Meeting date May 3, 2019 Time 3:00 | Meeting location District Office Board Room

Meeting called by	VC Business Services
Type of meeting	SCFF
Tri-Chairs	Doug Roberts, Cynthia Gordon da Cruz & Rajinder Samra
Note taker	Dawn Neideffer
Timekeeper	Doug Roberts

Attendees: Heike Gecox, Amir Law, Rachel Ugale, Diane Brady, Tamica Ward, Paulette Lino, Sarah Thompson, Bruce Griffin, Shayari Saha, Na Liu, Virginia Criswell, David Rodriguez, William Garcia, Chasity Whiteside, Melissa Korber, Kathy Medina, Craig Kutil, Matt Kritscher, Trish Shannon, Dave Fouquet, Mon Khat, Rajinder Samra

AGENDA TOPICS | MEETING COMMENCED AT 3:05

Time allotted | 2 min | Agenda topic 1.0 Approve Agenda | Presenter Doug

- **<u>Discussion</u>** No objections to agenda as presented.
- <u>Conclusion</u> Agenda approved.

Action items	Person Responsible	Deadline
1 A		

1. Agenda approved

Time allotted | 3 min | Agenda topic 2.0 Approve Minutes | Presenter Doug

<u>Discussion</u> No objections to past meeting minutes as presented.

<u>Conclusion</u> Minutes approved.

Action items	Person Responsible	Deadline	
2. Past Meeting Minutes approved			

Time allotted | 5 min | Agenda topic 3.0 Discuss Current Membership | Presenter Doug

DiscussionThe FFC Meeting on April 5th did not meet quorum. Today's FFC Meeting made
quorum with the exact number needed. Conversation ensued about reducing
membership.

ConclusionMotion for a recommendation to the Chancellor made: The Funding Formula
Committee (FFC) currently has a membership of twenty-seven people. The FFC
recommends the total of voting members be reduced to sixteen to align with other district-
wide voting committees so that a quorum can be more easily obtained.
Vote taken. 14 yeses; 1 abstention; 0 noes. Motion carried.

Action items	Person Responsible	Deadline	
1. Members voted and approved a recommendation to the Chancellor to reduce size of FFC membership	N/A	N/A	

Time allotted | Time 15 min | Agenda topic 4.0 Discussion About Common District Wide Projects | Presenter Names | Rajinder

<u>Discussion</u>	The two project proposals piloted via the SCFF application	
	both colleges. Question for discussion is how to prioritize	1, (,)
	benefit the Colleges and District. A subcommittee or taskfor to review the applications and help determine the projects	
	called CurricUNET that could enable the Colleges to share	
	identify and prioritize SCFF projects. The SCFF application applicants with the application process and assess which p and offer collaboration district-wide.	coaches will help the
<u>Conclusion</u>	nclusionThe SCFF application has a section to clarify if the project proposal has been loo by Program Area Review. The <i>Reviewer's Signature</i> field on the SCFF application another opportunity to review for cross collaboration. Collaborative efforts between the colleges may help reduce the cost and create greater value.	
Action items	Person Responsible	Deadline
None noted		

Time allotted | 15 min | Agenda topic 5.0 Report Out of Preliminary Discussion with HR | Presenter Doug

- DiscussionConcern raised about hiring employees with SCFF (soft) funding for short durations.
Conversation ensued about need for more staffing.ConclusionFrom HR's perspective, funding source does not matter. Prospective employees fall int
- ConclusionFrom HR's perspective, funding source does not matter. Prospective employees fall into
three categories of employment: part-time, special expert or permanent, regardless of
where or how funds are derived.

None noted

Time allotted | 30 min | Agenda topic 6.0 Potential Incoming Applications for FFC Funding Project Proposals, 6a Concurrent Enrollment, 6b DegreeWorks | Presenter William

<u>Discussion</u>	 Purpose is to find where colleges can utilize State funding. 6a Concurrent Enrollment. Reach out to high school students in their senior year to enable concurrent enrollment in high school and community college. 6.2 DegreeWorks. A sub-product from Ellucian (Banner software provider) is a good tool; current version, however, is outdated by two to three years.
<u>Conclusion</u>	 6a Concurrent Enrollment. Parents have expressed interest in concurrent enrollment and want to know the process to enroll their students. 6b DegreeWorks. There is a need for both colleges to have updated software. This aligns with the goals of equity and achievement for students. Counselors need to be brought along with updates to DegreeWorks. No new software needed for purchase from Ellucian, only the update.

Action items	Person Responsible	Deadline

None noted

Time allotted | 30 min | Agenda topic 7.0 Report Out from FFC Subcommittee Application & Rubric | Presenter Doug

Discussion	FFC members and attendees reviewed and discussed the application section by		
section. Paulette volunteered to give the latest version of the SCFF applicat			
run to offer suggestions for modification.			

<u>Conclusion</u> FFC Subcommittee will meet and finalize application.

Action items	Person Responsible	Deadline
 Colleges to decide who SCFF application coaches will be. 	VP's	ASAP
2. FFC Subcommittee to finalize application.	FFC Subcommittee	ASAP

Time allotted | 15 min | Agenda topic 8.0 Vote on Draft Statement

/Recommendation for Proactive Awarding of Certificates and Degrees | Presenter Matt

- **Discussion**Position statement handed out. The statement will be brought to Academic Senate.Students will have the option to opt-out of Proactive Awarding.
- ConclusionTitle IV says to proactively award. Staff to be trained, students to be informed. Motion
made to take move Proactive Awarding Recommendation forward: 14 yeses, 0 no's
and 0 abstentions. Motion carried.

Action items	Person Responsible	Deadline
 Members voted and approved a recommendation to implement Proactive Awarding of Certificates and Degrees. 	N/A	N/A

Time allotted | 5 min | Agenda topic 9.0 Future Agenda Items | Presenter All

1. Put standing item on agenda to discuss shared projects (curricular, etc.)

Future Meeting Dates

Special summer meeting dates to be determined.

Summary of Action Items:

- 1. Agenda approved
- 2. Past meeting minutes approved
- 3. Approval of Recommendation to the Chancellor to Reduce Membership: Yes <u>14</u>; No's <u>0</u>; Abstentions <u>1</u>
- 4. Colleges to decide who will be their SCFF coaches
- 5. FFC Subcommittee to finalize SCFF application
- 6. Approval of Recommendation to implement Proactive Awarding of Certificates and Degrees: Yes <u>14</u>; No <u>0</u>; Abstentions <u>0</u>.

|Meeting adjourned at 5:02