Deriving SCFF Data with Referential Files

LAS POSITAS AND CHABOT
PRESENTED BY RAJINDER SAMRA AND CYNTHIA GORDON DA CRUZ
MAY 3, 2019

Undertaken Tasks...

- Download state "Referential files"
 - ▶ ITS uploads enrollment and other data to state MIS
 - State cleans (via edit checks) and the data is available for download as referential files
 - ▶ LPC and Chabot have <u>never</u> used referential files for our IR analyses
- ► Familiarize with state referential files:
 - ➤ ~25 files (each of which have 6-38 columns of variables...!)
 - Unclear operational definitions of what to pull from the referential files

Undertaken Tasks...

- ▶ LPC and Chabot Offices work together to ensure primary key (IDs) for data files is clean
 - (i.e., remove duplicates that the state is counting based on their methodology)
- ► LPC and Chabot Offices <u>independently</u> run the SCFF metrics for the district to see if we can independently replicate the numbers from the state.
 - ▶ Thanks to Research analysts Na Liu and David Rodriguez who played Key Roles!!
- ▶ Present at PBC

Supplemental Allocation			
Recipient	CCCCO SCFF Counts (A)	Locally Derived Counts Using Referential Files (B)	Difference (B – A)
Pell Grant Recipients	4,645	4,625	-20
California Promise Grant Recipients	11,362	11,272	-90

Supplemental allocation developments

12-Member SCFF Oversight Committees is charged with making recommendations on the following supplemental allocation items by January 1, 2020:

- ▶ Incorporation of first-generation students
- Definition of low-income and accounting for regional cost differences
- Inclusion of incoming students' level of academic proficiency

Student Success Allocation: <u>All Students</u>			
Outcome	CCCCO SCFF Counts (A)	Locally Derived Counts Using Referential Files (B)	Difference (B - A)
Associate Degrees for Transfer	689	689	0
Associate Degrees	1,254	1,254	0
Credit Certificates	577	476	-101
Transfer Level Math and English	470	587	117
Nine or More CTE Units	2,718	2,698	-20

Student Success Allocation: <u>All Students</u>			
Outcome	CCCCO SCFF Counts (A)	Locally Derived Counts Using Referential Files (B)	Difference (B - A)
Associate Degrees for Transfer	689	689	0
Associate Degrees	1,254	1,254	0
Credit Certificates	577	476	-101
Transfer Level Math and English	470	587	117
Nine or More CTE Units	2,718	2,698	-20

Student Success Allocation: Pell Grant Recipients			
Outcome		Locally Derived Counts Using Referential Files (B)	Difference (B - A)
Associate Degrees for Transfer	307	160	-147
Associate Degrees	532	258	-274
Credit Certificates	238	126	-112
Transfer Level Math and English	126	153	27
Nine or More CTE Units	783	563	-200

Student Success Allocation: California Promise Grant Recipients			
Outcome	CCCCO SCFF Counts (A)	Locally Derived Counts Using Referential Files (B)	Difference (B – A)
Associate Degrees for Transfer	442	344	-98
Associate Degrees	772	544	
Credit Certificates	344	230	
Transfer Level Math and English	193	240	47
Nine or More CTE Units	1,260	1,047	-213

Where we are in the process

- ▶ Step 1: Derive district SCFF Data from Referential Files
- Step 2: Determine the reasons for the differences in counts between CCCCO and referential files
- Step 3: Communicate reasons for differences to data 'owners' and other stakeholders
- Step 4: Derive district SCFF Data using local IR database
- Step 5: Determine the reasons for the differences in counts between referential files and local IR data
- Step 6: Communicate reasons for differences to data 'owners' and other stakeholders
- Step 7: Derive college SCFF Data from Referential Files
 - Collaborate with PBC to determine decision-rules for how students should be counted at each college
 - ▶ Determine reasons for differences between CCCCO and referential files
 - Derive college SCFF Data using local IR database

Questions?