394,399 | 267,345,000 |
| | <u>\$147,857,307</u> | <u>\$639,972,693</u> | <u>\$787,830,000</u> |

In February 2013, the District issued 2013 General Obligation Refunding Bonds aggregating \$289,105,000. The bonds mature through August 2032 and bear interest at rates ranging from 3.0% to 5.0%. The proceeds from the issuance will be used to advance refund a portion of the District's outstanding Election of 2004 - Series 2006B, Election of 2004 - Series 2006C and pay the cost of issuing the Refunding Bonds. Resulting from the bond issuance, the District received a premium of \$37,996,916. The premium is amortized over the life of the bond repayment. On June 30, 2013, \$265,280,342 of bonds outstanding are considered defeased.

Calculation of Difference in Cash Flow Requirements and Economic Gain

Cash Flow Difference

| Old debt service cash flows | \$ 454,753,000 |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| New debt service cash flows | <u>442,751,288</u> |
| | <u>\$ 12,001,712</u> |

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Continued)

7. LONG-TERM LIABILITIES (Continued)

<u>General Obligation Bonds</u> (Continued)

Economic Gain

The economic gain or difference between the present value of the old debt service requirements and the present value of the new debt service requirements, discounted at the effective interest rate and adjusted for additional cash paid totaled \$7,966,927.

Although the advance refundings resulted in the recognition of an accounting loss of \$52.0 million for the year ended June 30, 2013, the District in effect reduced its aggregate debt service payments by \$12.0 million over the next 19 years and obtained an economic gain of \$8.0 million.

There was no accrued interest or sinking fund resources related to the new debt proceeds.

The annual payments required to amortize the 2013 General Obligation Refunding Bonds as of June 30, 2013, are as follows:

| Year Ending June 30. | Principal | <u>Interest</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 2014 | \$- | \$ 11,353,025 | \$ 11,353,025 |
| 2015 | - | 13,099,644 | 13,099,644 |
| 2016 | - | 13,099,644 | 13,099,644 |
| 2017 | - | 13,099,644 | 13,099,644 |
| 2018 | 465,000 | 13,092,669 | 13,557,669 |
| 2019-2023 | 22,960,000 | 64,366,444 | 87,326,444 |
| 2024-2028 | 102,300,000 | 49,334,969 | 151,634,969 |
| 2029-2033 | 163,380,000 | 20,302,384 | 183,682,384 |
| | <u>\$289,105,000</u> | <u>\$197,748,423</u> | <u>\$486,853,423</u> |

Note Payable

The District has a note payable to the California Department of Education, payable in annual installments of \$30,000, with no interest. The note payable was paid off during the year ended June 30, 2013.

Supplemental Employee Retirement Plans (SERP)

On October 6, 2009 and April 19, 2011, the Board approved implementation of voluntary Supplemental Retirement Plans (SERP) for the purpose of encouraging eligible employees considering an early leave decision to accelerate their retirement plans. Eligible employees included those age 55 with at least 10 years of service with the District. Fifty employees elected to voluntarily resign from the District's employment no later than December 31, 2009, for the first SERP and 34 employees elected to voluntarily resign no later than June 30, 2011, for the subsequent SERP.
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Continued)

7. LONG-TERM LIABILITIES (Continued)

Supplemental Employee Retirement Plans (SERP) (Continued)

Under the SERP, the retirees will receive an annuity purchased by the District at a cost of 65% of the eligible employee's base salary. The annuity will provide a monthly benefit depending on the employee's age and the benefit option chosen by the employee. The District is paying for the annuities over a five-year period. The cost to the District represents the present value of the future payments. The cost of the 2010 SERP is \$2,451,579, assuming a discount rate of 0.65% and the cost of the 2011 SERP is \$1,807,125, assuming a discount rate of 0.35%.

The annual payments under the annuity contracts purchased are as follows:

| Maan Engling | SE | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Year Ending June 30. | <u>2010</u> | <u>2011</u> | <u>Total</u> |
| 2014 2015 | \$ 385,722 - | \$ 363,955 <u>363,955</u> | \$ 749,677 <u>363,955</u> |
| Total | 385,722 | 727,910 | 1,113,632 |
| Less: Discount to present value | (9,868) | (8,845) | <u>(18,713</u>) |
| Present Value | \$ 375,854 | \$ 719,065 | \$ 1,094,919 |

Changes in Long-Term Debt

A schedule of changes in long-term debt for the year ended June 30, 2013 is as follows:

| | Balance July 1, <u>2012</u> | Additions | Deductions | Balance June 30, <u>2013</u> | Amounts Due Within <u>One Year</u> |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| General Obligation Bonds General Obligation Bonds | \$ 349,990,868 | \$ 289,105,000 | \$ 140,172,908 | \$ 498,922,960 | \$ 6,018,528 |
| Premium, net | 16,879,482 | 37,996,916 | 13,435,527 | 41,440,871 | 2,929,662 |
| Accreted interest | 192,058,169 | 20,053,102 | 133,233,171 | 78,878,100 | 5,171,472 |
| Lease revenue bond | 4,320,000 | - | 4,320,000 | - | - |
| Note payable | 30,000 | - | 30,000 | - | - |
| Other postemployment | | | | | |
| benefits (Note 10) | 26,027,623 | 12,464,816 | 4,827,637 | 33,664,802 | - |
| Supplemental Employee | | | | | |
| Retirement Plan | 1,834,636 | - | 739,717 | 1,094,919 | 736,014 |
| Compensated absences | 1,913,697 | | 60,941 | 1,852,756 | 1,852,756 |
| | <u>\$ 593,054,475</u> | <u>\$ 359,619,834</u> | <u>\$ 296,819,901</u> | <u>\$ 655,854,408</u> | <u>\$ 16,708,432</u> |

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Continued)

8. **PROPERTY TAXES**

All property taxes are levied and collected by the Tax Assessors of the Counties of Alameda and Contra Costa and paid upon collection to the various taxing entities including the District. Secured taxes are levied on July 1 and are due in two installments on November 1 and February 1, and become delinquent on December 10 and April 10, respectively. The lien date for secured and unsecured property taxes is March 1 of the preceding fiscal year.

9. EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT SYSTEMS

Qualified employees are covered under multiple-employer defined benefit pension plans maintained by agencies of the State of California. Certificated employees are members of the State Teachers' Retirement System, and classified employees are members of the Public Employees' Retirement System.

State Teachers' Retirement System (STRS)

Plan Description

All certificated employees and those employees meeting minimum standards adopted by the Board of Governors of the California Community Colleges and employed 50 percent or more of a full-time equivalent position participate in the Defined Benefit Plan (DB Plan). Part-time educators hired under a contract of less than 50 percent or on an hourly or daily basis without contract may elect membership in the Cash Balance Benefit Program (CB Benefit Program). The State Teachers' Retirement Law (Part 13 of the *California Education Code*, Section 22000 et seq.) established benefit provisions for STRS. Copies of the STRS annual financial report may be obtained from the STRS Executive Office, 100 Waterfront Place, West Sacramento, CA 95605.

The State Teachers' Retirement Plan (STRP), a defined benefit pension plan, provides retirement, disability, and death benefits, and depending on which component of the STRP the employee is in, postretirement cost-of-living adjustments may also be offered. Employees in the DB Plan attaining the age of 60 with five years of credited California service (service) are eligible for "normal" retirement and are entitled to a monthly benefit of two percent of their final compensation for each year of service. Final compensation is generally defined as the average salary earnable for the highest three consecutive years of service. The plan permits early retirement options at age 55 or as early as age 50 with at least 30 years of service. Disability benefits of up to 90 percent of final service, members become 100 percent vested in retirement benefits earned to date. If a member's employment is terminated, the accumulated member contributions are refundable. The features of the CB Benefit Program include immediate vesting, variable contribution rates that can be bargained, guaranteed interest rates, and flexible retirement options. Participation in the CB benefit plan is optional; however, if the employee selects the CB benefit plan and their basis of employment changes to half time or more, the member will automatically become a member of the DB Plan.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

9. **EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT SYSTEMS** (Continued)

State Teachers' Retirement System (STRS) (Continued)

Funding Policy

Active members of the DB Plan are required to contribute 8.0% of their salary while the District is required to contribute an actuarially determined rate. The actuarial methods and assumptions used for determining the rate are those adopted by the STRS Teachers' Retirement Board. The required employer contribution rate for fiscal year 2012-2013 was 8.25% of annual payroll. The contribution requirements of the plan members are established by State statute. The CB Benefit Program is an alternative STRS contribution plan for instructors. Instructors who choose not to sign up for the DB Plan or FICA may participate in the CB Benefit Program. The District contribution rate for the CB Benefit Program is always a minimum of 4% with the sum of the district and employee contribution always being equal or greater than 8%.

Annual Pension Cost

The District's total contributions to STRS for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were \$2,666,661, \$2,678,926 and \$2,902,414, respectively, and equals 100% of the required contributions for each year. The State of California may make additional direct payments for retirement benefits to the STRS on behalf of all community colleges in the State. The revenue and expenditures associated with these payments, if any, have not been included in these financial statements.

California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS)

Plan Description

The District contributes to the School Employer Pool under the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS), a cost-sharing multiple-employer public employee retirement system defined benefit pension plan administered by CalPERS. The plan provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. Benefit provisions are established by state statutes, as legislatively amended, within the Public Employees' Retirement Law. CalPERS issues a separate comprehensive annual financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. Copies of the CalPERS annual financial report may be obtained from the CalPERS Executive Office, 400 Q Street, Sacramento, California 95811.

Funding Policy

Active plan members are required to contribute 7.0% of their salary and the district is required to contribute an actuarially determined rate. The required employer contribution rate for fiscal year 2012-2013 was 11.417% of annual payroll.

Annual Pension Cost

The District's contributions to CalPERS for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were \$2,520,143, \$2,631,225 and \$2,784,784, respectively, and equaled 100 percent of the required contributions for each year.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Continued)

10. OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

In addition to the pension benefits described in Note 9, the District is a single-employer defined benefit healthcare plan administered by the District. The District provides medical, dental, and vision insurance coverage, as prescribed in the various employee union contracts, to retirees meeting plan eligibility requirements. Eligible employees retiring from the District may become eligible for these benefits when the requirements are met. The eligibility requirement for employees participating in CalPERS is a minimum age of 55 and a minimum ten years of continuous service with the District. Additional age and service criteria may be required. The eligibility requirement for employees participating in CalSTRS is a minimum age of 60 with five years of service, or age 50 with 30 years of service. In addition, the District also has minimum continuous service requirements for retirement that range from three years to ten years, and varies by employee class.

The District offers subsidized health insurance benefits to all employees who retire from the District and meet the age and service requirement for eligibility. Group medical coverage is provided for academic retirees hired on or after April 1, 1986, and classified retirees hired on or after July 1, 1984. For employees hired on or after January 1, 2013, no group medical coverage is provided. The amount of the District's contribution per employee towards such annual premiums is determined according to the collective bargaining agreements. Membership of the Plan consists of 373 retirees currently receiving benefits and 608 eligible active plan members.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

10. OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (Continued)

The District's annual other postemployment benefit (OPEB) cost (expense) is calculated based on the annual required contribution of the employer (ARC), an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Cod. Sec. P50.108-.109. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) over a period not to exceed thirty years. The following table shows the components of the District's annual OPEB cost for the year, the amount actually contributed, and changes in the District's net OPEB obligation:

| Annual required contribution | \$ 11,228,504 |
|--|----------------------|
| Interest on net OPEB obligation | 1,236,312 |
| Adjustment to annual required contribution | |
| Annual OPEB cost | 12,464,816 |
| Contributions made | (4,827,637) |
| Increase in net OPEB obligation | 7,637,179 |
| Net OPEB liability - beginning of year | 26,027,623 |
| Net OPEB liability - end of year | <u>\$ 33,664,802</u> |

The District's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plan, and the net OPEB obligation for the year ended June 30, 2013 and preceding two years were as follows:

| Fiscal Year <u>Ended</u> | Annual <u>OPEB Cost</u> | Percentage of Annual OPEB Cost <u>Contributed</u> | Net OPEB Obligation |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|
| June 30, 2011 | \$ 10,388,313 | 43.30% | \$ 19,466,361\$ 26,027,623\$ 33,664,802 |
| June 30, 2012 | \$ 11,565,979 | 43.27% | |
| June 30, 2013 | \$ 12,464,816 | 38.73% | |

As of June 1, 2013, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the actuarial accrued liability for benefits was \$125.0 million, and the actuarial value of assets was zero, resulting in an unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) of \$125.0 million. For the year ended June 30, 2013, the covered payroll (annual payroll of active employees covered by the Plan) was \$50.8 million, and the ratio of the UAAL to the covered payroll was 245.88 percent.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Continued)

10. OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (Continued)

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and the healthcare cost trend. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the employer are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. The schedule of funding progress, included as Required Supplementary Information following this section, presents multiyear trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employer and the plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the employer and plan members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

In the June 1, 2013, actuarial valuation, the entry-age normal cost method was used to value the liability. The actuarial assumptions included a 4.75 percent investment rate of return (net of administrative expenses), which is a blended rate of the expected long-term investment returns on plan assets and on the employer's own investments calculated based on the funded level of the plan on the valuation date, and an annual healthcare cost trend rate of 4.0. A zero to 3.5 percent morbidity assumption was used to increase expected medical claims. The actuarial value of assets was determined using techniques that spread the effects of short-term volatility in the market value of investments over a fifteen-year period. The UAAL is being amortized as a level percentage of projected payroll on an open basis. The remaining amortization period at June 30, 2013, was 30 years.

11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Contingent Liabilities

The District is subject to legal proceedings and claims which arise in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the amount of ultimate liability with respect to these actions will not materially affect the financial position or results of operations of the District.

The District has received Federal and State funds for specific purposes that are subject to review or audit by the grantor agencies. Although such audits could results in expenditure disallowances under terms of the grants, it is management's opinion that any required reimbursements or future revenue offsets subsequently determined will not have a material effect.

Construction Commitments

As of June 30, 2013, the District has \$10,181,351 in outstanding commitments on construction contracts.

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Continued)

12. JOINT POWERS AGREEMENTS

Chabot-Las Positas Community College District participates in public entity risk pool joint power agreements (JPAs), with Statewide Association of Community Colleges (SWACC) and Protected Insurance Program for Schools (PIPS). The relationship between Chabot-Las Positas Community College District and the JPAs is such that the JPAs are not component units of Chabot-Las Positas Community College District for financial reporting purposes.

The JPAs are governed by boards consisting of a representative from each member district. The boards control the operations of the JPAs, including the selection of management and approval of operating budgets, independent of any influence by the member district beyond their representation on the governing board. SWACC provides property, liability and PIPS provides workers' compensation insurance. Chabot-Las Positas Community College District pays a premium commensurate with the level of coverage requested.

Member districts share surpluses and deficits proportionate to their participation in the JPAs. The JPAs are independently accountable for their fiscal matters and maintain their own accounting records. Budgets are not subject to any approval other than that of the governing board.

The District is also a member in School Project for Utility Rate Reduction (SPURR), which is a California joint powers authority, whose members are California public K-12 school districts, community college districts and county offices of education. SPURR provides members access to the wholesale natural gas market that would otherwise be unavailable to them.

Condensed financial information of the JPAs for the most recent year available is as follows:

| | Ju | SWACC ine 30, 2012 | | | <u>Jı</u> | SPURR une. 30, 2012 |
|----------------------|----|-----------------------|----|-------------|-----------|------------------------|
| Total assets | \$ | 48,874,611 | \$ | 120,376,363 | \$ | 12,712,307 |
| Total liabilities | \$ | 21,266,021 | \$ | 97,382,754 | \$ | 6,292,890 |
| Net assets | \$ | 27,608,590 | \$ | 22,993,609 | \$ | 6,419,417 |
| Total revenues | \$ | 20,672,614 | \$ | 185,250,408 | \$ | 33,252,049 |
| Total expenses | \$ | 16,466,887 | \$ | 185,015,689 | \$ | 33,030,649 |
| Change in net assets | \$ | 4,205,727 | \$ | 234,719 | \$ | 221,400 |

NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

13. OPERATING EXPENSES

The following schedule details the functional classifications of the operating expenses reported in the statement of revenues, expenses and changes in net position for the year ended June 30, 2013.

| Functional Classifications | <u>Salaries</u> | Employee <u>Benefits</u> | Supplies, Materials, and Other Operating Expenses nd Services | F | - inancial Aid | <u>[</u> | Depreciation | | Total |
|---|------------------|-----------------------------|--|----|-------------------|----------|--------------|----|-------------|
| Instruction | \$ 36,331,292 | \$ 11,979,920 | \$ 1,239,131 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 49,550,343 |
| Academic Support | 5,243,502 | 2,283,757 | 840,637 | | - | | - | | 8,367,896 |
| Student Services | 7,883,584 | 3,463,103 | 1,782,298 | | - | | - | | 13,128,985 |
| Operations and Maintenance of Plant | 3,450,470 | 2,048,874 | 2,623,190 | | - | | - | | 8,122,534 |
| Institution Support | 7,941,524 | 3,711,386 | 3,653,800 | | - | | - | | 15,306,710 |
| Community Support | 657,194 | 209,409 | 159,145 | | - | | - | | 1,025,748 |
| Ancillary Services | 2,121,995 | 1,210,770 | 4,251,454 | | - | | - | | 7,584,219 |
| Student Aid | 427,478 | 78,239 | (22,157) | | 21,930,244 | | - | | 22,413,804 |
| Physical property and related acquisition | 547,506 | 8,289,315 | 6,391,187 | | - | | 16,098,341 | _ | 31,326,349 |
| | \$ 64,604,545 | \$ 33,274,773 | \$ 20,918,685 | \$ | 21,930,244 | \$ | 16,098,341 | \$ | 156,826,588 |

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (OPEB) FUNDING PROGRESS

For the Year Ended June 30, 2013

| | | | | Schedule of Fu | Inding Progress | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----|--------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Fiscal Year <u>Ended</u> | Actuarial Valuation <u>Date</u> | V | ctuarial /alue of Assets | Actuarial Accrued Liability <u>(AAL)</u> | Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability <u>(UAAL</u>) | Funded <u>Ratio</u> | Covered <u>Payroll</u> | UAAL as a Percentage of Covered <u>Payroll</u> |
| 6/30/2010 | Februay 1, 2009 | \$ | - | \$118,122,460 | \$118,122,460 | 0% | \$ 54,644,319 | 216.17% |
| 6/30/2011 | June 1, 2011 | \$ | - | \$138,649,163 | \$138,649,163 | 0% | \$ 55,796,114 | 248.49% |
| 6/30/2012 | June 1, 2011 | \$ | - | \$138,649,163 | \$138,649,163 | 0% | \$ 55,796,114 | 248.49% |
| 6/30/2013 | June 1, 2013 | \$ | - | \$124,965,238 | \$124,965,238 | 0% | \$ 50,822,923 | 245.88% |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

NOTE TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

1. PURPOSE OF SCHEDULE

A - <u>Schedule of Other Postemployment Benefits Funding Progress</u>

The Schedule of Funding Progress presents multi-year trend information which compares, over time, the actuarially accrued liability for benefits with the actuarial value of accumulated plan assets.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

ORGANIZATION

June 30, 2013

Chabot-Las Positas Community College District was established on January 10, 1961, and commenced operations on September 11, 1961. There were no changes in the boundaries of the District during the current year. The District's two main colleges are each accredited by the Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior College, Western Association of Schools and Junior Colleges.

The Governing Board and District Administration for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 were composed of the following members:

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

| Members | Office | Term Expires |
|------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Dr. Arnulfo Cedillo | President | 2016 |
| Dr. Marshall Mitzman | Secretary | 2010 |
| Mr. Donald L. "Dobie" Gelles | Member | 2014 |
| Dr. Barbara F. Mertes | Member | 2016 |
| Dr. Hal G. Gin | Member | 2014 |
| Ms. Isobel F. Dvorsky | Member | 2014 |
| Mr. Carlo Vecchiarelli | Member | 2016 |

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION

Dr. Judy E. Walters Interim Chancellor**

Mr. Lorenzo Legaspi Vice Chancellor, Business Services

Mr. Wyman M. Fong Vice Chancellor, Human Resources

Mr. Jeffrey M. Kingston Vice Chancellor, Educational Services, Planning, and Facilities

**Effective July 29, 2013, Dr. Jannett N. Jackson, Chancellor

See accompanying notes to supplementary information.

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

For the Year Ended June 30, 2013

| Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor/ <u>Program or Cluster Title</u> | Federal CFDA <u>Number</u> | Pass-Through Entity Identifying <u>Number</u> | Federal <u>Expenditures</u> |
|--|--|--|--|
| U.S. Department of Education | | | |
| Direct Programs: Student Financial Aid Cluster: Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Program (FSEOG) Federal Work Study (FWS) Federal Pell Grants (PELL) Federal Direct Student Loans Financial Aid Admin Allowance | 84.007 84.033 84.063 84.268 84.063 | - - - - | \$ 243,338 150,325 17,990,376 2,751,245 49,768 |
| Subtotal Financial Aid Cluster | | | 21,185,052 |
| TRIO Cluster: Student Support Services Talent Search | 84.042 84.044 | P042A1010-15/16 P044A110659 | 466,653 257,851 |
| Subtotal TRIO Cluster | | | 724,504 |
| Title III- Higher Education Institutional Aid Fund for the Improvement of Education Child Care Access Means Parents in School | 84.031 84.215 84.335 | P031A080146 P116W090134 P335A090192 | 435,839 167,457 11,367 |
| Passed through California Department of Rehabilita Rehabilitation Services- Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States | ation: 84.126 | 27727 | 171,272 |
| Passed through California Community College Cha Vocational Education- Basic Grants to States | ncellor's Off 84.048 | fice: 12-C01-007 | 1,016,233 |
| Total U.S. Department of Education | | | 23,711,724 |
| U.S Department of Labor | | | |
| WIA Cluster: Passed through Alameda County: Workforce Investment Act- Adult Program | 17.258 | 90035-10796-8326 10685-8145 | 574,577 |
| Workforce Investment Act- Dislocated Worker Formula Grant (Tri Valley One Stop) Direct Program: | 17.278 | 900035-10685-8145 | 264,920 |
| Workforce Investment Act- Adult Program (Tri Valley Community Foundation MOU) | 17.258 | - | 34,620 |
| Subtotal WIA Cluster | | | 874,117 |

(Continued)

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2013

| Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor/ <u>Program or Cluster Title</u> | Federal CFDA <u>Number</u> | Pass-Through Entity Identifying <u>Number</u> | Federal <u>Expenditures</u> |
|---|----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| U.S Department of Labor (Continued) | | | |
| Passed Through Alameda County: Workforce Investment Act- National Emergency Grants | 17.277 | 900035-10670-8086 | \$ 115,157 |
| Direct Program: Trade Adjustment Assistance Community College and Career Training Program | 17.282 | TC-23770-12-60-A-6 | 81,231 |
| Total U.S. Department of Labor | | | 1,070,505 |
| U.S. Department of Health and Human Services | | | |
| Passed through California Community College Cha Temporary Assistance for Needy Families | ncellor's Of 93.558 | fice: - | 105,290 |
| Passed through California Department of Education Child Care and Development Block Grant | n: 93.575 | CSPP2008 | 79,666 |
| <i>Direct Program:</i> Head Start | 93.600 | - | 217,337 |
| Passed through Alameda County: Child, Family and Community Services - Foster Care - Pride and Cari Programs | 93.658 | 900035-10684-8146 10798-8322 | 39,870 |
| Passed through University of California - Berkeley - Biomedical Research and Training | subaward: 93.859 | 1R25GM095401-01-7618 | 3 <u> </u> |
| Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services | | | 460,103 |
| National Aeronautics and Space Administration | | | |
| Passed through Stanford University - subaward: Stanford SDO Lab Project (NASA) | 43.008 | 29276460-100163A | 8,128 |
| U.S. Department of Agriculture | | | |
| Passed through California Department of Education Child and Adult Care Food Program | <i>1:</i> 10.558 | 01-29262-1A | 47,370 |
| Total Federal Programs | | | <u>\$ 25,297,830</u> |

See accompanying notes to supplementary information.

SCHEDULE OF STATE FINANCIAL AWARDS

For the Year Ended June 30, 2013

| | | Pro | gram Entitleme | ents | Program Revenues | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----|-----------------------|----|--|----|------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Prior Yea Carry- <u>forward</u> | | Current <u>Entitlement</u> | Total <u>Entitlement</u> | Cash <u>Received</u> | | Accounts eceivable | | Deferred Revenue/ Accounts <u>Payable</u> | | Total | Program Expend- <u>itures</u> |
| Basic Skills | \$ 284,8 | 93 | \$ 198,453 | \$ 483,346 | \$ 483,286 | \$ | - | \$ | 232,434 | \$ | 250,852 | \$ 250,852 |
| BFAP | - | | 666,952 | 666,952 | 666,952 | | - | | - | | 666,952 | 666,952 |
| CARE | - | | 86,137 | 86,137 | 86,137 | | - | | - | | 86,137 | 86,137 |
| Cal Grants | 6,4 | 00 | 868,460 | 874,860 | 874,860 | | - | | - | | 874,860 | 874,860 |
| CalWORKs | _ | | 355,212 | 355,212 | 355,212 | | - | | 7,732 | | 347,480 | 347,480 |
| MESA | _ | | 50,500 | 50,500 | 30,300 | | 20,137 | | _ | | 50,437 | 50,437 |
| Career Tech Education | 432,3 | 00 | 387.023 | 819,323 | 681,433 | | | | 179,905 | | 501,528 | 501,528 |
| Career Tech Education - Transitions | _ | | 98.778 | 98,778 | 51.088 | | 45,317 | | _ | | 96,405 | 96,405 |
| Disabled Students Services (DSPS) | - | | 1,007,663 | 1,007,663 | 1,007,663 | | - | | - | | 1,007,663 | 1,007,663 |
| Economic Opportunity Programs | | | , , | ,, | , | | | | | | , , | ,, |
| and Services (EOPS) | _ | | 617.921 | 617,921 | 617,921 | | - | | _ | | 617,921 | 617,921 |
| Child Care Training Consortium | _ | | 32,413 | 32,413 | 29,995 | | 2,413 | | _ | | 32,408 | 32,408 |
| Faculty and Staff Diversity | _ | | 8,335 | 8,335 | 8,335 | | | | _ | | 8,335 | 8,335 |
| Foster Care Education | _ | | 226,270 | 226,270 | 139,613 | | 82,480 | | _ | | 222,093 | 222,093 |
| CARI- Pre Training | _ | | 6,300 | 6,300 | _ | | 5,154 | | _ | | 5,154 | 5,154 |
| IDRC - Surgical Tech | _ | | 27,007 | 27,007 | 27,007 | | - | | 27,007 | | - | - |
| PRIDE- Pre Training | - | | 14.000 | 14,000 | - , | | 12,445 | | | | 12.445 | 12,445 |
| Matriculation | _ | | 595,193 | 595,193 | 595,193 | | - | | _ | | 595,193 | 595,193 |
| Nursing Assessment, Remediation, | | | , | , | , | | | | | | , | , |
| and Retention Grent | _ | | 337,220 | 337,220 | 281,400 | | - | | 248,820 | | 32,580 | 32,580 |
| Nursing Assessment and Remediation | | | | | , | | | | , | | , | , |
| Grant | _ | | 220,571 | 220,571 | 188,385 | | 29,084 | | _ | | 217,469 | 217,469 |
| Lottery | - | | 516,454 | 516,454 | 205,914 | | 128,037 | | - | | 333,951 | 333,951 |
| CDC CACFP Food Program | - | | 5,000 | 5,000 | 2,613 | | 556 | | - | | 3,169 | 3,169 |
| CDC State Preschool/Wrap Around | - | | 408,109 | 408,109 | 387,300 | | - | | 30,000 | | 357,300 | 357,300 |
| Renovation of Buildings | _ | | 4,478,400 | 4,478,400 | 3,584,450 | | 734,670 | | - | | 4,319,120 | 4,319,120 |
| | | _ | ., | .,, | 2,00.,00 | | | _ | | _ | ., | .,, |
| Total State Programs | <u>\$723,5</u> | 93 | <u>\$ 11,212,371</u> | <u>\$ 11,935,964</u> | \$ 10,305,057 | \$ | 1,060,293 | \$ | 725,898 | \$ | 10,639,452 | \$ 10,639,452 |

SCHEDULE OF WORKLOAD MEASURES FOR STATE GENERAL APPORTIONMENT

Annual Attendance as of June 30, 2013

| | <u>Categories</u> | Reported <u>Data</u> | Audit <u>Adjustments</u> | Revised <u>Data</u> |
|----------|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| A. Su | ummer Intersession (Summer 2012 only) | | | |
| 1. 2. | | - 853 | - | - 853 |
| B. Sı | ummer Intersession (Summer 2013) - Prior to July 1, 2013) | | | |
| 1. 2. | | - | - | - - |
| C. Pr | rimary Terms (Exclusive of Summer Intersession) | | | |
| 1. | Census Procedure Courses a. Weekly Census Contact Hours b. Daily Census Contact Hours | 11,864 608 | - | 11,864 608 |
| 2. | Actual Hours of Attendance Procedure Courses | | | |
| | a. Noncredit b. Credit | 126 431 | - | 126 431 |
| 3. | Independent Study/Work Experience | | | |
| | a. Weekly Census Contact Hours b. Daily Census Contact Hours c. Noncredit Independent Study/ Distance Education Courses | 1,910 411 - | - - - | 1,910 411 - |
| D. To | otal FTES | 16,203 | _ | 16,203 |
| Suppler | mental Information: | | | |
| E. In- | -Service Training Courses (FTES) | 26 | - | 26 |
| H. Ba | asic Skills Courses and Immigrant Education | | | |
| a. b. | | - 1,188 | - | - 1,188 |
| CCFS 3 | 320 Addendum | | | |
| CDCP | | - | - | - |
| Centers | S FTES | | | |
| a. b. | | - | - | - |

See accompanying notes to supplementary information.

RECONCILIATION OF ANNUAL FINANCIAL AND BUDGET REPORT (CCFS-311) WITH AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Year Ended June 30, 2013

There were no adjustments proposed to any funds of the District.

See accompanying notes to supplementary information.

RECONCILIATION OF ECS 84362 (50 PERCENT LAW) CALCULATION

For the Year Ended June 30, 2013

| | | | Activity (ECSA ECS 84362 A ructional Salary 0100-5900 & AC | Cost | | Activity (ECSB ECS 84362 B Total CEE AC 0100-6799 |) |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | Object/TOP <u>Codes</u> | Reported <u>Data</u> | Audit Adjustments | Revised <u>Data</u> | Reported <u>Data</u> | Audit <u>Adjustments</u> | Revised <u>Data</u> |
| Academic Salaries | | | | | | | |
| Instructional salaries: Contract or regular Other | 1100 1300 | \$ 18,772,253 <u>13,519,166</u> | \$ | \$ 18,772,253 <u>13,519,166</u> | \$ 19,046,258 <u>13,519,166</u> | \$ | \$ 19,046,258 <u>13,519,166</u> |
| Total instructional salaries | | 32,291,419 | | 32,291,419 | 32,565,424 | | 32,565,424 |
| Non-instructional salaries: Contract or regular Other | 1200 1400 | - | - | - | 5,981,567 470,125 | - | 5,981,567 470,125 |
| Total non-instructional salaries | | | | | 6,451,692 | | 6,451,692 |
| Total academic salaries | | 32,291,419 | | 32,291,419 | 39,017,116 | | 39,017,116 |
| Classified Salaries | | | | | | | |
| Non-instructional salaries: Regular status Other | 2100 2300 | - | - | - | 14,730,280 <u>870,083</u> | - | 14,730,280 <u>870,083</u> |
| Total non-instructional salaries | | | | | 15,600,363 | | 15,600,363 |
| Instructional aides: Regular status Other | 2200 2400 | 674,411 98,378 | - | 674,411 98,378 | 674,411 98,378 | - | 674,411 <u>98,378</u> |
| Total instructional aides | | 772,789 | | 772,789 | 772,789 | | 772,789 |
| Total classified salaries | | 772,789 | | 772,789 | 16,373,152 | | 16,373,152 |
| Employee benefits Supplies and materials Other operating expenses Equipment replacement | 3000 4000 5000 6420 | 8,266,946 - - - | - - - | 8,266,946 - - - | 23,394,702 831,548 6,388,591 - | - - - - | 23,394,702 831,548 6,388,591 - |
| Total expenditures prior to exclusions | | <u>\$ 41,331,154</u> | <u>\$ -</u> | <u>\$ 41,331,154</u> | <u>\$ 86,005,109</u> | \$ | <u>\$ 86,005,109</u> |

(Continued)

RECONCILIATION OF ECS 84362 (50 PERCENT LAW) CALCULATION (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2013

| | | | EC tructi | ivity (ECSA) S 84362 A onal Salary -5900 & AC | Cos | | | | EC | tivity (ECSB) CS 84362 B Fotal CEE C 0100-6799 | | |
|---|--------------|------------------|--------------|--|-----|-------------|----|----------------|-----------|---|----|----------------|
| | Object/TOP | Reported | ٨ | Audit | | Revised | | Reported | • | Audit | | Revised |
| Exclusions | <u>Codes</u> | <u>Data</u> | <u>A(</u> | ljustments | | <u>Data</u> | | <u>Data</u> | <u>A(</u> | <u>djustments</u> | | <u>Data</u> |
| Activities to exclude: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Instructional staff-retirees' benefits and | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| retirement incentives | 5900 | \$ 379,578 | \$ | - | \$ | 379,578 | \$ | 379,578 | \$ | - | \$ | 379,578 |
| Student health services above amount collected | 6441 | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Student transportation | 6491 | - | | - | | - | | 68,380 | | - | | 68,380 |
| Noninstructional staff-retirees' benefits and | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| retirement incentives | 6740 | - | | - | | - | | 5,666,896 | | - | | 5,666,896 |
| Objects to exclude: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rents and leases | 5060 | - | | - | | - | | 52,554 | | - | | 52,554 |
| Lottery expenditures Academic salaries | 1000 | - | | - | | - | | - 1,724,546 | | - | | - 1,724,546 |
| Classified salaries | 2000 | - | | - | | - | | 1,724,340 | | - | | 1,724,340 |
| Employee benefits | 3000 | - | | - | | - | | - 19,409 | | - | | - 19,409 |
| | 0000 | | | | | | | 10,400 | | | | 10,400 |
| Supplies and materials: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Software | 4100 | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Books, magazines and periodicals | 4200 | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Instructional supplies and materials | 4300 | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Noninstructional supplies and materials | 4400 | - | | - | — | | - | - | | - | | - |
| Total supplies and materials | | - | | | _ | - | _ | - | | | _ | - |
| Other operating expenses and services | 5000 | - | | - | _ | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Capital outlay | 6000 | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Library books | 6300 | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Equipment: | 0440 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Equipment - additional | 6410 6420 | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Equipment - replacement | 0420 | | | - | _ | - | _ | | | - | | - |
| Total equipment | | - | | - | | - | _ | - | | - | _ | - |
| Total capital outlay | | - | | - | _ | - | | | | - | | |
| Other outgo | 7000 | - | | - | _ | - | | - | | - | | - |
| Total exclusions | | \$ 379,578 | \$ | - | \$ | 379,578 | \$ | 7,911,363 | \$ | - | \$ | 7,911,363 |
| Total for ECS 84362, 50% Law | | \$ 40,951,576 | \$ | - | \$ | 40,951,576 | \$ | 78,093,746 | \$ | - | \$ | 78,093,746 |
| Percent of CEE (instructional salary cost /Total CEE) | | 52.44% | | - | _ | 52.44% | | 100% | | - | | 100% |
| 50% of current expense of education | | | | | | | \$ | 39,046,873 | \$ | - | \$ | 39,046,873 |

See accompanying notes to supplementary information.

PROPOSITION 30 EDUCATION PROTECTION ACCOUNT (EPA) EXPENDITURE REPORT For the Year Ended June 30, 2013

| EPA Proceeds: | \$ 12 | 2,375,613 | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------|--|----|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| Activity Classification | | Activity Code <u>00-5900)</u> | Salaries and Benefits <u>(1000-3000)</u> | E | perating (penses (00-5000) | Capital Outlay <u>(6000)</u> | <u>Total</u> |
| Instructional Activities | \$ | - | \$ 12,375,613 | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ 12,375,613 |

See accompanying notes to supplementary information.

NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

1. PURPOSE OF SCHEDULES

A - <u>Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards</u>

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the Federal grant activity of the District and is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of the United States Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements.

B - <u>Schedule of State Financial Awards</u>

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards includes State grant activity of the District and is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements. The information in this schedule is presented to comply with reporting requirements of the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office.

C - <u>Schedule of Workload Measures for State General Apportionment</u>

Full-time equivalent students is a measurement of the number of students attending classes of the District. The purpose of attendance accounting from a fiscal standpoint is to provide the basis on which apportionments of State funds are made to community college districts. This schedule provides information regarding the attendance of students based on various methods of accumulating attendance data.

D - <u>Reconciliation of Annual Financial and Budget Report (CCFS-311) with Audited</u> <u>Financial Statements</u>

This schedule provides the information necessary to reconcile the fund balance of all funds reported on the CCFS-311 to the audited financial statements.

E - <u>Reconciliation of ECS 84362 (50 Percent Law) Calculation</u>

This schedule provides the information necessary to reconcile the 50 Percent Law Calculation reported on the CCFS-311 to the audited data.

G - <u>Proposition 30 Education Protection Account (EPA) Expenditure Report</u>

This schedule provides information about the District's EPA proceeds and summarizes how the EPA proceeds were spent.



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON STATE COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

Board of Trustees Chabot-Las Positas Community College District Dublin, California

Report on Compliance with State Laws and Regulations

We have audited the compliance of Chabot-Las Positas Community College District with the types of compliance requirements described in Section 400 of the *California State Chancellor's Office's California Community College District Audit Manual (CDAM)* that are applicable to community colleges in the State of California for the year ended June 30, 2013:

Salaries of Classroom Instructors (50 Percent Law) Apportionment for Instructional Service Agreements/Contracts State General Apportionment Funding System **Residency Determination for Credit Courses** Students Actively Enrolled Concurrent Enrollment of K-12 Students in Community College Credit Courses Gann Limit Calculation California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) **Open Enrollment** Student Fees-Instructional Materials and Other Materials Student Fees-Health Fees and Use of Health Fee Funds Extended Opportunity Programs and Services (EOPS) and Cooperative Agencies Resources for Education (CARE) Disabled Student Programs and Services (DSPS) To Be Arranged Hours (TBA) Proposition 1D State Bond Funded Projects **Proposition 30 Education Protection Account Funds**

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of state laws and regulations.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance with state laws and regulations of Chabot-Las Positas Community College District. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the California State Chancellor's Office's California Community College Contracted District Audit Manual. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the state laws and regulations listed above occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Chabot-Las Positas Community College District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. However, our audit does not provide legal determination of Chabot-Las Positas Community College District's compliance with those requirements.

Basis for Qualifed Opinion with State Laws and Regulations

As described in Finding 2013-03, 2013-04 and 2013-05 in the accompanying Schedule of Audit Findings and Questioned Costs, Chabot-Las Positas Community College District did not comply with the requirements regarding DSPS, EOPS and CalWORKs. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for Chabot-Las Positas Community College District to comply with state laws and regulations applicable to DSPS, EOPS and CalWORKs.

Qualified Opinion with State Laws and Regulations

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance, described in the Basis for Qualified Opinion paragraph, Chabot-Las Positas Community College District complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements for the year ended June 30, 2013. Further, based on our examination, for items not tested, nothing came to our attention to indicate that Chabot-Las Positas Community College District had not complied with the state laws and regulations.

Other Matters

Chabot-Las Positas Community College District's response to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Audit Findings and Questioned Costs. Chabot-Las Positas Community College District's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Purpose of this Report

This report is intended solely to describe the scope of our testing of compliance and the results of that testing based on requirements of the *Contracted District Audit Manual*. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Crowe Howell US

Crowe Horwath LLP

Sacramento, California December 3, 2013



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Trustees Chabot-Las Positas Community College District Dublin, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the business-type activities and fiduciary activities of Chabot-Las Positas Community College District as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise Chabot-Las Positas Community College District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 3, 2013.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Chabot-Las Positas Community College District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Chabot-Las Positas Community College District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Chabot-Las Positas Community College District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified. We identified other matters involving internal control that were communicated to management as identified in the accompanying Schedule of Audit Findings and Questioned Costs as Finding 2013-01 and 2013-02.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Chabot-Las Positas Community College District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Response to Findings

Chabot-Las Positas Community College District's response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of Audit Findings and Questioned Costs. Chabot-Las Positas Community College District's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Crowe Hourd up

Crowe Horwath LLP

Sacramento, California December 3, 2013



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE

Board of Trustees Chabot-Las Positas Community College District Dublin, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited Chabot-Las Positas Community College District's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of Chabot-Las Positas Community College District's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2013. Chabot-Las Positas Community College District's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of Chabot-Las Positas Community College District's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Chabot-Las Positas Community College District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of Chabot-Las Positas Community College District's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, Chabot-Las Positas Community College District complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2013.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of Chabot-Las Positas Community College District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered Chabot-Las Positas Community College District's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Chabot-Las Positas Community College District's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance to ver compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance to ver compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Crowe Howest up

Crowe Horwath LLP

Sacramento, California December 3, 2013 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

SCHEDULE OF AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Year Ended June 30, 2013

SECTION I - SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| Type of auditor's report issued: | Unmodified |
|--|---|
| Internal control over financial reporting: Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified not consid to be material weakness(es)? | dered Yes <u>X</u> No Yes <u>X</u> None reported |
| Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? | Yes <u>X</u> No |
| FEDERAL AWARDS | |
| Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified not consid to be material weakness(es)? | dered Yes X No |
| Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: | |
| Any audit findings disclosed that are required to reported in accordance with Circular A-133, Section .510(a)? | be Yes <u>X</u> No |
| Identification of major programs: | |
| CFDA Number(s) | Name of Federal Program or Cluster |
| 84.007, 84.033, 84.063, 84.268 | Student Financial Aid Cluster |
| Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Typ and Type B programs: | e A \$ 300,000 |
| Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? | Yes <u>X</u> No |
| STATE AWARDS | |
| Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for state programs: | Qualified |

SCHEDULE OF AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) Year Ended June 30, 2013

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

2013-01 INTERNAL CONTROLS - DEFICIENCY - CO-CURRICULAR FUNDS

<u>Criteria</u>

Internal Controls - Safeguarding of Assets

Condition

The District does not have a formal written policy or administrative procedures on what expenditures are allowable from co-curricular funds.

Effect

Risk of expenditures that are not consistent with state laws and regulations.

<u>Cause</u>

Proper controls have not been designed and implemented.

Fiscal Impact

Not determinable.

Recommendation

The District should establish board approved policy and administrative procedures on the allowable and unallowable costs for co-curricular funds.

Corrective Action Plan

The District will define allowable and unallowable costs for co-curricular funds. This will be included in the District's administrative procedures.

SCHEDULE OF AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) Year Ended June 30, 2013

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

2013-02 INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING - DEFICIENCY - CO-CURRICULAR FUNDS

<u>Criteria</u>

Internal Controls - Financial Reporting

Condition

The revenues and expenditures for co-curricular activities were included in the District's agency funds instead of the general fund.

Effect

The treatment of the co-curricular activities were not consistent with the Budget and Accounting Manual established by the California Community College Chancellor's Office.

<u>Cause</u>

Proper controls have not been designed and implemented.

Fiscal Impact

Not determinable.

Recommendation

The District should design controls to ensure the co-curricular funds are reported in the correct fund.

Corrective Action Plan

Management concurs. The co-curricular funds will be reported in the unrestricted general fund and activity will follow controls already in place for the general fund.

SCHEDULE OF AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) Year Ended June 30, 2013

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

No matters were reported.

SCHEDULE OF AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) Year Ended June 30, 2013

SECTION IV - STATE AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

2013-03 STATE COMPLIANCE - SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY - DISABLED STUDENT PROGRAMS AND SERVICES (DSPS)

<u>Criteria</u>

Title 5 Section 56022 states: "An up-to-date SEC (Student Educational Contract) for the current year, signed by the student and the DSPS professional staff person, should be available in the file of each student receiving services paid through the DSPS office. Also, students in noncredit special classes should have included in their SEC a detailed description of the criteria used to evaluate the student's measurable progress."

Condition

At Chabot College, we noted 10 out of 28 students selected for testing did not have an updated Student Educational Contract.

Effect

The District is not in compliance with State requirements for DSPS.

Cause

No formal policy is in place to ensure records are updated properly.

Fiscal Impact

Not determinable.

Recommendation

The District should develop a formal policy and implement controls to ensure records are properly updated and maintained.

Corrective Action Plan

Chabot College:

College counselors will ensure that each Student Educational Contract is signed by the student.

SCHEDULE OF AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) Year Ended June 30, 2013

SECTION IV - STATE AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

2013-04 STATE COMPLIANCE - DEFICIENCY - EXTENDED OPPORTUNITY PROGRAMS & SERVICES (EOPS)

<u>Criteria</u>

Per guidelines set forth by the California State Chancellor's Office, students served by EOPS are required to have a signed Student Educational Plan retained by the District.

Condition

Out of 40 student files tested, one student had no evidence of contacts with the EOPS program during FY12-13 and one student file was missing a Student Educational Plan.

Effect

The District is not in compliance with State requirements for EOPS.

<u>Cause</u>

Lack of properly implemented internal controls relating to EOPS participation submissions.

Fiscal Impact

Not determinable.

Recommendation

The District should implement controls to ensure the listing of the EOPS students served is verified before it is submitted to the Chancellor's Office.

Corrective Action Plan

Chabot College:

In the future, the college will check its internal database for Student Educational Plan (SEP) completion and manually check each chart to ensure the SEP is complete and signed by the student and counselor before sending final data to the state.

Las Positas College:

The EOPS Department has put into place better controls on existing students who do not have any contact with the EOPS program in a given semester. The college has developed a spreadsheet to assist with tracking student contacts and with the SARS appointment system the college can run reports that show when students have and have not had any contacts with the department.

SCHEDULE OF AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) Year Ended June 30, 2013

SECTION IV - STATE AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

2013-05 STATE COMPLIANCE - DEFICIENCY - CALWORKS

<u>Criteria</u>

Per California Education Code Section 79200: "As used in this article, "CalWORKs recipient" means a recipient of aid under Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 11200) of Part 3 of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code or any successor program."

Condition

Out of 40 student files tested, one student counted as served in Fall 2012 became ineligible for CalWORKS benefits in Spring 2011. A separate student file was missing proof of eligibility for Fall 2012.

Effect

The District is not in compliance with State requirements for CalWORKS.

<u>Cause</u>

Lack of properly designed and implemented internal controls over student eligibility determination.

Fiscal Impact

Not determinable.

Recommendation

The District should design and implement controls to accurately verify student eligibility.

Corrective Action Plan

Chabot College:

Before sending final data to the state, the college will check its internal database for eligibility and attendance and manually check each chart to ensure all discontinued students are purged from the database.

STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Year Ended June 30, 2013

| Finding/Recommendation | Current Status | District Explanation If Not Fully Implemented |
|---|------------------|---|
| 2012-01 (Material Weakness) | Implemented. | |
| The District charged indirect costs to the Foster Care- Title IV-E program based on rates which were not approved by the District's cognizant federal agency. | | |
| Indirect costs should be included in the reimbursement amount of this grant subcontract and the auditors did not include the indirect rate as part of their calculations. The District will utilize the simplified method for small institutions, if applicable, to establish an indirect rate or will use a negotiated federally-approved indirect rate for Alameda County Title IV-E contracts. | | |
| 2012-02 (Significant Deficiency) | Implemented. | |
| Three of the 25 files tested at Chabot College for DSPS eligibility did not contain a verification of disability form. | | |
| We recommend the District implement a procedure to ensure that a student is not entered into the system and counted as being served until a disability verification form is received. | | |
| 2012-03 (Significant Deficiency) | Not implemented. | See Schedule of Audit |
| Two of the 16 files tested at Las Positas College did not contain verification of eligibility for the CalWORKs program. | | Findings and Questioned Costs: Finding #2013-05 |
| We recommend the District implement a procedure to ensure that each student is not only reported based on enrollment, but also that each student has an updated verification of eligibility in the student file before being reported. | | |

STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Year Ended June 30, 2013

| Finding/Recommendation | Current Status | District Explanation If Not Fully Implemented |
|--|------------------|---|
| 2012-04 (Significant Deficiency) | Implemented. | |
| A program plan for DSPS was not available or kept on file at Las Positas College. | | |
| We recommend the each college in District develop a program plan and submit to the State Chancellor's Office that plan for approval. After approval, we recommend a copy of the plan be kept in each DSPS office. | | |
| 2012-05 (Significant Deficiency) | Implemented. | |
| Las Positas College CARE advisory committee only held one meeting during the 2011-12 academic year. | | |
| We recommend the District hold at least two CARE advisory committee meeting each academic year. | | |
| 2012-06 (Significant Deficiency) | Not Implemented. | See Schedule of Audit Findings and Questioned |
| One of the 25 files tested at Chabot College for EOPS eligibility did not contain a student education plan. | | Costs: Finding #2013-04 |
| We recommend the District implement a procedure to ensure that a student is not entered into the system and counted as being served until all eligibility requirements have been met. | | |

STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Year Ended June 30, 2013

| Finding/Recommendation | Current Status | District Explanation If Not Fully Implemented |
|---|------------------|---|
| 2012-07 (Significant Deficiency) Seven of the 25 files tested at Chabot College and one of the 25 files tested at Las Positas College for DSPS eligibility did not contain a student education plan (SEC) and verification showing the student was notified of all policies | Not Implemented. | See Schedule of Audit Findings and Questioned Costs: Finding #2013-03 |
| regarding rights and obligations of receiving services. We recommend the District implement a procedure to ensure that a student is not entered into the system and counted as being served until a completed SEC is signed by the student and in the student file. At Las Positas College, we recommend that the College revise the SEC or develop a separate form to notify the student of their rights and responsibilities in receiving DSPS services. At Chabot College we recommend the college separate the notification of rights from the SEC due to the timing of completion of the SEC. | | |
| 2012-08 (Significant Deficiency) Contact hours were observed for 7 of the 12 To Be Arranged Hours (TBA) courses tested at Las Positas College. The District | Implemented. | |

calculated the contact hours using the approved number of hours in the course outline rather than the actual day and time

We recommend the District review all

courses containing TBA portions and determine the proper calculation of contact hours using the methods stated in

the Student Attendance Accounting Manual and the number of hours from the contact hours chart available on the

scheduled in the class schedule.

Chancellor's Office website.

STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Year Ended June 30, 2013

| Finding/Recommendation | Current Status | District Explanation If Not Fully Implemented |
|--|----------------|---|
| 2012-09 (Significant Deficiency) | Implemented. | |
| 21 of the 30 To Be Arranged Hours (TBA) courses tested did not list the number of TBA hours required in the approved course outline. All of the TBA courses tested did not list the TBA hours required in the course catalog. | | |
| We recommend the District update their catalog and course outlines to include the number of TBA hours required for all TBA courses. | | |
| 2012-10 (Significant Deficiency) | Implemented. | |
| The District was unable to provide detailed supporting documentation for the To Be Arranged Hours (TBA) completed by students for two of the 30 courses tested. | | |
| We recommend the District maintain detailed attendance records such as electronic attendance tracking records for each student attending courses with TBA. | | |
| 2012-11 (Significant Deficiency) | Implemented. | |
| During the testing of To Be Arranged Hours (TBA) courses identified, one course at Chabot College was not using the appropriate attendance accounting method. The contact hours for this were being calculated using the weekly census method although the course did not meet the definition of "regularly scheduled" as defined by the Chancellor's Office. The contact hours for this course should be calculated using the positive attendance census method. | | |
| We recommend the District review their procedures for communicating with instructors regarding how the course is conducted and determine the appropriate census method based on the method ofinstruction. | | |