

Criteria	Clear and Compelling	Satisfactory	More Data Needed	Point Totals
Impact	(34-50 pts)	(17-33 pts)	(1-16 pts)	Notes:
What is the cost/benefit analysis of the project proposal to the SCFF?	The project clearly shows	The project shows some positive	The benefits of the project to	Projected ROI 19-20
	positive return to students and	return to students and CLPCCD.	students or CLPCCD are	Projected ROI 20-21
	CLPCCD over its lifetime and	Ideas for expanding to other	minimal or not adequately	Projected ROI 21-22
	may be expanded to other areas	areas or across the district are	described. Calculations for	Accurate?
	or across the district. The	unclear. The calculations for the	SCFF metrics & project costs	
	calculations for the SCFF metrics	SCFF metrics and project costs	are not accurately and/or not	Realistic?
	and project costs are accurately	are mostly accurately and	realistically calculated nor	
	and realistically calculated and	realistically calculated and	explained.	Impact Points
	clearly explained.	explained.		(1-50):
Feasibility	(21-30 pts)	(11-20 pts)	(1-10 pts)	Notes:
Can the project deliver the impact within the scope, budget and requested resources?	Project has a thorough plan	Project has a general description	The process to achieve the	
	which describes how the	of the effort required to achieve	desired outcome is uncertain or	
	outcomes will be accomplished.	the stated outcomes within-the	inadequately explained. A key	
	The plan clearly addresses how	timeline. The plan addresses	player(s) (e.g., relevant faculty,	
	all related areas (e.g., relevant	how some of the related areas	classified professionals,	
	faculty, classified professionals,	(e.g., relevant faculty, classified	administrators, or technology)	
	administrators, and technology)	professionals, administrators,	to accomplish the project has	
	would work together to	and technology) would work	been left out of the project plan.	Feasibility Points
	accomplish the project.	together to accomplish project.		(1-30):
FFC	(14-20 pts)	(7-13 pts)	(1-6 pts)	Notes:
Philosophy Does the project comply with the Philosophy Statement put forth by the FFC?	Project is clearly in keeping with	The Project generally aligns with	There is a lack of, or limited	
	all the values defined by FFC's	the values defined by FFC's	alignment, with FFC's	
	Philosophy Statement. Project	Philosophy Statement. Project	Philosophy Statement. Project	
	clearly considers: students	somewhat considers: students	leaves out: students meeting	
	meeting their ed goals, student	meeting their ed goals, student	their ed goals, student equity,	
	equity, colleges' strategic plans	equity, colleges' strategic plans	colleges' strategic plans and	
	& educational missions, cost-	and educational missions, cost-	educational missions, cost-	
	benefit analyses, scalability, &	benefit analyses, scalability, and	benefit analyses, scalability, or	FFC Points
	alignment across colleges.	alignment across colleges.	alignment across colleges.	(1-20):
Total the points for Impact, Feasibility, and FFC Philosophy. (Maximum possible points: 100)				Total Points:



Funding Formula Committee Philosophy Statement

The Funding Formula Committee (FFC) strives to educate the Chabot-Las Positas Community College District (CLPCCD) about the state funding formula metrics, accurate data sources on the metrics, and best practices and efficient processes to fully benefit from the metrics. We aim to capitalize on the metrics while maintaining a central focus on student success and equity.

The FFC aims to recommend high-impact initiatives and processes that are fiscally responsible and lead to increased funding based on any of the state's three funding formula components:

- Base Allocation: Credit FTES, Non-Credit FTES, CDCP FTES, Special Admit FTES, and Inmate Education FTES
- Supplemental Allocation: California Promise Grant, AB 540 students, and Pell Grant recipients
- Student Success Allocation: Degrees, degrees for transfer, credit certificates, completion of 9 or more CTE units, transfers to four-year university, completion of transfer level math and English, and attainment of a regional living wage
 - o Equity "bumps" for CA Promise Fee Waiver and Pell Grant recipients.

The FFC aims to do all the above, while also taking into consideration the initiatives' impacts on: students meeting their educational goals, student equity, colleges' strategic plans and educational missions, cost-benefit analyses, scalability of initiatives, and alignment across colleges.

The FFC strives for our committee processes to be respectful, transparent, equitable, collaborative, and grounded in data-driven analyses and evidence-based practices. We aim to value and respect the time it will take faculty members, classified professionals, administrators, and student employees to accomplish new initiatives. We believe that all CLPCCD community members have valuable ideas and we will be most successful collectively strategizing to maximize our funding.

Chabot's Mission and Strategic Plan Goal:

Chabot College is a public comprehensive community college that prepares students to succeed in their education, progress in the workplace, and engage in the civic and cultural life of the community. Our students contribute to the intellectual, cultural, physical, and economic vitality of the region. ... Chabot's Strategic Plan Goal in support of this mission is to offer student-ready college services and academic programs to improve educational equity and to increase the number of students who reach progress milestones, and identify and achieve their educational goals.

LPC's Mission and Educational Master Plan Goals

Las Positas College is an inclusive learning-centered institution providing educational opportunities and support for completion of students' transfer, degree, basic skills, career-technical, and retraining goals. The Educational Master Plan goals in support of this mission are: 1) Educational Excellence, 2) Community Collaboration, 3) Supportive Organizational Resources, and 4) Organizational Effectiveness.

Rev09192019